Tumor-associated neutrophils and macrophages exacerbate antidrug IgG-mediated anaphylactic reaction against an immune checkpoint inhibitor
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ABSTRACT

Background With the increased use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), side effects and toxicity are a great concern. Anaphylaxis has been identified as a potential adverse event induced by ICIs. Anaphylaxis is a life-threatening medical emergency. However, the mechanisms and factors that can potentially influence the incidence and severity of anaphylaxis in patients with cancer remain unclear.

Methods Healthy, murine colon 26, CT26, breast 4T1, EMT6, and renal RENCA tumor-bearing mice were treated with an anti-PD-L1 antibody (clone 10F.9G2). Symptoms of anaphylaxis were evaluated along with body temperature and mortality. The amounts of antidrug antibody and platelet-activating factor (PAF) in the blood were quantified via ELISA and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Immune cells were analyzed and isolated using a flow cytometer and magnetic-activated cell sorting, respectively.

Results Repeated administration of the anti-PD-L1 antibody 10F.9G2 to tumor-bearing mice caused fatal anaphylaxis, depending on the type of tumor model. After administration, antidrug immunoglobulin G (IgG), but not IgE antibodies, were produced, and PAF was released as a chemical mediator during anaphylaxis, indicating that anaphylaxis was caused by an IgG-dependent pathway. Anaphylaxis induced by 10F.9G2 was treated with a PAF receptor antagonist. We identified that neutrophils and macrophages were PAF-producing effector cells during anaphylaxis, and the tumor-bearing models with increased numbers of neutrophils and macrophages showed lethal anaphylaxis after treatment with 10F.9G2. Depletion of both neutrophils and macrophages using clodronate liposomes prevented anaphylaxis in tumor-bearing mice.

Conclusions Thus, increased numbers of neutrophils and macrophages associated with cancer progression may be risk factors for anaphylaxis. These findings may provide useful insights into the mechanism of anaphylaxis following the administration of immune checkpoint inhibitors in human subjects.

INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is an immune-mediated systemic acute hypersensitivity reaction that occurs rapidly on contact with an allergen in sensitized individuals. Anaphylaxis is classically considered to rely on immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies against allergens and antigens.1 Immune complexes of antigens and IgE against the antigen are recognized by mast cells and basophils via the Fc epsilon receptor I, followed by massive histamine release. Recently, IgG antibodies were found to trigger IgE-independent anaphylaxis.2 Immune complexes of allergen-specific IgG and allergen/antigen activate Fc gamma receptors (FcγRs) and are expressed on myeloid cells, such as macrophages/monocytes,3 basophils,4 5 and/or neutrophils,6 which in turn release platelet-activating factor
(PAF) as a chemical mediator. IgG-dependent anaphylaxis may be induced by protein antigens or large molecules such as therapeutic antibodies.22

Recently, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), such as antiprogrammed cell death (aPD-1) monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and antiprogrammed cell death ligand 1 (aPD-L1) mAbs, have been approved for cancer treatment.8 With the increased use of ICIs, side effects and toxicities are of great concern. ICI-induced toxicities mainly include immune-related adverse events (irAEs)9 such as colitis, dermatitis, pneumonitis, and hepatitis, indicating the prevalence of off-target effects on an extensively activated immune system. Hypersensitivity reactions and anaphylaxis have also been identified as potential AEIs induced by ICIs.10 Factors that potentially influence the incidence and severity of anaphylaxis in cancer are underexplored. However, a few risk factors and mechanisms have been identified in patients with cancer owing to the rarity of anaphylactic events and their unpredictability.11 Therefore, animal models have provided an understanding of multiple underlying mechanisms.

In this study, we aimed to elucidate the mechanisms by which anaphylaxis was induced by the repeat administration of a PD-L1 mAb and the factors that exacerbated anaphylaxis in tumor-bearing mice. This study provides valuable insights into the mechanisms underlying ICI-induced anaphylaxis in humans.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and tumor inoculation**

Murine colon carcinoma CT26 (CRL-2638) and Colon26, breast cancer 4T1 (CRL-2539) and EMT6 (CRL-2755), and renal adenocarcinoma Renca (CRL-2947) cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, Virginia, USA). BALB/c mice (6 weeks old, female) were purchased from Japan SLC (Shizuoka, Japan). CT26, 4T1, and Renca cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biowest, Nuaillé, France) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (P/S; Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). EMT6 cells were cultured in α-MEM (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S. Mycoplasma was not detected in the culture, and the cells were used for experiments within 20 passages after procurement. Cancer cells were subcutaneously transplanted into syngeneic mice using 1.5x10^6 cells in 100µL. Hanks’ balanced salt solution (Gibco, Carlsbad, California, USA).

**Treatment of mice with mAbs and chemicals**

The antibodies used in this study are listed in online supplemental table S1. Tumor-bearing or healthy mice were randomized and intravenously treated with aPD-1 (RMP1-14), aPD-L1 (10F.9G2 or MH6), control IgG2a (2A3), or control IgG2b (LTF-2) mAb at a dose of 200µg in 100µL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) on days 10, 13, and 17 after tumor inoculation. Tumor-bearing mice were randomized on day 10 according to tumor volume. Healthy mice were raised for the same period as tumor-bearing mice. The temperature of each animal was measured at baseline and every 5–30 min after the administration of mAbs using a rectal thermometer (AD-1687 and AX-KO4746). Serum was obtained by allowing the blood sample to sit for 30 min at 25°C, followed by centrifugation at 2000xg for 10 min at 25°C. For serum transfer, serum (250µL/mouse) was intravenously administered to 10F.9G2 naïve CT26 tumor-bearing mice 3 hours before the administration of 10F.9G2. For treatment with epinephrine or CV-6209, CT26 tumor-bearing mice that were treated with 10F.9G2, as mentioned previously, were intraperitoneally (i.p.) treated with epinephrine (6mg/kg, 100µL PBS/mouse) or CV-6209 (150µg/100µL PBS/mouse) 10 min or 30 min before the third treatment with 10F.9G2 on day 17. To deplete macrophages and neutrophils, CT26 tumor-bearing mice were treated with clodronate liposomes or control liposomes (50µL/mouse; Hygieia Bioscience, Osaka, Japan) via i.p. injection on day 16 post-tumor inoculation. To deplete neutrophils, the aGr-1 mAb was intraperitoneally administered to CT26 tumor-bearing mice on days 14, 15, and 16 at doses of 20, 50, and 100µg, respectively.

**Antidrug antibody (ADA) detection with ELISA**

The levels of ADA in the serum with aPD-1 and aPD-L1 mAbs were determined using bridging ELISA. Anti-PD-1 (RMP1-14) and PD-L1 (10F.9G2 and MH6) mAbs (2mg/mL) were immobilized on the surface of microplate wells (Nunc-Immuno Plate I, MAXI SORP, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) and incubated at 4°C for 16 hours. After washing, the wells were blocked with 3% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA; Nacalai Tesque) in PBS at room temperature for 2 hours. The wells were incubated with serum diluted in 1% BSA in PBS at 25°C for 2 hours. After washing, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (1:4000; BioLegend, San Diego, California, USA) was added to the wells and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. After washing, 1-step ABTS substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the wells, followed by incubation at room temperature. Reactions were performed with 2 M sulfuric acid, and the OD410 values were evaluated.

**Flow cytometry**

Spleenic single-cell suspensions were prepared by mincing the spleen using a 40µm strainer (Grainer,
Frickenhausen, Germany). The pellet was treated with 1 mL of ACK buffer (pH 7.2, 150 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, and 100 µM EDTA), incubated at 25°C for 5 min, and centrifuged at 500×g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed and resuspended in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (0.5% BSA and 0.1% NaN₃ in PBS). Cells were incubated with 10 µg/mL antinouse CD16/32 antibody (BioLegend) in FACS buffer for 10 min at 4°C to block the Fc receptors. After washing the cells with FACS buffer, they were stained with the fluorophore-labeled antibodies listed in online supplemental table S1) for 5 min at 4°C. After washing the cells with FACS buffer, they were stained with 7-AAD (5 µg/mL, BioLegend) for 5 min at 25°C to determine cell viability. The cells were analyzed using a Novocyte Flow Cytometer (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, California, USA). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, Oregon, USA).

**Ex vivo PAF release assay with isolated myeloid cells from the spleen**

Neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (gMDSCs), and basophils were isolated from the spleens of naïve CT26 tumor-bearing mice on day 17 after tumor inoculation. After immunostaining splenocytes with the APC-labeled anti-Ly6G antibody, Ly6G⁺ and Ly6G⁻ splenocytes were separated using magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) with anti-APC magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Ly6G⁺ cells were immunostained for APC-Ly6C and Ly6G⁺/Ly6C⁻ neutrophils, and Ly6G⁻/Ly6C⁺ gMDSCs were isolated using a cell sorter (SH800; Sony Biotechnology, Tokyo, Japan). The flow-through containing Ly6G⁻ cells was stained with an APC-labeled anti-Ly6C antibody to separate Ly6C⁺ monocytes and Ly6C⁻ cells using MACS with anti-APC microbeads. The Ly6C⁻ flow-through was stained with an APC-labeled anti-F4/80 antibody to obtain F4/80⁺ macrophages. The F4/80⁺ flow-through was stained with APC-labeled anti-CD11b using MACS with anti-APC microbeads to isolate the CD49⁺ basophils. Serum containing ADA against aPD-L1 mAb was collected from CT26 tumor-bearing mice as described previously. Serum was mixed with 7-AAD (final concentration of 10F.9G2 was 0.111 mg/mL) in a total volume of 30 µL. The isolated cells (1.0×10⁶ cells/20 µL) were incubated with the ADA/10F.9G2 (final concentration of 10F.9G2 was 0.111 mg/mL, BioLegend) for 5 min at 37°C, and 50 µL methanol was added to stop the anaphylactic reaction. Total lipids from the serum were extracted using the Bligh and Dyer method.12

**Quantification of PAF via LC-MS/MS**

PAF was determined using an LC-MS/MS system as described previously.13 The LC system consisted of an LC-20AD HPLC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a CTO-20AC Oven and CBM-20A system control, using a Poroshell 120 HILIC-Z column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). LC separation was performed using mobile phase A (10 mM ammonium formate in 50% acetonitrile/50% H₂O) and mobile phase B (10 mM ammonium formate in 95% acetonitrile/5% H₂O) at 200 µL/min at 25°C. The separation gradient was as follows: 95% acetonitrile for 10 min, 95%–73% for 10 min, 60% for 3 min, and 95% for 25 min. Mass spectrometry was performed using a QTRAP 4500 LC-MS/MS spectrometer (ABSciex, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA). The QQQ mode was used in the positive ion mode and the peak area of the extracted ion chromatogram corresponding to the specific transition for PAF-16 data analysis was performed by using the Analyst V.6.2 software (ABSciex).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as mean±SE. All in vitro experiments were performed in triplicate. Pair-wise comparisons of subgroups were performed using Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction. Comparisons between multiple treatments were performed using one-way analysis of variance, followed by an appropriate post hoc test. P values (two-sided) were considered significant at p<0.05. Survival was analyzed using the log-rank test. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (San Diego, California, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Lethal anaphylaxis induced by 10F.9G2 depended on the tumor types**

Healthy and tumor-bearing mice were intravenously treated with 10F.9G2 (aPD-L1 mAb) on days 10, 13, and 17 post-inoculation (figure 1A). CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice showed a rapid decrease in body temperature immediately after the third administration of 10F.9G2, with symptoms including loss of spontaneous activity, dyspnea, and piloerection (figure 1B). The maximum temperature drop relative to the baseline (ΔT) in CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice was approximately 9°C after treatment with 10F.9G2. All CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice died of adverse events within 40 min (figure 1C). A temperature drop was observed, but 80% of the EMT6 tumor-bearing mice survived. However, healthy mice, and Renca and Colon 26 tumor-bearing mice showed minor or little change in body temperature, with a 100% survival rate. No therapeutic efficacy was observed in all tumor-bearing mice treated with 10F.9G2 (figure 1D). No fatal adverse events were observed in CT26 tumor-bearing mice treated with a different clone of aPD-L1 mAb (MIH6), aPD-L1 mAb (RMP1-14), or their isotype controls (online supplemental figure S1).

Next, we evaluated whether the serum of CT26 tumor-bearing mice contained factors capable of triggering the adverse event after treatment with 10F.9G2. On day 17, serum was collected from CT26 tumor-bearing mice treated with 10F.9G2 on days 10 and 13 postinoculation (figure 1E). Treatment of naïve CT26 tumor-bearing mice with 10F.9G2 resulted in fatal anaphylaxis after...
The results indicated that the lethal adverse event occurred due to a severe anaphylactic reaction to the administered 10F.9G2, and the severity of anaphylaxis depended on the tumor type.

**Anaphylaxis caused by PAF via an IgG-mediated pathway**

Antidrug IgE antibodies cause anaphylactic reactions to drugs. In addition to the classical IgE-mediated pathway, anaphylaxis in mice is triggered in an IgG-dependent manner. Therefore, we measured antidrug IgE and IgG levels against 10F.9G2 in the serum of healthy and tumor-bearing mice using ELISA. No antidrug IgE was detected in the serum, whereas antidrug IgG was detected in the serum of mice treated with 10F.9G2 (figure 2A). The concentration of antidrug IgG against 10F.9G2 in the serum was proportional to the severity of anaphylaxis (figure 2B). The amount of antidrug IgG against RMP1-14 and MIH6, and their isotype control mAbs in the serum of CT26 tumor-bearing mice was 100-fold lower than that of 10F.9G2 mAb (online supplemental figure S2), which was consistent with the severity of anaphylaxis. The increase in IgG-expressing CD138+ plasma cells was observed after treatment of 10F.9G2 (online supplemental figure S3), which indicated that activation and differentiation of B cells were caused by 10F.9G2, thereby resulting in the production of a large amount of ADA against 10F.9G2.

When antidrug IgG complexes stimulate neutrophils, macrophages, and monocytes through their Fcγ receptors, these cells immediately release chemical mediators such as PAFs. Among the mouse IgG isotypes, IgG2a is recognized by mast cells and basophils, which triggers the release of histamine, but not PAF. We analyzed isotypes such as antidrug IgG1 and IgG2a, in the serum of CT26 tumor-bearing mice. The major antidrug IgG isotype produced against 10F.9G2 was IgG1 along with a small amount of IgG2a (figure 2C,D). These results indicated that lethal anaphylaxis may be triggered by the antidrug IgG pathway.

To analyze the anaphylactic pathway, we evaluated whether histamine and/or PAF were released as chemical mediators during anaphylaxis. No obvious changes in histamine levels were detected in the serum of healthy and CT26 tumor-bearing mice when comparing the 10F.9G2 untreated and treated mice (figure 3A). Although histamine-mediated anaphylaxis can be treated with epinephrine, most mice treated with epinephrine died of anaphylaxis (online supplemental figure S4).
S4). Thus, anaphylaxis induced by 10F.9G2 was unlikely to result from an IgE-mediated pathway. We quantified the concentration of the chemical mediator PAF in the serum using LC-MS/MS (online supplemental figure S5). PAF concentration was significantly elevated in the serum of CT26 tumor-bearing mice during anaphylaxis (figure 3B). We investigated whether treatment with CV-6209,17 a PAF receptor antagonist, rescued CT26 tumor-bearing mice from anaphylaxis after 10F.9G2 administration (figure 3C). Unlike treatment with epinephrine, treatment with CV-6209 did not result in a decrease in body temperature, and most CT26 tumor-bearing mice survived after 10F.9G2 injection. Therefore, fatal anaphylaxis was prevented by CV-6209 treatment.
the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice. CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the spleens of mice. The spleens of healthy mice mainly contained T and B cells, whereas the fraction of these cells decreased in the spleens of CT26 tumor-bearing mice. CD11b+ cell fractions, including neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, mast cells, basophils, and gMDSCs in the spleens of tumor-bearing mice were analyzed further (online supplemental figure S6). Along with an increase in CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice (figure 4C), and the increase in the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in tumor-bearing mice (figure 4D). Therefore, CD11b+ myeloid cell fractions, including neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, mast cells, basophils, and gMDSCs in the spleens of tumor-bearing mice were analyzed further (online supplemental figure S6). Along with an increase in CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice (figure 4C), and the increase in the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in tumor-bearing mice (figure 4D). Therefore, CD11b+ myeloid cell fractions, including neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, mast cells, basophils, and gMDSCs in the spleens of tumor-bearing mice were analyzed further (online supplemental figure S6). Along with an increase in CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice (figure 4C), and the increase in the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in tumor-bearing mice (figure 4D).

Increased levels of macrophages and neutrophils caused lethal anaphylaxis

Next, we investigated why the amount of PAF was elevated in CT26 tumor-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice and whether this increase was associated with the varied severity of anaphylaxis against 10F.9G2. Splenomegaly was observed in 4T1 and CT26 tumor-bearing mice (figure 4A), and the spleen is a major clearance organ for 10F.9G2; therefore, we focused on alterations in immune cell types in the spleens of mice with different types of tumors. The spleens of healthy mice mainly contained T and B cells, whereas the fraction of these cells decreased in the spleens of CT26 tumor-bearing mice (figure 4B). An increase in the density of CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice (figure 4C), and the increase in the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in tumor-bearing mice (figure 4D). Therefore, CD11b+ myeloid cell fractions, including neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, mast cells, basophils, and gMDSCs in the spleens of tumor-bearing mice were analyzed further (online supplemental figure S6). Along with an increase in CD11b+ myeloid cells, especially Ly6G+ cells, was observed in the enlarged spleen of CT26-bearing mice compared with that in healthy mice (figure 4C), and the increase in the CD11b+ cell fraction was correlated with splenomegaly in tumor-bearing mice (figure 4D). Therefore, CD11b+ myeloid cell fractions, including neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, mast cells, basophils, and gMDSCs in the spleens of tumor-bearing mice were analyzed further (online supplemental figure S6).

PAF is released from effector cells such as macrophages, monocytes, basophils, and neutrophils during IgG-dependent anaphylaxis. Since the severity of anaphylaxis was correlated with an increase in myeloid cell numbers, including gMDSCs in the spleen, we hypothesized that these cells are potentially effector cells that release PAF in response to the IgG-mediated reaction, resulting in fatal anaphylaxis in 4T1 and CT26 tumor-bearing mice. Therefore, we investigated cells that were predominantly involved in anaphylaxis. Myeloid cells were isolated from the spleens of CT26 tumor-bearing mice using MACS and a cell sorter (figure 5A). The isolated cells were subsequently incubated with 10F.9G2 and serum containing ADA against 10F.9G2 to enable the cells to release PAF (figure 5B). PAFs were released from neutrophils and macrophages after ex vivo stimulation, whereas little or no PAFs were detected in monocytes, basophils, and gMDSCs (figure 5C). These results suggest that lethal anaphylaxis was caused by PAF released from neutrophils and macrophages, which increased in CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice.

We also examined whether depletion of neutrophils and macrophages ameliorated anaphylaxis in vivo. Treatment of CT26 tumor-bearing mice with aGr-1 mAb decreased the number of neutrophils (figure 5D,E). Neutrophil depletion partially rescued CT26 tumor-bearing mice from anaphylaxis induced by 10F.9G2 treatment (figure 5F). Therefore, we attempted to deplete both the cell types. Although clodronate liposomes are known to deplete macrophages, treatment of CT26 tumor-bearing mice with clodronate liposomes depleted both macrophages and neutrophils to the same level as that in healthy mice (figure 5G,H). CT26 tumor-bearing mice showed no or minor anaphylactic symptoms after the depletion of both macrophages and neutrophils (figure 5I). As a result, 80% of the mice survived, with ~1.2°C of ΔT after the third administration of 10F.9G2. These results demonstrated that fatal anaphylaxis in response to 10F.9G2 administration was caused by PAF released from both neutrophils and macrophages in vivo.

**DISCUSSION**

The preclinical models used in this study showed that repetitive doses of 10F.9G2 induced 100% fatal IgG-dependent anaphylaxis caused by PAF released from neutrophils and macrophages in CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice. Although epinephrine is the only effective clinical treatment for anaphylaxis, it had only a minor effect on relieving anaphylactic symptoms. However, treatment with the PAF receptor antagonist CV-6209 prevented lethal anaphylaxis in CT26 tumor-bearing mice treated with 10F.9G2. Although no treatment for IgG-mediated anaphylaxis has been established in the clinic, our results suggest that PAF receptor antagonists may be more effective medications for the treatment of IgG-dependent anaphylaxis than epinephrine.

PAF is released from macrophages, monocytes, basophils, and/or neutrophils during IgG-mediated anaphylaxis following the recognition of immune complexes of antigens and antidrug IgG antibodies by FcγRs, particularly FcγRIII. Our study showed that increased neutrophil and macrophage counts in tumor-bearing mice induced lethal anaphylaxis. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor, which are highly expressed in CT26 and 4T1 tumors, can accelerate the differentiation and proliferation of myeloid cells. In contrast, monocytes, basophils, and gMDSCs released few or no PAF. The expression profiles of FcγRs may vary among these cell types in mice with cancer. Although the mechanisms by which macrophages and neutrophils respond to immune complexes between 10F.9G2 and ADA remain unknown, an increase in these cells may be a risk factor in cancer patients who exhibit IgG-dependent anaphylaxis against ICIs.
The anaphylaxis resulting from 10F.9G2 administration was IgG dependent but not IgE dependent. The potential reasons are as follows: large molecules, such as therapeutic proteins and antibodies, have more potential to induce antidrug IgG antibodies than small molecular weight drugs.\(^1\)\(^7\) IgG-mediated anaphylaxis requires a much larger dose of antigen than does IgE-mediated anaphylaxis.\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^11\)\(^14\)\(^23\) In this study, the administered dose of 10F.9G2 was approximately 10 mg/kg of body weight, which is comparable with the clinical doses.
of aPD-L1 mAbs such as atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab. Clinical doses of aPD-L1 mAbs are approximately one-fourth lower, which may induce a lower incidence of anaphylactic reactions toward aPD-L1 mAbs than against aPD-L1 mAbs. In contrast, irAEs induced by aPD-L1 mAbs were of a lower grade and less frequent than those induced by aPD-L1 mAbs. In general, irAE-like autoimmune diseases induced by ICIs occur in patients who respond to ICIs via activated T cells. In this study, 10F.9G2 did not suppress the growth of CT26 and 4T1 tumors (figure 1D). Therefore, anaphylaxis induced by 10F.9G2 may be independent of the typical irAEs induced by ICIs, and side effects induced by ICIs can be categorized as on-target-dependent and on-target-independent adverse events.

The production of antidrug IgG antibodies against 10F.9G2 depends on the tumor type. We previously reported that 10F.9G2 accumulates in the spleen and is subsequently degraded. Since the spleens of CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice were enlarged, it is likely that more 10F.9G2 accumulated in the spleens of CT26 and 4T1 tumor-bearing mice, resulting in 10F.9G2 being more exposed as an antigen after degradation. MDSCs reportedly induce Th2 polarization, promote proliferation,
and inhibit apoptosis of B cells\textsuperscript{27-32}; thus, the increased number of gMDSCs in 4T1 and CT26 tumor-bearing mice may stimulate the production of antidrug IgG antibodies. Because fatal anaphylaxis was observed only with administration of 10F9G2, one particular aPD-L1 mAb, rat IgG2b induced the production of large amounts of ADA, whereas MIH6 (aPD-L1 mAb, rat IgG2a) did not. Because rat IgG2b antibodies are recognized by mouse Fc\gammaRs\textsuperscript{33, 34}, 10F9G2 binds to PD-L1\textsuperscript{\ast} cells and Fc\gammaR-expressing cells such as macrophages, which may accelerate degradation and antigen presentation. Because the isotype control IgG2b did not result in ADA production, we hypothesized that ADA production in response to 10F9G2 may require binding to both PD-L1 and Fc\gammaR. ADA can induce infusion-related reactions or alter the pharmacokinetics of an agent by affecting its clearance.\textsuperscript{35} In addition, ADA may decrease treatment efficacy by neutralizing drug activity.\textsuperscript{36} Therefore, in the future, it is necessary to uncover the mechanisms by which the production of antidrug IgG antibodies varies depending on the type of tumor and isotype.

CONCLUSION

We demonstrated the association of increased neutrophils and macrophages with cancer progression and the release of PAF during IgG-mediated anaphylaxis against anti-PD-L1 mAb. Anaphylaxis was treated with a PAF receptor antagonist. Increases in neutrophil and macrophage counts can serve as markers for patients with cancer who may experience IgG-dependent anaphylaxis in response to ICI treatment.
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