Association of antibiotic treatment with immune-related adverse events in patients with cancer receiving immunotherapy
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ABSTRACT

Background To determine whether antibiotic treatment is a risk factor for immune-related adverse events (irAEs) across different patients with cancer receiving anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies.

Methods The retrospective analysis includes clinical information from 767 patients with cancer treated at Hunan Cancer Hospital from 2017 to 2020. The pharmacovigilance data analysis includes individual cases of 38,705 safety reports from the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) from 2014 to 2020, and 25,122 cases of safety reports from the World Health Organization database VigiBase from 2014 to 2019. All cases that received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment were included. Multimods data from patients across 25 cancer types were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas. Logistic regression and propensity score algorithm was employed to calculate OR of irAEs.

Results Retrospective analysis of in-house patients showed that irAE potential risks are higher in all cancer (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.38 to 3.22, false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted-p=1.93×10−3) and patients with lung cancer (OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.67 to 5.95, FDR adjusted-p=1.93×10−3) when using antibiotics. Potential risk of irAEs in patients with lung cancer with antibiotic treatment is significantly higher in FAERS (OR 1.39, 95% CI 1.21 to 1.59; FDR adjusted-p=1.62×10−5) and VigiBase (OR 1.32, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.59; FDR adjusted-p=0.05). Mechanistically, decreased microbial diversity caused by antibiotics use may increase the irAE risk through mediating the irAE-related factors.

Conclusions Our study is the first to comprehensively demonstrate the associations of irAEs and antibiotic during anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy across a wide spectrum of cancers by analyzing multisource data. Administration of antibiotics should be carefully evaluated in patients with cancer treated by anti-PD-1/PD-L1 to avoid potentially increasing irAE risk.

BACKGROUND

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have shown striking benefit in a wide spectrum of cancer types, but may also lead to a series of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) that may affect any organ.1–3 Severe and fatal irAEs have been reported,4–6 such as myocarditis,5 6 pneumonitis,4 and colitis.4 These immune-related toxicities limit the benefits of ICIs and could lead to discontinuation of ICIs.7 8 A comprehensive understanding of irAEs induced by immunotherapy is important for managing the benefit/risk ratio of immunotherapy.9 Exposure to antibiotics has been associated with less clinical benefit from ICIs in lung cancer,10 11 melanoma12 and renal cell carcinoma.10 However, there is minimal evidence about the association between irAE development and antibiotic use in ICI patients.12 13 Previous studies reported that antibiotic use is associated with higher risk of diarrhea and colitis, which are among gastrointestinal irAEs, in ICI patients.12 13 However, it is not clear whether antibiotic use impacts irAEs in organs other than the gastrointestinal tract during ICI treatment. Therefore, a comprehensive, in-depth characterization of the association of irAEs with antibiotic treatment is crucial.

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed clinical information of ICI patients within an in-house patient cohort and observed increased risk of irAEs in lung cancer ICI patients who were given antibiotics. To obtain a more generalized conclusion, we took advantage of large-scale pharmacovigilance data from the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) and the WHO pharmacovigilance database (VigiBase) and observed similar pattern for specific irAEs. Recent studies demonstrated the impact of human microbiomes on cancer progression and therapy,14–16 so we further obtained the microbial data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) to understand the potential underlying mechanism through multimetics data. Taken together, our study employed multimetics
evidence aiming to investigate the administration of antibiotics and increasing irAE risk in patients with cancer treated by anti-PD-1/PD-L1.

METHODS

Retrospective analysis of in-house patients

We performed a retrospective analysis of 767 patients with cancer treated with anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 at Hunan Cancer Hospital, the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine between 2017 and 2020. Clinical information, including age at diagnosis, sex, cancer types, response, clinical interventions, duration of ICI treatment, history of antibiotic treatment, and ICI drug and combinations, was obtained from the medical records. Data regarding irAEs were collected, including type, symptoms, and grade of irAEs (according to common terminology criteria for adverse events, V.4.0). Patients who received antibiotics within 3 months before or after the first ICI administration were identified as antibiotic users according to previous studies.12 13 17 Specifically, patients who received antibiotics within 3 months before the first dose of ICIs were classified as pre-ICI group. Multivariable logistic regression models were employed to calculate the adjusted OR.18–20 The variables analyzed in the model were age, sex, ICI drugs and combination therapy.

Pharmacovigilance data analysis

Individual adverse event (AE) reports between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2020 were downloaded from the FAERS website (https://www.fda.gov/drugs/answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers/fae...board) and reports between July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2019 were queried from VigiBase (https://www.who-umc.org/vigibase/vigibase/). We collected AE reports from anti-PD-1 agents (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, cemiplimab) and anti-PD-L1 agents (atezolizumab, avelumab, durvalumab) suspected of causing AEs across different cancer types, as previously described.21 Patients who received antibiotics during the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy were identified as antibiotic users. Patients with only one clearly defined cancer type were included. Patients of ages 0–100 years were included. Cases for which the patient’s sex was not reported were excluded. Adjusted ORs of irAEs based on FAERS data were analyzed by multivariable logistic regression.18–20 The variables analyzed in the model were age, sex, antibiotic use, and different ICI drugs. Considering that pharmacovigilance data records does not allow to obtain results on ‘risk’ to individual patients due to the intrinsic limitations of the database, we only obtain results on potential risk through calculating OR.

Determination of irAEs in pharmacovigilance data

We used the AE terms in peer-reviewed management guidelines22 to define irAEs, including hepatitis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and colitis (online supplemental table S1) to determine patients with irAEs. This prespecified list is one of the most comprehensive and accurate irAE list so far, at least under the immunotherapy settings. Patients were classified into the irAE group if they had at least one irAE from this guideline. The irAEs were grouped into primary system organ classes based on the Medical Dictionary for Drug Regulatory Activities, V.23.0.

Microbial diversity and molecular data from TCGA

Microbial reads data of TCGA samples were obtained from a previous study.23 Inverse Simpson index were calculated from all microbial reads in each sample via ‘diversity’ function in R package ‘vegan’. We analyzed omics data from TCGA for 25 cancer types with ≥100 samples. The irAE information is lacking in TCGA for individual patients, so we investigate the associations between microbial diversity and previously reported irAE-related factors and signatures: tumor mutational burden (TMB),24 T cell receptor (TCR) diversity,25 neutrophils,26 eosinophils,26 CEACAM1,26 CD177,26 interferon (IFN) alpha response,27 tumor necrosis factor (TNF) signature,27 cytolytic activity,21 ADPGK,21 LCPI,21 PD-1,21 and potential pathway28 related to T cell activation, neutrophil activation, eosinophil activation, and inflammation. Molecular data, including mRNA expression and mutations, were downloaded from TCGA data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). T-cell receptor diversity, and estimated immune cell abundance were downloaded from the Genomic Data Commons (GDC) PanImmune Data Portal (https://gdc.cancer.gov/about-data/publications/panimmune).29 TMB was calculated by the number of non-silent somatic mutations per sample.30 Pathways related to T-cell activation, neutrophil activation, eosinophil activation and inflammation, and IFN α response were obtained from MSigDB (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/gene sets.jsp); TNF signatures were obtained from Perez-Ruiz et al.31 IFN γ signature was obtained from Ayers et al.32 TNF signature and IFN γ signature were calculated using the GSA33 R package. Spearman correlation were performed to analyze the associations between microbial diversity and omics data. Pathway enrichment was conducted using the R package ‘clusterProfiler’.34 Genes with absolute Rs value ≥0.3 and false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p<0.05, obtained from spearman correlation, were used in pathway enrichment.

Statistical analysis

Multiple comparisons were Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted by passing the test p values to the ‘p.adjust’ function of the ‘stats’ R package. All reported p values are two sided; FDR-adjusted p<0.1 and p<0.05 were considered significant. Data processing and statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software V.3.5.1.

RESULTS

Retrospective analysis identified positive association between antibiotic use and irAEs in lung cancer

We conducted retrospective analysis of 767 patients with cancer receiving anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in an in-house patient cohort (figure 1A). Among these patients, 340...
were patients with lung cancer (44.3%, table 1) and 107 were patients with liver cancer (14.0%, table 1). Patients who received antibiotics treatment were classified as antibiotic users. We used multivariable logistic regression analysis, adjusting for age, sex, ICI drug, and combination therapy to identify the association between antibiotic and irAEs. We observed that antibiotic use increased irAE risk in all patients with cancer (adjusted OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.38 to 3.22, FDR-adjusted p=1.93×10⁻³, figure 1B). However, this significant association was likely driven by patients with lung cancer (OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.67 to 5.95, FDR-adjusted p=1.93×10⁻³, figure 1B), who represented the largest sample size. We did not observe significant associations in other cancer types (figure 1B). This may be due to the limited sample size in other cancer types, and further investigations were necessary to obtain more robust results. Considering that some patients with cancer will be given antibiotics for irAE management, we explored the possibility of an association between antibiotics administered 3 months before (pre-ICI) first dose of ICI therapies and irAE risks in all patients with cancer. Magenta indicates that irAEs are more likely to occur in antibiotic users; cyan indicates that irAEs are more likely to occur in non-antibiotic users; shade of the dot indicates false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p value/p value. Dot size from large to small respectively indicates FDR-adjusted p value<0.001, 0.001<FDR-adjusted p value<0.1, and FDR-adjusted p value≥0.1, as shown. ABT, antibiotics; CR, complete response; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; irAE, immune-related adverse events; PR, partial response; PD, progressive disease; SD, stable disease.
## Table 1  Characteristics of 767 patients from in-house cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Antibiotic user (n=133)</th>
<th>Non-antibiotic user (n=634)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>94 (70.7)</td>
<td>472 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>39 (29.3)</td>
<td>162 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median (IQR) age, years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>57 (42.9)</td>
<td>283 (44.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cancer</td>
<td>14 (10.5)</td>
<td>93 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal cancer</td>
<td>15 (11.3)</td>
<td>48 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck cancer</td>
<td>7 (5.3)</td>
<td>52 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholangiocarcinoma</td>
<td>6 (4.5)</td>
<td>31 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical cancer</td>
<td>10 (7.5)</td>
<td>20 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>10 (7.5)</td>
<td>13 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>3 (2.3)</td>
<td>17 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11 (8.3)</td>
<td>77 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>irAEs, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>43 (32.3)</td>
<td>110 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>90 (67.7)</td>
<td>524 (82.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-PD-1</td>
<td>98 (73.7)</td>
<td>474 (74.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-PD-L1</td>
<td>35 (26.3)</td>
<td>160 (25.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapy, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI only</td>
<td>69 (51.9)</td>
<td>282 (44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI +chemotherapy</td>
<td>42 (31.6)</td>
<td>261 (41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI +target therapy</td>
<td>22 (16.5)</td>
<td>77 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI +chemo+radiotherapy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>14 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade of irAEs, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>24 (55.81)</td>
<td>59 (50.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>9 (20.93)</td>
<td>33 (28.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>4 (9.30)</td>
<td>11 (9.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>1 (2.33)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5</td>
<td>1 (2.33)</td>
<td>1 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4 (9.30)</td>
<td>13 (11.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specified irAEs, no (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid irAEs</td>
<td>16 (27.12)</td>
<td>41 (29.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular irAEs</td>
<td>6 (10.17)</td>
<td>15 (10.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>5 (8.47)</td>
<td>14 (10.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic irAEs</td>
<td>5 (8.47)</td>
<td>13 (9.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic irAEs</td>
<td>2 (3.39)</td>
<td>11 (7.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal irAEs</td>
<td>4 (6.78)</td>
<td>10 (7.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5 (8.47)</td>
<td>8 (5.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>7 (11.86)</td>
<td>7 (5.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal irAEs</td>
<td>1 (1.69)</td>
<td>4 (2.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin irAEs</td>
<td>4 (6.78)</td>
<td>3 (2.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac irAEs</td>
<td>2 (3.39)</td>
<td>3 (2.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
considering patients who received benefit from ICIs may have longer treatment period and higher chance to develop irAEs,39 40 we further added the duration of treatment as covariates in logistic regression model, and observed a similar pattern (online supplemental figure S2). Next, we performed subgroup analysis for specific irAEs, which shown that risks of pneumonitis (OR 6.49, 95% CI 2.05 to 20.53, FDR-adjusted p=1.03×10−2) and thyroid irAEs (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.16 to 4.10, FDR-adjusted p=0.05) were higher in antibiotic users, and we also observed similar trend for most of the rest irAE types (online supplemental figure S3A). Moreover, grade 1–2 (OR 2.04, 95% CI 1.28 to 3.24, FDR-adjusted p=5.16×10−3) and grade 3–5 irAEs (OR 2.80, 95% CI 1.02 to 7.73, FDR-adjusted p=4.65×10−2) were both significantly associated with antibiotics usage (online supplemental figure S3B).

**Association between antibiotic use and irAEs in different organs**

To investigate the association of organ-specific irAEs and use of antibiotics in lung cancer, we grouped the irAEs into 12 organs/systems and performed subgroup analysis. In patients with lung cancer, the risk of renal and urinary irAEs (OR 2.54, 95% CI 1.49 to 4.09, FDR-adjusted p=1.09×10−3), hepatobiliary irAEs (OR 2.05,
95% CI 1.12 to 3.47, FDR-adjusted p=0.04), blood and lymphatic system irAEs (OR 1.90, 95% CI 1.51 to 2.36, FDR-adjusted p=1.52×10^{-7}), and respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal irAEs (OR 1.69, 95% CI 1.31 to 2.15, FDR-adjusted p=1.76×10^{-4}) were significantly higher among antibiotic users from the FAERS database (figure 3A). From VigiBase data, we only observed increased risk of respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal irAEs (OR 1.56, 95% CI 1.14 to 2.09, FDR-adjusted p=0.02) and blood and lymphatic system irAEs (OR 3.15, 95% CI 2.21 to 4.36, FDR-adjusted p=3.67×10^{-10}) among antibiotic users (figure 3B). Most of the irAEs belonging to respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders were pneumonitis (online supplemental figure S4), which also has been reported to be more common among patients with lung cancer administered anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies in clinical trials.1,22

Hence, we further analyzed pneumonitis and other specific irAEs among antibiotic user and nonuser groups and discovered that the development of pneumonitis was also significantly associated with the use of antibiotics in patients with lung cancer receiving anti-PD-1/PD-L1 in FAERS (OR 1.71, 95% CI 1.32 to 2.18; FDR-adjusted p=4.61×10^{-4}, figure 4A) and VigiBase (OR 1.56, 95% CI 1.14 to 2.09; FDR-adjusted p=0.04; figure 4B). Similarly, the risk of thrombocytopenia, anemia and neutropenia (the blood and lymphatic system) in patients with lung cancer who used antibiotics was also higher (figure 4A–B). These results suggested that the administration of antibiotics to patients with lung cancer with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy increased the risk of irAEs, especially for immune-related pneumonitis.
Given the fact that use of antibiotics will decrease the microbial diversity, we hypothesized that decreased microbial diversity is associated with the increase of the irAE related factors. We took the advantage of multi-omics data across 25 cancer types with more than 100 patient samples from TCGA (online supplemental figure S5) and obtained the microbial data from a recent study to calculate microbial diversity based on the Inverse Simpson Index. We investigated the associations between microbial diversity and reported irAE-related factors and signatures: TMB, TCR diversity, neutrophils, eosinophils, CEACAM1, CD177, IFN alpha response, and TNF signature, Cytolytic activity, ADPGK, LCP1, PD-L1, and potential pathways related to T cell activation, neutrophil activation, eosinophils, and inflammation (online supplemental figure S4). We observed negative associations between microbial diversity and irAE related factors/pathways in multiple cancer types (online supplemental figure S4). Among these cancer types, two non-small cell lung cancer types, lung adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, were negatively correlated with most irAE-related factors/pathways (figure 5A). Next, we performed correlation analysis between microbial diversity and gene expression (online supplemental figure S6), and those significantly correlated genes were enriched in neutrophil activation and T cell activation pathways (figure 5B). This result aligns well with the emergence of evidence of the involvement of neutrophil activation and/or T-cell activation in irAEs. Taken together, our observation suggested the potential mechanism that decreased microbial diversity caused by antibiotics use may increase the irAE risk through mediating the irAE-related factors (figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this study reports the most comprehensive assessment of the association between antibiotic use and irAE risk.
use and irAE development in different organs during anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy across a wide spectrum of cancers by analyzing a retrospective cohort with a relatively large sample size and comprehensive analyses of two pharmacovigilance datasets. Analyzing real-world pharmacovigilance data provides an additional information source that not only increases the power of the analysis but includes standard of care information not available from clinical trials,4 6 19 24 41 47 such as the inclusion of all irAEs, including those that may cause lethal outcomes (eg, myocarditis). We consistently observed increased irAE risk with antibiotic use among patients with lung cancer across different analysis. Further analysis of individual irAEs in patients with lung cancer from FAERS suggested that the risk of pneumonitis was higher among patients with lung cancer treated with antibiotics, which is also the first report to reveal the association between a wide range of specific irAEs and antibiotic treatment. Consistently, we also observed increased risk of pneumonitis among antibiotic users who were ICI patients with lung cancer from VigiBase, suggesting the robustness of our observation. Pneumonitis is the most common type of respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal irAE. Though the overall incidence of pneumonitis is low, ranging from 1.3% to 5%1 22 in clinical trials, it is potentially life-threatening.4 Therefore, our study provided evidence that irAEs are more likely to occur in antibiotic users; blue indicates that irAEs are more likely to occur in non-antibiotic users; shade of the dot indicates false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted-p value. dot size from large to small respectively indicates FDR adjusted-p <0.001, 0.001<FDR adjusted-p <0.1, and FDR adjusted-p ≥0.1. ATB, antibiotics.
that the administration of antibiotics should be carefully evaluated in patients with cancer treated by anti-PD-1/PD-L1 to avoid potentially increasing irAE risk, at least for patients with lung cancer. Healthcare providers should carefully evaluate this risk before using antibiotics, and ICI patients should be carefully monitored if they have antibiotic administration.

Previous studies have reported an association between antibiotic use and higher risk of diarrhea and colitis in ICI patients, suggesting the potential impact of antibiotics on ICI-induced colitis through microbiome-immune axis. In our study, we observed a trend for patients with lung cancer who used antibiotics to experience more colitis; however, our findings did not reach statistical significance, which was likely due to the limited sample size (figure 4A–B). Our observation of non-gastrointestinal irAEs in patients with cancer suggests that antibiotics, which exert a direct impact on host cells, could directly influence irAE development via regulating the microbiome and immune environment of cancer cells or host tissue cells.

There are several limitations of our study. Currently, it is challenging to define an AE that is definitely immune related, mainly caused by substantial heterogeneity among irAEs, such as clinical manifestations, time of onset, and incidences. We, therefore, employed a prespecified list of irAE terms to determine patients with irAEs, including pneumonitis, colitis, and hepatitis. This prespecified list is
one of the most comprehensive and accurate irAE list, comprised of terms that were widely utilized in previous studies to study irAEs based on either pharmacovigilance data or clinical cohorts. Furthermore, due to the limited sample size or lacking the detailed information, some potential irAE-related risk factors, for example, TMB, are not considered in current study. Future studies that combine efforts from multiple centers will contribute to a deeper understanding of the association between irAEs and antibiotic use. In addition, real-world pharmacovigilance data are balanced by the quality and completeness such as the absence of irAE grading data, time and reason for antibiotic use, and duration of treatment, in FAERS and VigiBase, which prevent us from adjusting more confounding factors in the analysis, and we can only obtain potential risks through calculating OR based on these databases. For example, antibiotics could be administered for the treatment of possible irAEs or infections (eg, initial treatment for pneumonia vs pneumonitis), but limitations of the reporting system do not allow to distinguish between different causal relationship. We observed increased risks in both pre-ICI groups (eg, 3 months and 30 days) with antibiotics usage in our in-house patient cohort, suggesting that the association between irAEs and antibiotic usage is unlikely to be caused by concurrent antibiotics during irAE management.

TCGA has generated huge amount of multi-omics data from 33 different cancer types, and provides unique opportunities to comprehensively characterize molecular signatures and understand mechanisms of human cancers. Though irAE information of these samples is lacking, it has been utilized to indirectly study irAEs. Our analysis of TCGA multimomics data suggested the potential mechanism that decreased microbial diversity caused by antibiotics use may increase the irAE risk through mediating the irAE-related factors. Due to the complexity of the interactions between human microbiome and host cells, and lacking irAE information for individual patients in these large-scale genomics consortia could lead to potential bias, further concerted efforts to systematically characterize cancer-associated microbiome as well as the multimomics data at patient level will be necessary to reveal the underlying mechanism of such associations.

CONCLUSIONS
Our comprehensive study, on a wide spectrum of patients with cancer treated by anti-PD-1/PD-L1, suggested that administration of antibiotics should be carefully evaluated to avoid potentially increasing irAE risk.
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