RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Neoadjuvant ipilimumab (3 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg) and high dose IFN- α 2b in locally/regionally advanced melanoma: safety, efficacy and impact on T-cell repertoire

Ahmad Tarhini^{1,2*} , Yan Lin¹, Huang Lin¹, Zahra Rahman¹, Priyanka Vallabhaneni¹, Prateek Mendiratta², James F. Pingpank¹, Matthew P. Holtzman¹, Erik C. Yusko³, Julie A. Rytlewski³, Uma N. M. Rao¹, Robert L. Ferris¹ and John M. Kirkwood¹

Abstract

Background: Neoadjuvant immunotherapy utilizing novel combinations has the potential to transform the standard of care for locally/regionally advanced melanoma. We hypothesized that neoadjuvant ipilimumab in combination with high dose IFN α 2b (HDI) is safe and associated with durable pathologic complete responses (pCR).

Methods: Patients with locally/regionally advanced melanoma were randomized to ipilimumab 3 or 10 mg/kg $\times$ 4 doses bracketing definitive surgery, then every 12 weeks $\times$ 4. HDI was given concurrently. We evaluated the safety and efficacy of the combination with ipilimumab 3 or 10 mg/kg. The impact on T-cell fraction and clonality were investigated in tumor and blood.

Results: Thirty patients (age 37–76), 15 each at 3 and 10 mg/kg, 18 male and 12 female were treated. Considering immune related adverse events (irAEs) of interest, more grade 3/4 irAEs were seen with ipilimumab 10 mg/kg versus 3 mg/kg ($p = 0.042$). Among 28 evaluable patients, 11 relapsed, of whom 5 died. Median follow-up for 17 patients who have not relapsed was 32 months. The radiologic preoperative response rate was 36% (95% CI, 21–54); 4 patients at ipilimumab 3 mg/kg and 6 at 10 mg/kg and 2 (at 10 mg/kg) later relapsed. The pCR was 32% (95% CI, 18–51); 5 patients at ipilimumab 3 mg/kg and 4 at 10 mg/kg and one (at 3 mg/kg) had a late relapse. In patients with pCR, T-cell fraction was significantly higher when measured in primary melanoma tumors ($p = 0.033$). Higher tumor T-cell clonality in primary tumor and more so following neoadjuvant therapy was significantly associated with improved relapse free survival.

Conclusions: Neoadjuvant ipilimumab-HDI was relatively safe and exhibited promising tumor response rates with an associated measurable impact on T-cell fraction and clonality. Most pCRs were durable supporting the value of pCR as a primary endpoint in neoadjuvant immunotherapy trials.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: tarhina1@ccf.org

List of where and when study presented elsewhere: Partly presented at the 2016 and 2017 ASCO Annual Meetings.

¹UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, USA

²Department of Hematology and Oncology, Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute and Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, 9500 Euclid Ave CA6-157, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov, [NCT01608594](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01608594). Registered 31 May 2012.

Keywords: Immunotherapy, Ipilimumab, Anti-CTLA-4, Interferon, Melanoma

Background

Patients with melanoma and clinically detectable regional lymphatic metastases have an unacceptable high 5-year relapse rate approaching 70–90% [1]. Local or regional relapse after initial surgical management portends a similar poor prognosis [2, 3]. The 5 and 10 year survival rates were 9–11% and 5%, respectively after a local recurrence in the Melanoma Intergroup Surgical Trial [3]. The current standard of care consists of aggressive surgical management aimed at rendering the patient disease free surgically, followed by systemic adjuvant therapy [4–7]. For these patients, there continues to be an urgent need to improve the clinical outcome through novel systemic neoadjuvant approaches that may minimize the surgical intervention in an era of unprecedented advances in systemic therapy [8].

Patients with advanced melanoma display strong Th2-type polarization [9, 10]. Both CTLA-4 blockade and IFN α can up-regulate the pro-inflammatory cytokine response (Th1 polarization) [11, 12], and are associated with increased T-cell and dendritic cell (DC) tumor infiltration [13–15]. The impact of IFN α on DCs is well established, affecting stages of myeloid DC generation, maturation, differentiation and function [16]. In immature states, IFN-treated DCs induce a ‘polarized’ Th1 cytokine micro-environment [17]. Similar to myeloid DCs, IFNs polarize lymphocytes toward a pro-inflammatory Th1 phenotype [18–20]. In the cytotoxic T-cell compartment, type I IFNs induce antitumor cell-mediated cytotoxicity [21], and promote natural killer (NK) cell-mediated proliferation and cytotoxicity [22]. This Th1 shift in immunity induced by IFN α can be countered by other mechanisms (e.g. CTLA-4) explaining very limited activity observed with IFN α as monotherapy in metastatic melanoma. Combining IFN α with CTLA-4 blockade may, however, alter this balance by down-regulating the CTLA4 suppressive regulatory elements.

We previously reported the results of a phase II study of the combination of IFN α -2b and the anti-CTLA4 antibody tremelimumab in patients with advanced inoperable melanoma [23]. The best durable response rate by intent to treat analysis of that trial ($N=37$) was 24%. Median progression-free survival (PFS) was 6.4 months (95% CI = 3.3, 13.1). Median overall survival (OS) was 21 months (95% CI = 9.5, –) [23]. In that trial, baseline absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) of $\geq 1000/\mu\text{L}$ was associated with both response ($p=0.02$) and disease control

($p=0.03$) [24]. These data supported further investigation of the combination of IFN α and CTLA4 blockade.

The clinical development of ipilimumab led to regulatory approval of the lower dose regimen (3 mg/kg) for the treatment of advanced inoperable melanoma, based on OS benefit in a landmark phase III trial [25], although a higher dosage regimen (10 mg/kg) was approved in the adjuvant setting [26]. An early phase II dose-ranging study suggested a small survival advantage for the higher dosage in terms of clinical activity, at the expense of significantly increased toxicity [27], that was further supported by a recently reported phase III trial [28]. Therefore, we conducted a randomized trial to evaluate the safety profile and efficacy of combination immunotherapy with high dose IFN α -2b (HDI) and ipilimumab at 3 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg, as a neoadjuvant therapy for locally/regionally advanced operable melanoma. Endpoints included safety, pathological response rate, radiologic preoperative response rate and the impact on T-cell fraction and clonality in tumor and peripheral blood.

Methods

Patients

Eligible patients were at least 18 years old and presented with clinically detectable locally and/or regionally advanced melanoma (cutaneous, mucosal or unknown primary) including (1) Tx or T1–4 and (2) N1b, or N2b, or N2c, or N3 and (3) M0 (AJCC 7th edition). Patients were required to meet protocol specified safety and tumor measurability criteria. Prior treatment with adjuvant HDI was allowed. The study was initiated after approval from the institutional review board (IRB) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A University of Pittsburgh IRB approved written informed consent (IRB# PRO12020161) was obtained from all patients.

Study design and treatment

The phase I study was designed as a randomized safety (primary endpoint) and efficacy (secondary) study. Patients were randomized to receive ipilimumab intravenously (IV) at 3 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 2 doses followed by definitive surgery (6–8 weeks after initiation of systemic therapy). After recovery from surgery and any adverse events (AEs), treatment with ipilimumab was resumed at the same dosage for 2

additional doses given 3 weeks apart. Ipilimumab was then continued in the absence of limiting AEs for up to 4 additional doses given 12 weeks apart. HDI was given concurrently IV at 20 MU/m²/day, 5 days/week for 4 weeks followed by 10 MU/m²/day subcutaneously (SC) every other day, 3 days/week for 2 weeks prior to definitive surgery. After recovery from surgery and limiting AEs, treatment with HDI was resumed at the same SC regimen dosing for 46 additional weeks.

When available, samples of the primary tumor biopsies were collected. A pre-treatment metastatic tumor biopsy was obtained followed by a second biopsy at definitive surgery. Blood specimens were also collected at baseline, 6 weeks, then at 3, 6 and 12 months. Blood was drawn into heparin (for peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PBMC) tubes or tubes without anticoagulant (serum) and processed by the Immunologic Monitoring Lab upon receipt. Tumor biopsies were transported to the Tissue Procurement Facility after surgery in sterile medium. Part of the tumor was formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, and part was enzymatically digested to single cells and cryopreserved. When available, samples of the relapse tumor biopsies were collected.

Safety and efficacy assessments

The descriptions and grading scales of the NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0 were used for adverse event grading and reporting. Toxicity specific management guidelines were provided separately in the study protocol for both ipilimumab and HDI. Patients were allowed to continue ipilimumab or HDI if a limiting AE was determined to be related to one agent but not the other. For the purpose of tumor response evaluation (modified WHO criteria), imaging studies were conducted at baseline, 6–8 weeks after initiating systemic therapy (before surgery) and then every 3 months. Responses were designated as complete (CR), partial (PR), stable disease (SD) or disease progression (PD). Due to the planned definitive surgery, it was not possible to confirm the responses radiologically. Pathologic complete response (pCR) was assessed at the time of definitive surgery and was defined as no visible or viable malignant cells on haematoxylin and eosin staining during histologic assessment by a surgical pathologist (U.N.M.R.). Further, if only single cancer cells or minute clumps of cancer cells were observed on histologic assessment after definitive surgery, such patients were classified as having a Microscopic residual disease (MRD) according to the reporting pathologist.

Statistical analysis

The response rates were estimated by the percentage of patients who achieved a pCR as well as clinical/radiologic CR, PR, or SD (by mWHO criteria) with corresponding

90% confidence limits. The Kaplan-Meier estimates of PFS and OS, with corresponding median survival (95% confidence limits) were determined.

Laboratory methods and corresponding statistical analyses

Biospecimen processing

The biopsy samples of tumor were formalin fixed and paraffin-embedded. For PBMC, blood was drawn into heparin tubes and for serum into tubes without anticoagulant and processed by the Immunologic Monitoring Lab upon receipt at baseline and at 6 weeks. PBMC were isolated by Ficoll gradient and cryopreserved for batched testing according to standard operating procedures. The sample freezers were monitored carefully for temperature fluctuations, and maintained the samples at -80C.

T-cell receptor sequencing

Tumor biopsies of metastatic melanoma were evaluable for testing at pretreatment (before initiating study therapy; $N = 20$ patients), definitive surgery (week 6–8; $N = 25$) and melanoma relapse ($N = 6$). In addition, specimens of primary melanoma were available ($N = 24$). PBMC were available for testing at pretreatment ($N = 29$), 6 weeks following the initiation of ipilimumab ($N = 24$), then at 3 ($N = 23$), 6 ($N = 21$) and 12 ($N = 14$) months.

Immunosequencing of CDR3 of human TCR β chains was performed using the immunoSEQ[®] Assay (Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle, WA). Extracted genomic DNA was amplified in a bias-controlled multiplex PCR, followed by high-throughput sequencing. Sequences were collapsed and filtered to identify and quantitate the absolute abundance of each unique TCR β CDR3 for further analysis, as previously described [29–31]. Immunosequencing was performed to determine TCRB clonality and T-cell fraction (fraction of T cells within the total nucleated cell count) in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and PBMC tissue compartments. The clonality metric quantitates the extent of mono- or oligo-clonal expansion by measuring the shape of the clone frequency distribution. Values range from 0 to 1, where values approaching 1 indicate a nearly monoclonal population. The fraction of T-cells in tissue samples was calculated by normalizing TCR β template counts [30] to the total amount of DNA usable for TCR sequencing [31], where the amount of usable DNA was determined by PCR-amplification and sequencing of several reference genes that are expected to be present in all nucleated cells.

Results

Patient characteristics

The baseline patient demographics and disease characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics (N = 30 patients)

Variable	No. of patients (%)
Age (years; Median (range))	61 (37–76)
Cutaneous primary	21 (70)
Unknown primary	8 (27)
Mucosal	1 (3)
Gender	
Female	12 (40)
Male	18 (60)
Performance status	
0	16 (53)
1	14 (47)
Recurrent disease after prior surgery	15 (50)
Presence of in-transit metastases	16 (53)
Prior adjuvant HDI	5 (17)
Estimated risk Stage	
IIIB	3 (10)
IIIC	25 (83)
IV (Not eligible)	2 (7)

Treatment details

Over 120 cycles of ipilimumab therapy were delivered to patients on this study, with a median of four cycles per patient. HDI was given in combination during these cycles and managed in accordance to the HDI dose holding and discontinuation criteria. Among 30 patients treated, the attrition rate for HDI was 9 (PD) and 6 (AE), while 15 patients completed the planned course of treatment.

Safety

The toxicities observed were consistent with the known AE profiles of ipilimumab and HDI. Table 2 summarizes by severity the adverse events (AEs) that were considered related to the study regimen. Among listed toxicities including, fever, fatigue, creatinine increase, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and isolated nausea and vomiting (in the absence of autoimmune entero-colitis), all were reversible with holding HDI dosing and without the need for corticosteroids supporting HDI as a cause rather than ipilimumab. Table 3 summarizes grade 3–4 immune related AEs by study arm. Among irAEs of interest summarized in Table 3, more grade 3/4 irAEs were seen among recipients of ipilimumab at 10 mg/kg compared to ipilimumab at 3 mg/kg ($p = 0.042$). There were no delays in the planned definitive surgery secondary to ongoing toxicity resulting from the neoadjuvant induction phase. One patient (ipilimumab 10 mg/kg) with a posterior trunk primary melanoma was found to have disease progression in a separate nodal site at imaging preoperatively. The

Table 2 Adverse events (worst grade; ≥ 2 pts) possibly, probably or definitely related to the study regimen (N = 30)

Type	Any Grade		Grade 3		Grade 4	
	No. patients	%	No. Pts.	%	No. Pts.	%
Skin						
Pruritus	19	63	2	7	0	0
Rash maculopapular	14	47	6	20	0	0
Gastrointestinal						
Diarrhea/Colitis	15	50	3	10	0	0
Nausea	16	53	1	3	0	0
Vomiting	9	30	1	3	0	0
Hepatic						
ALT	22	73	6	20	0	0
AST	28	93	5	17	1	3
Endocrine						
Hypothyroidism	8	27	0	0	0	0
Hyperthyroidism	3	10	0	0	0	0
Adrenal insufficiency	10	33	3	10	0	0
Hypophysitis	2	7	2	7	0	0
Lipase increased	9	30	3	10	0	0
Hematologic						
Neutropenia	21	70	2	7	0	0
Thrombocytopenia	20	67	0	0	0	0
Other						
Fever	17	57	0	0	0	0
Fatigue	27	90	16	53	0	0
CPK increased	19	63	2	7	0	0
Creatinine increased	6	20	2	7	0	0

decision was made to treat for 6 additional weeks after which he experienced a significant radiologic response and was rendered disease free surgically.

Efficacy analysis

Among 28 evaluable patients, 11 relapsed, of whom 5 died. The median follow-up for the 17 patients who have not relapsed is 32 months. Response rates by histological and radiological assessments are summarized in Table 4 by study arm. In addition to patients who achieved a pCR, 2 additional patients had only microscopic residual disease (MRD) at definitive surgery. Among patients with pCR or MRD (N = 11) one with pCR (at 3 mg/kg) experienced isolated nodal relapse after 18 months and was rendered disease free surgically. Therefore, after a median follow up of 32 months, 10/11 patients with pCR or MRD continued to be disease free. Among patients with clinical and radiologic response, 7/10 showed pCR and 1/10 had MRD. Further, 2 patients with clinical and radiologic response relapsed after 7 and 11 months,

Table 3 Grade 3–4 immune related adverse events divided by study arm ($N = 30$ patients)

	Any Grade		Grade 3		Grade 4		Grade 3/4 Ipi 3 mg/kg		Grade 3/4 Ipi 10 mg/kg	
	No. Pts.	%	No. Pts.	%	No. Pts.	%	No. Pts.	%	No. Pts.	%
Adrenal insufficiency	10	33	2	7	0	0	0	0	2	7
Hypophysitis	2	7	2	7	0	0	0	0	2	7
Diarrhea/Colitis	15	50	3	10	0	0	2	7	1	3
AST/ALT	28	93	5	17	1	3	2	7	4	13
Lipase increased	9	30	3	10	0	0	0	0	3	10
Rash, maculo-papular	14	47	7	23	0	0	4	13	3	10
Pneumonitis	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	3
Autoimmune nephritis	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	3

respectively. Both of these patients had gross residual disease at the time of definitive surgery. Therefore, at a median follow up interval of 32 months, 8/10 radiologic responders continued to be disease free.

Median PFS was not reached. The probability of PFS at 6 and 12 months was 0.86, 95% CI (0.74, 1.0) and 0.79, 95% CI (0.65, 0.95) respectively. The probability of OS at 12 and 24 months was 0.93, 95% CI (0.84, 1.0) and 0.89, 95% CI (0.79, 1.0).

Immune correlates

PBMC clonality trended towards lower values at baseline and became significantly lower at 12 weeks ($p = 0.025$) for patients who continued to be relapse free (NED) versus those who relapsed following definitive surgery, as shown in Fig. 1. In the TME, among patients with pCR the tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) fraction was significantly higher when measured in primary melanoma tumors ($p = 0.033$) and tended to be higher throughout at other time points as shown in Fig. 2. No significant impact upon T-cell fraction in the TME was seen when comparing the two different ipilimumab dosages. Further, higher TIL clonality in the primary tumor, and following neoadjuvant immunotherapy (~6–8 weeks from baseline) was found to be associated with improved relapse-free survival, Fig. 3. The number of tumor-associated clones in the baseline tumor biopsies that were expanded in the blood following neoadjuvant

treatment was strongly correlated with both TIL fraction (Rho 0.7299, $p = 0.0003$) and TIL clone diversity (Rho 0.882, $p = 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$), Additional file 1: Figure S4.

Discussion

This tested regimen utilizing CTLA4 blockade in combination with IFN α provides a major advance over neoadjuvant ipilimumab monotherapy as we had previously tested [32]. In this study, the clinical and radiological preoperative response rate to neoadjuvant therapy with IFN + Ipi prior to definitive surgery (WHO; unconfirmed) was 36% (10/28) and the pCR rate in the definitive surgical specimen (no viable tumor on histologic assessment) was 32% (9/28), without significant differences between the two ipilimumab dosages of 3 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg. Among patients with pCR or MRD ($N = 11$) one with pCR experienced a late isolated nodal relapse and was rendered disease free surgically. Therefore, after a median follow up of 32 months, 10/11 patients with pCR or MRD continued to be disease free. Among patients with clinical and radiologic response, 7/10 showed pCR and 1/10 had MRD. Further, at a median follow up interval of 32 months, 8/10 radiologic responders continued to be disease free. These data support the value of pCR as a primary endpoint in future neoadjuvant immunotherapy trials, as a meaningful clinical endpoint of therapy that correlates with durable antitumor benefits. It is also encouraging that there is a

Table 4 Response rate (RR) by radiologic (preoperative; 6 weeks from baseline) and histologic (6–8 weeks from baseline) assessments

	All patients ($N = 28$)		Ipi 3 mg/kg ($n = 14$)		Ipi 10 mg/kg ($n = 14$)	
	No. pts.	%	No. pts.	%	No. pts.	%
Radiologic preoperative RR (WHO; unconfirmed)	10 (9 PR, 1 CR)	36%	4 (3 PR, 1 CR)	29	6 (All PR)	43
Pathologic complete RR (no viable tumor on histologic assessment)	9	32%	5	36	4	29
Among radiologic responders						
• One relapsed and later responded to anti-PD1 antibody therapy						
Among complete pathologic responders						
• None relapsed to date

strong correlation between preoperative clinical and radiologic responses and pCR and pathologically confirmed MRD. Ultimately, with the utilization of novel radiologic imaging and radiolabelling techniques, it would be important to attempt radiological prediction of major histologic responses and durable clinical benefits, in the absence of additional surgery. Such efforts are being adopted in the next generation of neoadjuvant studies in the national clinical trials network (EA6182; neoadjuvant anti-PD1 based immunotherapy combinations in melanoma). Current optimal imaging and

radiomics techniques that will take advantage of advanced molecular imaging and high-throughput computing programs are an area of active investigation, with promise in this regard [33].

For patients with locally-regionally advanced melanoma, neoadjuvant therapy utilizing novel combinations of immunotherapeutic and targeted agents has the potential to transform the standard of care, and to guide the selection of new regimens for phase III trial evaluation. Neoadjuvant therapy has supported the ability to improve clinical outcome of many solid tumors including breast, head and neck, bladder, esophageal and rectal cancers [34–37]. Improvements in survival, surgical resectability, local control and organ preservation are now more likely with new effective agents available for the treatment of melanoma [34–37]. The ability to evaluate and compare pathologic and clinical/radiologic responses are of inestimable value to the field, where the conduct of formal phase III trials to evaluate current combinations otherwise exceeds the capacity of the system. In breast cancer, pCR after neoadjuvant chemotherapy has been shown to be significantly associated with favorable long-term survival rates [38–40]. This has led to the recommendation by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that pCR be evaluated as a primary end point in neoadjuvant breast cancer trials. Compared with chemotherapy, objective complete responses with immunotherapy in advanced melanoma are more likely to correlate with durable disease control and survival benefits, indirectly supporting the value of pCR in neoadjuvant melanoma immunotherapy trials [41–43].

Previous neoadjuvant studies in melanoma have tested chemotherapy with temozolomide given preoperatively orally in 2 cycles, where limited clinical activity and rare objective responses without pCR were noted [44]. Neoadjuvant biochemotherapy (BCT) with cisplatin, vinblastine, dacarbazine, interleukin-2 and IFN α was tested in 2 studies [45, 46]. Response rates approaching 40–50% and pCR rates of 6–11% were reported. However, following the results of RCTs in metastatic disease showing the lack of survival improvement over chemotherapy, BCT has generally been abandoned [41]. Neoadjuvant immunotherapy studies in melanoma to date have included trials of HDI, ipilimumab at 10 mg/kg, and the combinations of nivolumab with ipilimumab; targeted approaches with dabrafenib and trametinib have also been reported [47–49]. Among 20 patients treated with neoadjuvant HDI, 3 had pCR and the overall clinical response rate was 55% [50]. In the neoadjuvant study of ipilimumab ($N=33$), no pCR was found and the clinical response rate was $\sim 10\%$ [32]. These studies yielded evidence of promising clinical activity, but have, moreover, shown important biomarker and biological findings that illuminated the mechanism of

action for these agents [32, 51]. Neoadjuvant studies of other molecularly targeted and immunotherapeutic agents are ongoing [52]. A recently reported study tested neoadjuvant dabrafenib and trametinib in BRAF-mutant melanoma. Among 13 evaluable patients treated with the combination, 11 (85%) achieved an objective response (2 CR, 9 PR). Among 12 patients who underwent surgery, 7 (58%) achieved pCR. Interestingly, event-free survival after surgery did not significantly differ by pathological response, but patients with a pCR had a significantly longer distant metastasis-free survival [53].

In the present study, the toxicity profile observed in the neoadjuvant setting was consistent with what is known for HDI and for ipilimumab, in terms of the quality and frequency of adverse events. The rates of immune related AEs (irAE) were also similar to reported rates with ipilimumab at 3 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg [54], with less frequent irAEs seen at 3 mg/kg. Our findings are consistent with a recent phase 3 trial testing the benefit-risk profile of ipilimumab 3 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg, showing increased treatment-related adverse events with 10 mg/kg, with modest improvement in OS that did not lead to labeling or practice changes [28]. Further, we recently reported an interim analysis of E1609 adjuvant trial evaluating the safety and RFS of concurrently randomized high-risk melanoma patients who received ipilimumab at either 10 mg/kg or 3 mg/kg. Our analysis found significantly more toxicity with the higher dosage, but no difference in RFS at a median follow up interval of 3.1 years [55].

In the present neoadjuvant study, we immunosequenced the TCR in the TME and PBMC to evaluate the repertoire of T-cell clonality and diversity and T-cell fraction at baseline and following 6 weeks of neoadjuvant therapy.

The TIL fraction in the TME or primary tumors was significantly correlated with pCR during neoadjuvant therapy. Further, PBMC clonality expanded following treatment, in parallel to TIL clonality expansion in the TME, which correlated with improved clinical outcome. Therefore, the impact of this systemic neoadjuvant immunotherapy is evident both systemically in the circulation and locally in the TME. Interestingly, patients with higher TIL fractions in the TME were more likely to have tumor-associated clones detectable in the blood, suggesting that these clones may be useful for tracking the immune response. Local and systemic T-cell clonal expansion has been positively correlated with clinical outcomes after immunotherapy in melanoma and other solid tumors [56–59]. In metastatic melanoma, patients treated with anti-PD1 immunotherapy, TIL clonality increased by more than ten times in patients with antitumor response compared to those who progressed [56]. In a small cohort of 12 patients treated with ipilimumab alone, TCR diversity in the peripheral blood was associated with clinical outcomes [57]. A study of PBMCs from patients with castration resistant prostate cancer and melanoma treated with ipilimumab or tremelimumab suggested that CTLA-4 blockade leads to T-cell repertoire evolution and diversification—and that improved clinical outcomes were associated with preservation of clonotype diversity [58].

Recent landmark adjuvant studies have shown dramatic improvement in the relapse free survival of resected stage III melanoma with the use of single agent nivolumab or single agent pembrolizumab or with the use of dual targeted therapy (dabrafenib and trametinib) for BRAF mutant melanoma [60–62]. Neoadjuvant therapy for locally-regionally advanced melanoma is an area of active investigation that also appears to be

destined to change the current clinical practice in managing this disease, taking advantage of emerging active agents and combinations. Therefore, this regimen could be proposed for phase III testing if current studies appear less effective. If taken forward, we would utilize the low ipilimumab dose regimen.

Conclusions

Neoadjuvant immunotherapy with ipilimumab and HDI for patients with locally-regionally advanced melanoma exhibits promising pCR and radiologic response rates at both 3 and 10 mg/kg dosage levels of ipilimumab. Most responses were durable, supporting the value of pCR as a primary endpoint in neoadjuvant immunotherapy trials.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S4. Clonal relatedness in the tumor and blood. The number of tumor-associated clones in the baseline tumor biopsies that were expanded in blood post-treatment was strongly correlated with both (A) tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) fraction (Rho 0.7299, $p = 0.0003$) and (B) TIL clone diversity (Rho 0.882, $p = 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$). (PPTX 338 kb)

Acknowledgments

None.

Funding

This study received research grant support Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb and Adaptive Biotechnologies.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analyzed during study available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

Study Design: AT, YL. Study Conduct: AT, YL, EY, JR. Data Collection: All authors. Data Analysis: AT, YL, EY, JR. Manuscript Write Up: All authors. Final Approval of Manuscript: All authors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was initiated after approval from the institutional review board (IRB) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A University of Pittsburgh IRB approved written informed consent (IRB# PRO12020161) was obtained from all patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Dr. Tahrini received research grant support Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb and Adaptive Biotechnologies. Dr. Tahrini served as a consultant for Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb, HUYA, Pfizer, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Genentech-Roche, Array Biopharma, NewLink Genetics. John M Kirkwood declared consulting or advisory role to BMS, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Genentech, EMD Serrono, Array Biopharma, Prometheus. Erik C. Yusko and Julie A. Rytlewski are employees or Adaptive Biotechnologies. The rest of the authors declare no potential conflicts of interest relevant to the study.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, USA. ²Department of Hematology and Oncology, Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute and Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, 9500 Euclid Ave CA6-157, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA. ³Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle, USA.

Received: 31 July 2018 Accepted: 10 October 2018

Published online: 23 October 2018

References

- Romano E, Scordo M, Dusza SW, Coit DG, Chapman PB. Site and timing of first relapse in stage III melanoma patients: implications for follow-up guidelines. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(18):3042–7.
- Karakousis CP, Balch CM, Urist MM, Ross MM, Smith TJ, Bartolucci AA. Local recurrence in malignant melanoma: long-term results of the multiinstitutional randomized surgical trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 1996;3(5):446–52.
- Balch CM, Soong SJ, Smith T, Ross MI, Urist MM, Karakousis CP, et al. Long-term results of a prospective surgical trial comparing 2 cm vs. 4 cm excision margins for 740 patients with 1–4 mm melanomas. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2001;8(2):101–8.
- Kirkwood JM, Strawderman MH, Ernstoff MS, Smith TJ, Borden EC, Blum RH. Interferon alfa-2b adjuvant therapy of high-risk resected cutaneous melanoma: the eastern cooperative oncology group trial EST 1684. *J Clin Oncol*. 1996;14(1):7–17.
- Kirkwood JM, Ibrahim JG, Sondak VK, Richards J, Flaherty LE, Ernstoff MS, et al. High- and low-dose interferon alfa-2b in high-risk melanoma: first analysis of intergroup trial E1690/S9111/C9190. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18(12):2444–58.
- Kirkwood JM, Ibrahim JG, Sosman JA, Sondak VK, Agarwala SS, Ernstoff MS, et al. High-dose interferon alfa-2b significantly prolongs relapse-free and overall survival compared with the GM2-KLH/QS-21 vaccine in patients with resected stage IIB–III melanoma: results of intergroup trial E1694/S9512/C509801. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(9):2370–80.
- Mocellin S, Lens MB, Pasquali S, Pilati P, Chiarion SV. Interferon alpha for the adjuvant treatment of cutaneous melanoma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;6:CD008955.
- Achkar T, Tahrini AA. The use of immunotherapy in the treatment of melanoma. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2017;10(1):88.
- Tatsumi T, Kierstead LS, Ranieri E, Gesualdo L, Schena FP, Finke JH, et al. Disease-associated bias in T helper type 1 (Th1)/Th2 CD4(+) T cell responses against MAGE-6 in HLA-DRB10401(+) patients with renal cell carcinoma or melanoma. *J Exp Med*. 2002;196(5):619–28.
- Tatsumi T, Herrem CJ, Olson WC, Finke JH, Bukowski RM, Kinch MS, et al. Disease stage variation in CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell reactivity to the receptor tyrosine kinase EphA2 in patients with renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 2003;63(15):4481–9.
- Yurkovetsky ZR, Kirkwood JM, Edington HD, Marrangoni AM, Velikokhatnaya L, Winans MT, et al. Multiplex analysis of serum cytokines in melanoma patients treated with interferon-alpha2b. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13(8):2422–8.
- Hanson DC, Canniff PC, Primiano MJ, Donovan CB, Gardner JP, Natoli EJ, Morgan RW, Mather RJ, Singleton DH, Hermes PA, Neveu MJ, Andrews GC, Castro CD, Elliott EA, Bedian V. Preclinical in vitro characterization of anti-CTLA4 therapeutic antibody CP-675,206. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res*. 2004;45(Abs):3802.
- Moschos SJ, Edington HD, Land SR, Rao UN, Jukic D, Shipe-Spotloe J, et al. Neoadjuvant treatment of regional stage IIIB melanoma with high-dose interferon alfa-2b induces objective tumor regression in association with modulation of tumor infiltrating host cellular immune responses. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(19):3164–71.
- Ribas ADT, Comin-Anduix B, de la Rocha P, Glaspy JA, Economou JS, Gomez-Navarro J, Cochran AJ. Changes in intratumoral immune cell infiltrates, Foxp3 and indoleamine 2, 3-dioxygenase (IDO) expression with the CTLA4 blocking MAB CP-675,206. *J Immunother*. 2006;29(6):636.
- Hamid O, Chasalow SD, Tsuchihashi Z, Alaparthi S, Galbraith S, Berman D. Association of baseline and on-study tumor biopsy markers with clinical activity in patients (pts) with advanced melanoma treated with ipilimumab. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(suppl; abstr 9008):15s.

16. Paquette RL. Interferon-alpha and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor differentiate peripheral blood monocytes into potent antigen-presenting cells. *J Leukoc Biol*. 1998;64(3):358–67.
17. Parlato S, Santini SM, Lapenta C, Di Pucchio T, Logozzi M, Spada M, et al. Expression of CCR-7, MIP-3beta, and Th-1 chemokines in type I IFN-induced monocyte-derived dendritic cells: importance for the rapid acquisition of potent migratory and functional activities. *Blood*. 2001;98(10):3022–9.
18. Brinkmann V, Geiger T, Alkan S, Heusser CH. Interferon alpha increases the frequency of interferon gamma-producing human CD4+ T cells. *J Exp Med*. 1993;178(5):1655–63.
19. Wenner CA, Guler ML, Macatonia SE, O'Garra A, Murphy KM. Roles of IFN-gamma and IFN-alpha in IL-12-induced T helper cell-1 development. *J Immunol*. 1996;156(4):1442–7.
20. Rogge L, Barberis-Maino L, Biffi M, Passini N, Presky DH, Gubler U, et al. Selective expression of an interleukin-12 receptor component by human T helper 1 cells. *J Exp Med*. 1997;185(5):825–31.
21. Palmer KJ, Harries M, Gore ME, Collins MK. Interferon-alpha (IFN-alpha) stimulates anti-melanoma cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) generation in mixed lymphocyte tumour cultures (MLTC). *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2000;119(3):412–8.
22. Carballido JA, Molto LM, Manzano L, Olivier C, Salmeron OJ, Alvarez de mon M. Interferon-alpha-2b enhances the natural killer activity of patients with transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Cancer*. 1993;72(5):1743–8.
23. Tahrini AA, Cherian J, Moschos SJ, Tawbi HA, Shuai Y, Gooding WE, et al. Safety and efficacy of combination immunotherapy with interferon alfa-2b and tremelimumab in patients with stage IV melanoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(3):322–8.
24. Tahrini AA, Moschos SJ, Tawbi H, Shuai Y, Gooding WE, Sander C, et al. Phase II evaluation of tremelimumab (Treme) combined with high-dose interferon alpha-2b (HDI) for metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(suppl; abstr 8524):15s.
25. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(8):711–23.
26. Eggermont AM, Chiarion-Sileni V, Grob JJ, Dummer R, Wolchok JD, Schmidt H, et al. Adjuvant ipilimumab versus placebo after complete resection of high-risk stage III melanoma (EORTC 18071): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015;16(5):522–30.
27. Wolchok JD, Neyns B, Linette G, Negrier S, Lutzky J, Thomas L, et al. Ipilimumab monotherapy in patients with pretreated advanced melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 2, dose-ranging study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(2):155–64.
28. Ascierto PA, Del Vecchio M, Robert C, Mackiewicz A, Chiarion-Sileni V, Arance A, et al. Ipilimumab 10 mg/kg versus ipilimumab 3 mg/kg in patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18(5):611–22.
29. Robins HS, Campregher PV, Srivastava SK, Wachter A, Turtle CJ, Kahsai O, et al. Comprehensive assessment of T-cell receptor beta-chain diversity in alphabeta T cells. *Blood*. 2009;114(19):4099–107.
30. Carlson CS, Emerson RO, Sherwood AM, Desmarais C, Chung MW, Parsons JM, et al. Using synthetic templates to design an unbiased multiplex PCR assay. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2680.
31. Robins H, Desmarais C, Matthias J, Livingston R, Andriesen J, Reijonen H, et al. Ultra-sensitive detection of rare T cell clones. *J Immunol Methods*. 2012; 375(1–2):14–9.
32. Tahrini AA, Edington H, Butterfield LH, Lin Y, Shuai Y, Tawbi H, et al. Immune monitoring of the circulation and the tumor microenvironment in patients with regionally advanced melanoma receiving neoadjuvant ipilimumab. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(2):e87705.
33. Subbiah V, Chuang HH, Gambhire D, Kairemo K. Defining Clinical Response Criteria and Early Response Criteria for Precision Oncology: Current State-of-the-Art and Future Perspectives. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2017;15(7):1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics7010010>.
34. Estevez LG, Gradishar WJ. Evidence-based use of neoadjuvant taxane in operable and inoperable breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2004;10(10):3249–61.
35. Grossman HB, Natale RB, Tangen CM, Speights VO, Vogelzang NJ, Trump DL, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus cystectomy compared with cystectomy alone for locally advanced bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349(9):859–66.
36. Medical Research Council Oesophageal Cancer Working Group. Surgical resection with or without preoperative chemotherapy in oesophageal cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9319):1727–33.
37. Fisher B, Brown A, Mamounas E, Wieand S, Robidoux A, Margolese RG, et al. Effect of preoperative chemotherapy on local-regional disease in women with operable breast cancer: findings from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and bowel project B-18. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997;15(7):2483–93.
38. Rastogi P, Anderson SJ, Bear HD, Geyer CE, Kahlenberg MS, Robidoux A, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy: updates of National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and bowel project protocols B-18 and B-27. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(5):778–85.
39. Bear HD, Anderson S, Smith RE, Geyer CE Jr, Mamounas EP, Fisher B, et al. Sequential preoperative or postoperative docetaxel added to preoperative doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide for operable breast cancer: National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and bowel project protocol B-27. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(13):2019–27.
40. van der Hage JA, van de Velde CJ, Julien JP, Tubiana-Hulin M, Vandervelden C, Duchateau L. Preoperative chemotherapy in primary operable breast cancer: results from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer trial 10902. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(22):4224–37.
41. Atkins MB, Hsu J, Lee S, Cohen GI, Flaherty LE, Sosman JA, et al. Phase III trial comparing concurrent biochemotherapy with cisplatin, vinblastine, dacarbazine, interleukin-2, and interferon alfa-2b with cisplatin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine alone in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma (E3695): a trial coordinated by the eastern cooperative oncology group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(35):5748–54.
42. Atkins MB, Kunkel L, Sznol M, Rosenberg SA. High-dose recombinant interleukin-2 therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma: long-term survival update. *Cancer J Sci Am*. 2000;6(suppl 1):S11–4.
43. Wolchok JD, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Rutkowski P, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Wagstaff J, Schadendorf D, Ferrucci PF, Smylie M, Dummer R, Hill A, Hogg D, Haanen J, Carlino MS, Bechter O, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, Guidoboni M, McArthur G, Lebbé C, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Cebon J, Sosman J, Postow MA, Callahan MK, Walker D, Rollin L, Bhore R, Hodi FS, Larkin J. Overall Survival with Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(14):1345–356. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1709684>. Epub 2017 Sep 11.
44. Shah GD, Socci ND, Gold JS, Wolchok JD, Carvajal RD, Panageas KS, et al. Phase II trial of neoadjuvant temozolomide in resectable melanoma patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2010;21(8):1718–22.
45. Buzaid AC, Colome M, Bedikian A, Eton O, Legha SS, Papadopoulos N, et al. Phase II study of neoadjuvant concurrent biochemotherapy in melanoma patients with local-regional metastases. *Melanoma Res*. 1998;8(6):549–56.
46. Gibbs P, Anderson C, Pearlman N, LaClaire S, Becker M, Gatlin K, et al. A phase II study of neoadjuvant biochemotherapy for stage III melanoma. *Cancer*. 2002;94(2):470–6.
47. Kirkwood JM, Ernstoff MS, Davis CA, Reiss M, Ferraresi R, Rudnick SA. Comparison of intramuscular and intravenous recombinant alpha-2 interferon in melanoma and other cancers. *Ann Intern Med*. 1985;103(1):32–6.
48. Investigator's Brochure [AVE0005 (VEGF Trap)] (2005). Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY and Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc., Bridgewater, NJ. Edition 5. March 29, 2005.
49. Tahrini AA, Rahman Z, Lin Y, Vallabhaneni P, Tawbi H, Pingpank JF, et al. editors. Neoadjuvant combination immunotherapy with ipilimumab (3 mg/kg or 10mg/kg) and high dose IFN-a2b in locally/regionally advanced melanoma. Abstract ID: 9585. ASCO Annual Meeting; 2016.
50. Tahrini AA, Pahuja S, Kirkwood JM. Neoadjuvant therapy for high-risk bulky regional melanoma. *J Surg Oncol*. 2011;104(4):386–90.
51. Retseck J, Vanderweele R, Lin HM, Lin Y, Butterfield LH, Tahrini AA. Phenotypic and functional testing of circulating regulatory T cells in advanced melanoma patients treated with neoadjuvant ipilimumab. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2016;4:38.
52. Tahrini AA, Lorigan P, Leachman S. Operable melanoma: screening, prognostication, and adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book*. 2017;37:651–60.
53. Amaria RN, Prieto PA, Tetzlaff MT, Reuben A, Andrews MC, Ross MI, et al. Neoadjuvant plus adjuvant dabrafenib and trametinib versus standard of care in patients with high-risk, surgically resectable melanoma: a single-Centre, open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018;19(2):181–93.

54. Tarhini A. Immune-Mediated Adverse Events Associated with Ipilimumab CTLA-4 Blockade Therapy: The Underlying Mechanisms and Clinical Management. *Scientifica (Cairo)*. 2013;2013:857519.
55. Tarhini A, Lee S, Hodi F, Rao U, Cohen G, Hamid O, et al. A phase III randomized study of adjuvant ipilimumab (3 or 10 mg/kg) versus high-dose interferon alfa-2b for resected high-risk melanoma (U.S. Intergroup E1609): preliminary safety and efficacy of the ipilimumab arms. Chicago, IL: Oral presentation at: 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology annual meeting; June 2-6, 2017; 2017.
56. Tumei PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJ, Robert L, et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature*. 2014;515(7528):568–71.
57. Postow MA, Manuel M, Wong P, Yuan J, Dong Z, Liu C, et al. Peripheral T cell receptor diversity is associated with clinical outcomes following ipilimumab treatment in metastatic melanoma. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2015;3:23.
58. Cha E, Klinger M, Hou Y, Cummings C, Ribas A, Faham M, et al. Improved survival with T cell clonotype stability after anti-CTLA-4 treatment in cancer patients. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6(238):238ra70.
59. Tamura K, Hazama S, Yamaguchi R, Imoto S, Takenouchi H, Inoue Y, et al. Characterization of the T cell repertoire by deep T cell receptor sequencing in tissues and blood from patients with advanced colorectal cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2016;11(6):3643–9.
60. Weber J, Mandala M, Del Vecchio M, Gogas HJ, Arance AM, Cowey CL, et al. Adjuvant Nivolumab versus Ipilimumab in resected stage III or IV melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(19):1824–35.
61. Long GV, Hauschild A, Santinami M, Atkinson V, Mandala M, Chiarion-Sileni V, et al. Adjuvant Dabrafenib plus Trametinib in stage III BRAF-mutated melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(19):1813–23.
62. Eggermont AMM, Blank CU, Mandala M, Long GV, Atkinson V, Dalle S, et al. Adjuvant Pembrolizumab versus placebo in resected stage III melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(19):1789–801.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions