ENHANCING IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITOR EFFICACY WITH ANTI-ANGIOGENICS IN OVARIAN CANCER


Background Clinical data from our group supports that ovarian cancer (OC) patients respond better to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) when targeting both PD-1 and CTLA4 compared to anti-PD-1 alone (33% v. 10%, respectively), yet there is still room to improve dual-ICB response. Bevacizumab is approved as a front-line therapy for OC and targets vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A). VEGF has been shown to have both anti-tumor and immunomodulatory effects as it induces macrophage infiltration and M2 polarization and directly suppresses T-cell activation, proliferation, and cytotoxic activity. Here we aim to determine if priming the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) with anti-VEGF enhances the response of T-cell stimulating ICB.

Methods We developed a patient avatar model by administering ex vivo expanded tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) into immunodeficient mice harboring a patient-matched, patient-derived xenograft (PDX). Autologous TIL reactivity was validated by flow cytometry and ELISA. Preclinical in vivo studies were performed to evaluate if the efficacy of dual-ICBs (nivolumab and ipilimumab) could be enhanced through priming with anti-VEGF (bevacizumab). Ex vivo culture systems using donor ascites specimens and viable tumor slices were evaluated to further evaluate this combination in OC.

Results Six distinct PDX/TIL models were developed. Co-culture of TILs with autologous tumor cells resulted in HLA-dependent IFNγ production by TILs with a parallel impact on TIL activation phenotype. In response to IFNγ, PD-L1 expression was increased on tumor cells, suggesting antitumor activity might be improved via PD-1 blockade. As proof-of-concept, anti-PD-1 efficacy was tested in PDX/TIL models (n=3). Anti-PD-1 reduced tumor burden and increased survival in two models compared to TIL treatment alone. Next, we tested the hypothesis that the efficacy of dual-ICBs could be enhanced through TME priming with anti-VEGF (n=3). Results revealed increased efficacy of dual-ICB with anti-VEGF priming in 2 models. Immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry analysis support that the TILs in the combination ICB +/- anti-VEGF have increased anti-tumor activity.

To better delineate the activity of dual-ICB and anti-VEGF in an intact TME, ex vivo cultures were used. OC ascites significantly increased the secretion of T-cell effector and myeloid cell associated immunosuppressive molecules in response to ICB treatment, and CD11b+ tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) significantly reduced T-cell activation. In tumor slice cultures, combination treatment with dual-ICB and anti-VEGF reduced overall tumor burden.

Conclusions This data supports the notion that, in addition to the effect of anti-VEGF priming, modulation of the immunosuppressive myeloid population may further enhance ICB efficacy.
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