Cross-cohort analysis identified an immune checkpoint-based signature to predict the clinical outcomes of neuroblastoma
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ABSTRACT
Background Neuroblastoma (NB) places a substantial health burden on families worldwide. This study aimed to develop an immune checkpoint-based signature (ICS) based on the expression of immune checkpoints to better assess patient survival risk and potentially guide patient selection for immunotherapy of NB.

Methods Immunohistochemistry integrated with digital pathology was used to determine the expression levels of 9 immune checkpoints in 212 tumor tissues used as the discovery set. The GSE85047 dataset (n=272) was used as a validation set in this study. In the discovery set, the ICS was constructed using a random forest algorithm and confirmed in the validation set to predict overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS). Kaplan-Meier curves with a log-rank test were drawn to compare the survival differences. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was applied to calculate the area under the curve (AUC).

Results Seven immune checkpoints, including PD-L1, B7-H3, IDO1, VISTA, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain containing-3 (TIM-3), inducible costimulatory molecule (ICOS) and costimulatory molecule 40 (OX40), were identified as abnormally expressed in NB in the discovery set. OX40, B7-H3, ICOS and TIM-3 were eventually selected for the ICS model in the discovery set, and 89 patients with high risk had an inferior OS (HR 15.91, 95% CI 8.87 to 28.55, p<0.001) and EFS (HR 4.30, 95% CI 2.80 to 6.62, p<0.001). Furthermore, the prognostic value of the ICS was confirmed in the validation set (p<0.001). Multivariate Cox regression analysis demonstrated that age and the ICS were independent risk factors for OS in the discovery set (HR 6.17, 95% CI 1.78 to 21.29 and HR 9.87 to 28.55, p<0.001) and EFS (HR 4.30, 95% CI 2.80 to 6.62, p<0.001).

Conclusions We propose an ICS that significantly differentiates between low-risk and high-risk patients, which might add prognostic value to age and provide clues for immunotherapy in NB.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
- Immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment is still considered a promising strategy in neuroblastoma (NB) patients despite the patients’ low tumor mutational burden.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
- We propose an immune checkpoint-based signature model based on the expression of immune checkpoints to predict patients’ outcomes as well as provide clues for the novel implementation of immunotherapy in NB patients.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY
- This study represents the first comprehensive expression landscape of immune checkpoints in NB and their potential use as indicators for immunotherapy in NB.

INTRODUCTION
Neuroblastoma (NB), an extremely heterogeneous disease, is the most common extra-cranial solid tumor in childhood. Currently, NB patients are stratified into low-risk, intermediate-risk and high-risk groups according to the revised 2021 Children’s Oncology Group (COG) risk classifier based on their clinical stage, tumor cell ploidy and MYCN oncogene amplification.1 2 However, advances in multimodal anticancer therapies that combine surgery, chemotherapy, radiation and antidisialoganglioside (GD2) mAb-based immunotherapy have not significantly improved the survival rates of children with high-risk NB. Thus, there is an urgent need to identify novel and effective therapeutics to improve patient outcomes.3

Recent studies have shown that tumors may use a tumor microenvironment (TME) program as a mechanism of immune evasion and to resist chemotherapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). Most immune checkpoints, such as LAG-3, costimulatory molecule 40 (OX40) and programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), abnormally expressed on tumors or tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and their clinical significance have been demonstrated in some adult cancers. High expression levels of indoleamine-pyrole 2,3-dioxogenase 1 (IDO1) in cancer have been shown to inhibit natural killer cell function, prevent the activation of effector T cells and promote the emergence of regulatory T cells. Another study showed that T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain containing-3 (TIM-3) blockade increases the exposure of intratumoral CD8+ T cells to cDC1-derived cytokines, which has implications for the design of therapeutic strategies using antibodies against TIM-3, which are associated with longer progression-free survival and/or overall survival (OS) in urothelial carcinoma. These results indicate that the TME is intricate and that immune checkpoints, such as B7-H3, lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG3) VISTA and inducible costimulatory molecule (ICOS), might coexist. Although the low tumor mutational burden in NB patients is a major obstacle for implementing ICIs and only a small proportion of TILs shows tumor reactivity, blocking immune checkpoints is still considered a promising strategy for treating NB patients and is directly associated with improved clinical outcomes. However, the expression levels of these immune checkpoints in NB are still unclear, and there is a need to systematically evaluate their expression status and the relationship between their expression level and clinical outcomes.

Therefore, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) to identify the expression levels of the most important immune checkpoints through digital pathology in NB patients and evaluated the prognostic value of each immune checkpoint. Then, we constructed an immune checkpoint-based signature (ICS) for predicting clinical outcomes. Furthermore, we validated the prognostic value of the ICS in an external dataset, which might provide a basis for immunotherapy targets in NB.

METHODS
Clinical samples
In this study, we obtained archived tumor tissues from 212 NB patients treated between January 2012 and December 2020 at two academic institutions, the Guangzhou Women and Children’s Medical Center (Guangzhou, China, n=120) and the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China, n=92). All diagnoses were pathologically confirmed and restaged according to the International Neuroblastoma Staging System (INSS). The COG risk classification of each patient was applied based on their medical records. In addition, the NB gene expression dataset GSE85047 is available from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo). The exclusion criteria were incomplete data of clinical features, mainly including age at diagnosis, INSS, the MYCN status and follow-up data; after applying these criteria, the dataset contained 272 NB samples. Table 1 displays the NB patients’ clinical and tumor characteristics in the discovery and validation sets. This study was performed following the Reporting Recommendations for Tumor Marker Prognostic Studies guidelines.

Antibodies used and IHC
The following nine immune checkpoints were chosen for IHC staining: B7-H4, LAG3, PD-L1, B7-H3, IDO1, VISTA, TIM-3, ICOS and OX40. Briefly, pathological sequential slides of 4 μm thickness were sectioned from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor blocks and used for IHC analysis as previously described. The primary antibodies used are listed in the online supplemental materials. Representative images of the IHC staining of all these markers are shown in online supplemental figure S1.

Digital image analysis
Phyiscal glass slides of IHC-stained tissue samples with good staining quality were digitized with a scan resolution of 0.24 μm/pixel (Pannoramic Scan 150, 3DHistech, Hungary). Two experienced pathologists (LZ and KC) manually delineated the tumor bulk and excluded necrotic areas (QuPath V.0.2.3, University of Edinburgh, Scotland). All pathological images were autoexamined using a digital analysis. The details are listed in the online supplemental materials.

Random forest for ICS construction
We applied a machine learning random survival forest algorithm to select the most powerful prognostic features of all seven immune checkpoints and then constructed an ICS for predicting the survival of NB patients. This algorithm considers the potential combined effects of the expression level of immune checkpoints in a non-linear, non-parametric manner to identify individuals who are dead or alive. Eventually, the top four immune checkpoints, OX40, B7-H3, ICOS and TIM-3, were selected for the model (online supplemental figure S2). The detailed information is summarized in the online supplemental materials.

Cut-off value
We used the ‘Survminer’ package in R software (V.4.1.2) and undertook the minimum p value approach to determine whether there were thresholds for the seven immune checkpoint expression levels and risk score of the ICS that independently altered the NB patients’ risk of OS. Online supplemental figure S3 shows that the smallest statistically significant p value was an optimal threshold that independently predicted the patients’ risk of death. Based on the optimal threshold, each patient was given a binary score, that is, 0 for low expression/risk and 1 for high expression/risk for each immune checkpoint and the ICS, respectively.
Statistical analysis
The primary and secondary outcomes were OS and event-free survival (EFS), respectively, as identified through the medical records. OS was calculated from the date of cancer diagnosis to the date of death from any cause. EFS was determined from the date of cancer diagnosis to the first occurrence of any event (ie, relapse at any site, progressive disease, second malignancy or death).

The correlations between the ICS and clinical variables were analyzed using a \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test. We used Kaplan-Meier curves with a log-rank test method as implemented in the survival R package to compare the differences in OS and EFS between the patients with high and low expression of all seven immune checkpoints and ICS. The HRs with 95% CIs were first calculated using a univariate Cox regression analysis to show the associations between different prognostic indicators, including clinical features and the ICS, and the risk of death or any event (relapse/metastasis/death). A multivariate Cox regression analysis with backwards stepwise selection was then used to test the prognostic roles of these different factors, mutually adjusted for one another. Variables reaching p<0.05 in the univariate analysis were included in Cox proportional hazards regression models. Finally, we calculated Youden’s index for each nomogram to find their optimal features to achieve minimum false positive

Table 1  Clinicopathologic characteristics of NB patients in the discovery and validation sets stratified by the ICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (%)</th>
<th>Discovery set (n=212)</th>
<th>Validation set (n=272)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>484 (100)</td>
<td>89 (42.0)</td>
<td>123 (58.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>228 (100)</td>
<td>30 (34.9)</td>
<td>56 (65.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>256 (100)</td>
<td>59 (46.8)</td>
<td>67 (53.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCN status</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplified</td>
<td>89 (100)</td>
<td>20 (57.1)</td>
<td>15 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-amplified</td>
<td>395 (100)</td>
<td>69 (39.0)</td>
<td>108 (62.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSS*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>173 (100)</td>
<td>19 (29.2)</td>
<td>46 (70.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>331 (100)</td>
<td>70 (47.6)</td>
<td>77 (52.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue sample origins</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>81 (42.2)</td>
<td>111 (57.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>8 (40.0)</td>
<td>12 (60.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With</td>
<td>38 (52.8)</td>
<td>34 (47.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without</td>
<td>51 (36.4)</td>
<td>89 (63.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>37 (34.6)</td>
<td>70 (65.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high risk</td>
<td>25 (23.8)</td>
<td>80 (76.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated or poorly differentiated</td>
<td>37 (26.8)</td>
<td>101 (73.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated</td>
<td>24 (33.3)</td>
<td>48 (66.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
<td>27 (81.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td>17 (60.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>40 (28.8)</td>
<td>99 (71.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Early indicates INSS stage 1, 2, and 4s; Advanced indicates INSS stage 3 and 4. COG, Children’s Oncology Group; ICS, immune checkpoint-based signature; INSS, the International Neuroblastoma Staging System; MKI, Mitosis-Karyorrhexis Index; NA, not available; NB, neuroblastoma.

rate and the model performance was evaluated by the area under the curve (AUC) using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and decision curve analysis (DCA).

The statistical analyses were performed using R (V.4.1.2), Stata V.15.1 (Texas, USA) and GraphPad Prism (V.8.0.1, San Diego, California, USA). All statistical tests were two-sided and considered significant when the p value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
Figure 1 shows the study workflow. The 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS rates in the discovery set were 93.7% (89.5%–96.3%), 78.9% (72.1%–84.2%) and 68.1% (59.1%–75.5%), respectively. In addition, 192 tissue samples from primary tumor, such as thoracic, adrenal gland and retroperitoneal locations, and 20 tissue samples from metastatic tumors, including liver, spinal and brain sites, were evaluated. Among the NB patients, 140 received surgery first, followed by postoperative chemotherapy, and in the remainder, 72 cases received preoperative chemotherapy first, followed by surgery and postoperative chemotherapy (online supplemental table S1). Detailed information on OS and EFS is shown in online supplemental table S2. The median follow-up time was 1035 days (IQR 581–1543) for the NB patients in the discovery set and 1287 days (IQR 451–2436) for those in the validation set.

Expression of immune checkpoints
To evaluate the biological and clinical significance of 9 immune checkpoints in NB, we carried out an IHC analysis of 212 NB FFPE samples. Representative images of IHC staining and the corresponding digital deconvolution of the seven immune checkpoints in the discovery set are shown in online supplemental figure S4. The expression of the seven immune checkpoints and the median number detected in the NB tissue blocks are summarized in online supplemental figure S5A. The highest expression was found for PD-L1 (median: 622.7/mm², 95% CI: 525.8 to 757.9), followed by IDO1 (median: 465.4/mm², 95% CI: 394.2 to 558.3), B7-H3 (median: 337.5/mm², 95% CI: 229.5 to 483.3), VISTA (median: 316.9/mm², 95% CI: 275.1 to 357.3), TIM-3 (median: 216.9/mm², 95% CI: 186.1 to 265.0), ICOS (median: 173.1/mm², 95% CI: 149.8 to 204.6) and OX40 (median: 53.3/mm², 95% CI: 41.9 to 64.6).

Subsequently, all data in the two datasets were normalized and transformed into log2 values of z scores for further analysis. Then, we used UpSet plots to evaluate the high coexpression of immune checkpoints in the NB patients in the discovery and validation sets (online supplemental figure S5Band C). In the discovery set, the most common coexpression patterns were PD-L1+VISTA+ICOS+IDO1+TIM-3+OX40+B7-H3 and PD-L1+IDO1, occurring in 3.8% of the patients (8 of 212), whereas PD-L1+VISTA+ICOS+IDO1+TIM-3 was observed in 16.9% of the patients (46 of 272) in the validation set. It suggests that these costimulatory signals might have a synergistic effect and a combination of ICIs might be useful to improve the clinical outcome of NB patients. More studies are needed for confirmation.

Prognostic values of individual immune checkpoints
Next, we explored the prognostic value of each individual immune checkpoint (PD-L1, IDO1, B7-H3, VISTA, TIM-3, ICOS and OX40) in NB patients. In the discovery set, high expression of B7-H3, OX40 or VISTA was associated with poor OS and EFS (OS, p<0.05, figure 2B, E and F; EFS, p<0.05, figure 2I, L and M). Interestingly, we found that the NB patients with high expression of TIM-3 had poor OS but not EFS in the discovery set (p=0.039, figure 2C; p=0.083, figure 2J). However, PD-L1, ICOS and IDO1 expressions were not significantly associated with OS, as well as EFS (figure 2A, D, H, G, K and N). In contrast, high expression of PD-L1, TIM-3 or ICOS was associated with favorable OS and EFS, whereas high expression of B7-H3 was associated with poor OS and EFS in the validation set (p<0.05, online supplemental figure S6).

ICS construction and its association with clinical outcomes
To better understand the joint prognostic value of these immune checkpoints, we constructed an ICS model comprising four immune checkpoints using a random forest model, namely, OX40, B7-H3, TIM-3 and ICOS, which were associated with poor OS in the discovery set (online supplemental figure S2). To identify the expression consistency of four immune checkpoints, we compared the expression profile of primary tumors to metastatic tumors, as well as in tumors first treated with...
surgery and preoperative chemo strategies (online supplemental figure S7A and 8). Subsequently, the derived ICS value was also compared (online supplemental figure S7C and D). The result was shown that the OX40 expression was significantly higher in the preoperative chemo tissue samples than in tumors first treated with surgery, which contributed to high value of the ICS.

Next, it was observed that the survival time of the NB patients in the discovery set obviously decreased with increasing risk scores, accompanying the changes in the expression of the four immune checkpoints (online supplemental figure S8). Accordingly, the NB patients were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the cut-off value of the risk score. The high-risk group had shorter OS than the low-risk group in the discovery set (HR 2.62, 95% CI 1.58 to 4.34, figure 3D) and had a worse EFS in the validation set (HR 2.18, 95% CI 1.42 to 3.35, figure 3E). Moreover, we plotted ROC curves, and the AUCs with 95% CIs were 0.702 (0.597 to 0.807), 0.668 (0.590 to 0.746) and 0.665 (0.583 to 0.746) for predicting the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS rates, respectively (figure 3F).

Additionally, we performed univariate and multivariate analyses of all NB patients in the discovery set to determine the independent risk factors for OS among the ICS and clinicopathological features. Our results show that the ICS and age were significantly associated with OS and EFS in NB patients in the discovery set (table 2), and this finding was confirmed in the validation set (online supplemental figure S9).

**Validation of the ICS**

To validate whether the ICS has similar prognostic value in different populations, we analyzed the ICS in the validation set (n=272). The patients in the high-risk group had a shorter OS than those in the low-risk group (HR 2.62, 95% CI 1.58 to 4.34, figure 3D) and had a worse EFS in the validation set (HR 2.18, 95% CI 1.42 to 3.35, figure 3E). Moreover, we plotted ROC curves, and the AUCs with 95% CIs were 0.702 (0.597 to 0.807), 0.668 (0.590 to 0.746) and 0.665 (0.583 to 0.746) for predicting the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS rates, respectively (figure 3F).

**Prediction model combining the ICS and age**

It is well established in the guidelines that the age of NB patients should be used to guide treatment and predict patient outcomes, but its accuracy is limited due to tumor...
heterogeneity, and age needs to be supplemented with additional molecular indicators. Therefore, we established a more sensitive model based on the multivariate Cox regression analysis, nomogram A, which combined the ICS and age to estimate the survival of NB patients in the discovery set (figure 4A). In addition, we established nomogram B using only age in the discovery set. Harrell’s concordance index of nomogram A compared with nomogram B in predicting OS was 0.848 (95% CI 0.820 to 0.876) vs 0.679 (95% CI 0.652 to 0.705) in the discovery set, and this finding was confirmed in the validation set (0.765 (95% CI 0.735 to 0.794) vs 0.697 (95% CI 0.670 to 0.724)).

Meanwhile, the calibration plot of nomogram A for the probability of 1-year OS (figure 4B), 3-year OS (figure 4C), or 5-year OS (figure 4D) showed optimal agreement between the prediction by the nomogram and the actual events in the discovery set. Furthermore, the combination of the ICS and age showed significantly better prognostic value than age alone in predicting the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS (AUROC 0.891 (95% CI 0.797 to 0.985) vs 0.675 (95% CI 0.592 to 0.758), p<0.0001, figure 4E; 0.875 (95% CI 0.817 to 0.933) vs 0.701 (95% CI 0.645 to 0.758), p<0.0001, figure 4F; 0.898 (95% CI 0.851 to 0.940) vs 0.724 (95% CI 0.673 to 0.775), figure 4G). The similar result was confirmed in the validation set (online supplemental figure S9). We further comprehensively compared the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy and Youden index of nomograms A and B for predicting OS in the discovery and validation sets (online supplemental table S4), suggesting that the addition of ICS to nomogram A significantly enhanced its accuracy compared with that of nomogram B (p<0.001). The DCA analysis showed similar results (online supplemental figure S10). Overall, combining of ICS and age might increase the predictive power for patient survival.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we first determined the expression of nine immune checkpoints using digital pathology and assessed their prognostic significance in NB patients. Then, we constructed a novel prognostic model, the ICS, based on the expression of four immune checkpoints. Their combination had a superior ability to predict the survival of NB patients relative to the individual immune checkpoints in the discovery set. Then, we confirmed its value in the validation set. In addition, age is an important factor in predicting patient survival in NB. Therefore, we developed a nomogram...
Table 2  Cox regression analysis of factors associated with survival in all NB patients from the discovery set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Univariate HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Multivariate HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, months (≥18 vs &lt;18)</td>
<td>12.48 (3.87 to 40.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.17 (1.78 to 21.29)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSS (advanced vs early)</td>
<td>6.10 (2.19 to 17.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.95 (0.65 to 5.84)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCN (amplified vs non-amplified)</td>
<td>2.47 (1.30 to 4.68)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.29 (0.65 to 2.57)</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (undifferentiated or poorly vs well)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.08 to 3.35)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.69 (0.93 to 3.07)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKI (high vs non-high)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.60 to 4.73)</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS (high vs low)</td>
<td>1.21 (1.15 to 1.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.18 (1.12 to 1.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EFS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, months (≥18 vs &lt;18)</td>
<td>3.97 (2.26 to 6.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.19 (1.20 to 4.00)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSS (advanced vs early)</td>
<td>6.71 (3.09 to 14.57)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.01 (1.75 to 9.17)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCN (amplified vs non-amplified)</td>
<td>1.94 (1.16 to 3.24)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.04 (0.62 to 1.76)</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (undifferentiated or poorly vs well)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.84 to 2.01)</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKI (high vs non-high)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.56 to 2.02)</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS (high vs low)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10 to 1.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07 to 1.16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant p values are shown in bold.

EFS, event-free survival; ICS, immune checkpoint-based signature; INSS, the International Neuroblastoma Staging System; NB, neuroblastoma; OS, overall survival.

digital pathology and construct an ICS prognostic model for NB patients. Our study provides proof of principle that the ICS could serve as a selection tool for immunotherapy targets for NB patients.

Figure 4  Nomogram, calibration plots and comparisons of the sensitivity and specificity for predicting 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS in the discovery set. (A) Nomogram A, including ICS and age; (B–D) Calibration plots for predicting 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS; ROC curves of nomograms A and B as predictors of 1-year OS (E), 3-year OS (F) and 5-year OS (G). AUC, area under the curve; ICS, immune checkpoint-based signature; NB, neuroblastoma; OS, overall survival; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; TPR, true positive rate; FPR, false positive rate.
The four immune checkpoints, B7-H3, TIM-3, ICOS, and OX40, were selected for inclusion in the ICS for predicting NB patient survival. B7-H3 has been shown to lead to a protumorigenic or non-immunologic protumorigenic functions, such as promoting migration/invasion, angiogenesis and endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition in malignant tissues, and therefore, its high expression is associated with poor outcomes in various cancers.

In NB, it was shown that B7-H3 downregulates natural killer cytotoxicity, providing a mechanism of escape from the immune response, and it could be speculated that high B7-H3 expression could concomitantly result in a poor prognosis. In particular, Tian et al identified an optimized bicistronic chimeric antigen targeting B7-H3 with high and heterogeneous expression in NB. These observations are consistent with our data demonstrating that high expression level of B7-H3 was significantly associated with unfavorable OS and EFS in the training and validation cohorts. Accordingly, these phenomena could explain why NB patients with high B7-H3 expression have significantly inferior OS and EFS, and they also suggest B7-H3 is an encouraging target for immunotherapy.

TIM-3 is predominantly expressed by myeloid cells and is coexpressed on exhausted T cells along with PD-1. Dixon et al proposed that the expression of TIM-3 in the TME is considered a cardinal sign of T-cell dysfunction; for instance, genetic loss of TIM-3 in intrastromal dendritic cells promotes antitumor immunity, whereas its loss in T cells does not alter tumorigenesis. TIM-3 blockade can promote CD8 T-cell-dependent responses to paclitaxel treatment in models of breast cancer, whereas a study from TCGA large cohort showed that TIM-3 was a favorable prognosis in breast cancer. Again, a previous study revealed that higher expression of TIM-3 was significantly associated with shorter OS in hepatocellular carcinoma.

Consistently, TIM-3 expression was identified to be a risk factor for worse OS but not for disease-free survival in 9491 TCGA patients. In NB, Pei et al have demonstrated that the ratio of exhausted T cells was increased with the characteristics of upregulated PD-1 and TIM-3, suggesting that the high expression of TIM-3 could impair T-cell functions and result in poor survival. Concordant with these investigations, we found that TIM-3 was expressed in tumor-associated immune cells of NB tissues and that high expression of TIM-3 was a significant risk factor for a shorter OS, as well as a marginally significant factor for a poor EFS in the discovery set. On the contrary, the high expression of TIM-3 was associated with satisfying OS and EFS in the validation set, presumably that different expression levels of TIM-3, mRNA and protein, attribute to this. As we all know, gene expression is complex and involves multiple process such as transcription, translation and the turnovers of mRNAs and proteins. The consistency of mRNAs and protein levels is somewhat low, modest or high and mainly depends on the considerations as indicated above. A previous study has been demonstrated that the consistent result that TIM-3 high expression related to worse survival in TCGA data using the IHC data and gene expression data was obtained.

Therefore, the relationship and underlying mechanisms behind this phenomenon need to be further explored. Abnormalities of ICOS, which is abundantly and commonly expressed in the activated and mature T cells, lead to a range of pathological dysfunctions, such as immunodeficiency and malignant tumors. However, the role of ICOS seems to vary among various cancers. For example, gastric cancer patients with abundant ICOS+Foxp3+ TILs had advanced stages and shorter recurrence-free survival, and high expression of ICOS was associated with an advanced clinical stage and poor OS among patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma.

In contrast, ICOS enhances the activation of CD4 and CD8 effector T cells to exert an antitumor function in colorectal cancer. In this study, we found that high expression of ICOS mRNA, but not the protein level, is a protective factor for OS and EFS in NB patients, but this requires further verification in a larger patient cohort.

The OX40–OX40L pathway provides crucial costimulatory signals for CD4 T-cell responses and, more importantly, impairs Treg suppressive ability, presumably through direct inhibition of Foxp3 expression. On the one hand, OX40 expression has been observed in all TILs, such as natural killer T cells and neutrophils, and it is associated with favorable survival in non-small cell lung cancer. On the other hand, a recent study demonstrated that OX40 expression in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients was not significantly associated with survival. As expected, the OX40 effect on anti-Tregs could depend on the TME, notably the tumor bed. In line with this concept, we detected OX40 expression in tumor-associated immune cells of NB, and patients with high OX40 expression had inferior OS and EFS. Interestingly, for the timing of tumor tissues obtained, we observed OX40 expression increased in NB patients who received preoperative chemotherapy compared with those patients with receiving surgery first. Chemotherapy is the main treatment in NB either before surgery (preoperative chemotherapy) or after surgery (postoperative chemotherapy), especially when cancers spread too far to be removed completely by surgery for NB patients who generally had a poor prognosis. Li et al reported that the expression level of OX40 in serum and tumor tissues of lung carcinoma was significantly upregulated under stress conditions such as receiving chemotherapy, implying chemoresistance facilitation through inhibiting tumor cell apoptosis. Accordingly, it was assuming that OX40 could induce to some extent chemoresistance in NB, which showed rational for the combination of chemotherapy with ICIs. D’Errico et al have reviewed that modulating immune costimulating signaling (such as OX40) and immune checkpoints are the most prospective regimens in cancer treatment. Together, these could explain why the high expression of OX40 resulted in worse OS and EFS of NB patients, potentially due to inducing chemoresistance. The exact mechanisms will be further studied, and in vivo and in vitro studies are
required to confirm this harmful role in NB patients. Overall, it is speculated that these four immune checkpoints are important for predicting patient outcomes and providing potential immune targets in NB.

Digital pathology is in widespread use, providing a tool for more automatic and objective quantification of full-view slides. Wang et al used digital pathology to evaluate the expression of immune checkpoints and presented an ICS based on five immune checkpoint features for nasopharyngeal carcinoma tumor cells and tumor-associated immune cells and found this ICS could predict patient survival. Regarding the expression pattern of immune checkpoints as indicated above, there were different status existed across cancer types. The US food and drug administration-approved test confirmed that the PD-L1 combined positive score (>1%) was used as a criterion to predict the response rate of metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, advanced esophageal carcinoma, advanced cervical cancer and triple-negative breast cancer. Taken together, PD-L1 expression in both tumor cells and tumor-associated immune cells are inferred to be effective as a hall marker in immune therapy in most cancers. Therefore, we also defined the expression of PD-L1 in combined areas, while the remaining genes were identified in tumor cells or tumor-associated immune cells to predict the patient outcomes.

We found that a model combining ICS and age had good performance for prognostication since these two variables were independent risk factors for OS and EFS in multivariate analysis in both the training and validation cohorts in our study. In contrast, INSS and MYCN amplification were significant predictors of OS and EFS in only the validation cohort. A previous study showed that age, especially a median of 18 months, is an important factor for NB risk assessment and treatment decision-making. In clinical practice, NB patient’s age is defined as the date of diagnosis based on the pathology review and it is easily recorded and obtained from medical records. In addition, the confirmation of MYCN amplification followed by metaphases is detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization and observed in approximately 20% of NB cases, and it is associated with a worse prognosis, while another recent study showed that MYCN mRNA levels are better than MYCN amplification in predicting prognosis in NB. The INSS uses tumor location, lymph node status, bone marrow metastasis and imaging studies to detect metastasis. Additionally, Ray et al have reported that sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, Youden index as well as ROC analysis would be focused together to assess the ability of survival risk of a biomarker. In this case, when testing for sensitivity of nomogram A and B, we recommend applying integrated analytic methods to assess a potential application in predicting prognosis. The results suggest a nomogram composed of an ICS and age might be easily obtained and allow for more accurate classification of NB patients at different risks.

NB is generally characterized by low immunogenicity due to its lower tumor mutation burden and lower infiltration of immune cells, impeding their effective engagement during immunotherapy. However, it has been recently noted that cold tumors featuring lower densities of immune cells should be treated with ICIs in combination with priming therapy, which may enhance T-cell responses to convert an immune cold tumor to an immune hot tumor. Thus, a different or more extensive biomarker should be identified for the successful implementation of novel immunotherapies in NB patients to improve their survival.

There were some limitations of this study, which suffers from different bias, such as its retrospective nature, its relatively small number of cases and the mixed used of tumor tissues receiving or not receiving preoperative chemotherapy. We did not confirm the ICS model as a tool for clinical practice in a wide cohort of NB patients, which would need to be addressed by independent cohort sources to validate our findings.

Collectively, our data reveal that the aberrant expression of immune checkpoints is a prognostic factor in NB patients. Additionally, the ICS based on the expression levels of four immune checkpoints could guide NB patients’ risk stratification into different prognostic profiles. The combination of the ICS and age is a more robust model for predicting clinical outcomes. Altogether, these findings might provide clues for the novel implementation of immunotherapy in NB patients.
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