Background Subgroup analysis of EMPOWER-Lung 1, a 1:1 randomized, open-label Phase 3 study, showed improvements in overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival with cemiplimab monotherapy (CEMI, n=283) versus platinum-doublet chemotherapy (CHEMO, n=280) in patients with an ECOG performance status (PS) score 0 and 1 (OS: PS 0: hazard ratio [HR] 0.77, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.41, 1.44; PS 1: HR 0.54, 95% CI 0.38, 0.76) in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (aNSCLC) favoring CEMI versus CHEMO in both PS subgroups.

Methods PROs were assessed at baseline and Day 1 of each treatment cycle for the first 6 cycles, and then on Day 1 of every third cycle using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life-Core 30 (QLQ-C30) and Lung Cancer Module (QLQ-LC13) questionnaires. Higher scores indicate better functioning and global health status (GHS)/quality of life (QoL), or worse symptom severity. Mixed-model repeated-measures analyses were performed to compare overall change from baseline scores between the two treatment arms while controlling for baseline characteristics. Time to definitive clinically meaningful deterioration (TTD) per 10-point threshold was analyzed using a stratified log-rank test and proportional hazards model.

Results Baseline PRO scores were broadly similar between treatment arms. Statistically significant difference in overall change from baseline in GHS/QoL favoring CEMI versus CHEMO occurred in the ECOG PS score 1 subgroup (mean treatment difference 5.03, 95% CI 1.90, 8.15, P=0.0017). CEMI also resulted in statistically significant favorable differences in both ECOG subgroups in overall change from baseline in physical and social functioning; fatigue, nausea/vomiting, and constipation symptoms per QLQ-C30; and peripheral neuropathy and alopecia symptoms per QLQ-LC13. There was a statistically significant delay in TTD in physical functioning in the ECOG PS score 1 subgroup, favoring CEMI (HR 0.59, 95% CI 0.37, 0.95, P=0.028). Statistically significant delays in TTD favoring CEMI occurred in both ECOG subgroups in social functioning, fatigue and nausea/vomiting symptoms per QLQ-C30; peripheral neuropathy and alopecia symptoms per QLQ-LC13. When comparing between arms, no analyses yielded statistically significant PRO results favoring CHEMO for any QLQ-C30 or QLQ-LC13 scale.

Conclusions In this post-hoc analysis of patients with aNSCLC across both ECOG PS subgroups, CEMI resulted in significant overall improvement and delayed TTD relative to CHEMO in multiple patient-reported cancer-related and lung cancer-specific functions and symptoms. Positive PRO results further support the favorable benefit-risk profile of CEMI versus CHEMO in aNSCLC in both PS subgroups.
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