CASE REPORT

Open Access

Pseudoprogression manifesting as recurrent ascites with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in urothelial bladder cancer

Randy F. Sweis^{1*}, Yuanyuan Zha², Lomax Pass³, Brian Heiss¹, Tara Chongsawat¹, Jason J. Luke¹, Thomas F. Gajewski^{1,2} and Russell Szmulewitz¹

Abstract

Background: Immunotherapies targeting the PD-1 checkpoint pathway have recently gained regulatory approval in numerous cancer types. With the widespread use of immune checkpoint therapies, varying patterns of responses and immune-related adverse events are being observed.

Case Presentation: In this case, we highlight a patient who developed recurrent, large-volume ascites, while simultaneously having a 49% reduction in peritoneal tumor lesion size by RECIST criteria. Sampling of the fluid revealed high levels of IL-6 and IL-15. Cytology revealed no malignant cells on 4 separate paracenteses over a period of 6 weeks. Cell counts revealed that 45% of cells were lymphocytes, and further analysis was performed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). The majority of lymphocytes were CD8⁺, of which 78% were PD-1⁺ and 43% were HLA-DR⁺ indicating an activated phenotype.

Conclusions: In summary, treatment with anti-PD-1 therapy may result in pseudoprogression manifested by ascitic fluid accumulation due to the influx of activated T cells. Since worsening of ascites is typically associated with disease progression, it is important to consider the possibility of pseudoprogression in such patients undergoing therapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Keywords: Immunotherapy, Pseudoprogression, PD-1, Bladder urothelial cancer, Immune checkpoint, Pembrolizumab

Background

Antibodies targeting negative regulation of immune cells, known as immune checkpoint inhibitors, have dramatically impacted the therapeutic landscape for numerous cancers. In bladder cancer, five immunotherapies targeting the PD-1 pathway were approved between 2015 and 2016 after a period of decades without any new drug approvals [1–6]. Disinhibiting negative regulation on immune cells is associated with a distinct pattern of toxicities and is also associated with unique radiographic patterns of response. Given the rapid and widespread uptake in the clinical use of immune

checkpoint inhibitors, rarer toxicities and atypical clinical manifestations of responses are now being observed and reported. Pseudoprogression, for instance, is a phenomenon that is manifested by apparent progression on imaging followed by subsequent regression in tumor size [7–11]. In melanoma, 28% of patients treated beyond progression with the anti-PD1 inhibitor nivolumab had subsequent responses with greater than 30% reduction in target lesion size. Reports analyzing tumor tissue in this setting have reported the influx of T lymphocytes and other immune cells [11]. Pseudoprogression is an important phenomenon to recognize and understand, since it may result in inappropriately discontinuing therapy in a patient who may actually be responding favorably. This concern has even led to the development of a distinct set of response criteria that account for pseudoprogression, in

* Correspondence: rsweis@uchicago.edu

¹Department of Medicine, Section of Hematology/Oncology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Chicago Medicine, 5841 S. South Maryland Avenue, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

contrast to traditional methodology using Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) [12–14].

Pseudoprogression has also been noted to manifest not only radiographically, but also through clinical findings. Recently, two cases have been reported illustrating the development of pleural and pericardial effusions in patients with tumor regression after anti-PD-1 therapy with nivolumab [15]. Interestingly, analysis of the pericardial and pleural fluid showed 5% and 30% lymphocytes in those cases, respectively. To our knowledge, the development of ascites as a manifestation of pseudoprogression has not been reported. Herein we highlight a case where a patient developed large-volume recurrent ascites with concurrent regression of peritoneal metastasis on imaging indicative of response.

Case presentation

A 61-year-old woman developed hematuria and underwent cystoscopy revealing a large tumor in the posterolateral bladder wall. Biopsy revealed poorly differentiated muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma. Immunohistochemical stains were positive for CK-7 and GATA-3, and negative for CK-20. She underwent two cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and cisplatin before treatment was discontinued due to severe neutropenia. She then elected for external beam radiation for 9 weeks without concurrent chemotherapy. PET-CT scan imaging showed a good response without any identified residual or recurrent bladder masses or lymphadenopathy. Six months later a residual tumor in the bladder was noted on cystoscopy. CT abdomen and pelvis showed a recurrent mass in the bladder with likely invasion into the vaginal cuff, an enlarged para-aortic nodule, and two peritoneal nodules. Surgery was not recommended, and she began second-line chemotherapy with pemetrexed. Shortly after one cycle, her performance status declined, she developed rectal bleeding, and was admitted to the hospital. Diagnostic workup with colonoscopy revealed angiodysplasias in the colon that were treated with argon plasma coagulation. Areas of erythematous, friable mucosa were noted, so she was diagnosed with radiation proctitis. She was evaluated by gastroenterology, treated supportively with sucralfate and mesalamine enemas, and discharged from the hospital. At her clinic follow up, pemetrexed was discontinued in favor of a clinical trial evaluating pembrolizumab in bladder cancer. Of note, she had a history of hepatitis C virus infection, which was previously cured with the combination of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir. Prior to starting pembrolizumab, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing confirmed an undetectable viral load. Her ChildPugh score was 6 (Class A). She was started on pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks.

Prior to starting immunotherapy, she had no significant ascites on clinical exam or CT scans. Three weeks after starting anti-PD-1 therapy, she developed abdominal discomfort and early satiety, and was found to have large-volume ascites on clinical exam. She underwent diagnostic and palliative paracentesis, with 4500 ml of ascitic fluid drained. She had a marked improvement in her discomfort. The ascites was considered a Grade 2 toxicity, which was not considered to be drug-related at the time. Cytology was performed and no malignant cells were identified in the fluid. The initial paracentesis fluid showed a serum-ascites albumin gradient (SAAG) > 1.5, total protein of 1.2 g/dL, and WBCs of 231/μL including 45% lymphocytes. Cytology only showed reactive cellular changes and inflammation. Over the next 6 weeks, she underwent 3 more diagnostic and palliative paracenteses and subsequent cytological analyses also showed inflammatory changes with no malignant cells. She never developed fever or leukocytosis. Liver function tests remained stable throughout this time period. After 3 cycles of therapy, her CT imaging showed a partial response per RECIST v1.1 with a 49% reduction in the size of her target lesions (Fig. 1).

Given the high percentage of lymphocytes in the peritoneal fluid, further characterization was performed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). This analysis revealed that CD8⁺ lymphocytes comprised 53% of the CD45⁺CD3⁺ cells (Fig. 2a). The majority of CD8⁺ lymphocytes were PD-1⁺ (78%). Class II MHC (HLA-DR) and CD40 ligand (CD154) were expressed on 43% and 20% of CD8⁺ lymphocytes, respectively. All three markers were increased in comparison to a normal donor PBMC control, suggesting a locally high number of antigen-experienced, activated T cells. FoxP3⁺CD25⁺ Tregs were also detected as 17% of the CD45⁺CD3⁺CD4⁺ compartment. We also interrogated the fluid for the presences of cytokines using a multiplex cytokine assay for 38 analytes. The most significantly elevated factors were IL-6 and IL-15, which were measured more than 3-fold above healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) sample controls (Fig. 2b and Additional file 1: Table S1).

Ultimately, the patient developed worsening symptoms and was hospitalized due to radiation proctitis and also a pulmonary infection. Despite the response anti-PD-1 therapy on imaging, her quality of life declined given these various comorbid conditions. She ultimately elected to discontinue all therapy and enrolled in hospice.

Discussion

There is an emerging literature of unusual responses and toxicities to immune checkpoint therapy, including recurrent pleural effusions and cardiac tamponade [15]. Here we report a patient with metastatic urothelial

Fig. 1 Baseline images (left) compared with 9 week CT scan (right) showing reduction in target lesion size with anti-PD-1 therapy

cancer including peritoneal nodules who responded to anti-PD-1 therapy with pembrolizumab, but developed concurrent large-volume ascites. The ascites developed by the 3-week time point and persisted through the third cycle at 9 weeks, recurring despite 4 diagnostic and therapeutic paracenteses. The time course for the development of ascites in this case is consistent with that of classical imaging-based pseudoprogression and the recent reports of pleural and pericardial effusions [15, 16]. Typically, the recurrent accumulation of ascites in the setting of peritoneal carcinomatosis most commonly represents progression of disease. However, in this case the peritoneal fluid was sampled repeatedly to rule out the presence of malignant cells. The initial paracentesis showed a transudate with a SAAG > 1.5 and a total protein of 1.2 g/dL, which together are not as high as what is typical for peritoneal carcinomatosis. The cell counts demonstrated lymphocyte enrichment, and FACS analysis showed that the lymphocytes were antigen-experienced, activated CD8⁺ T cells.

Limited data are published regarding the T cell subpopulations found in cancer ascites. In one series, a phenotypic analysis showed the percentage of HLA-DR-expressing CD8⁺ T cells was higher in untreated ovarian cancer patients' ascites (33%) versus non-malignant cirrhotic ascites (21%) [17]. Both of these percentages are lower than the 43% observed in the current case. Similarly, another ovarian cancer series identified activated T cells in pre-treatment ascitic fluid of patients at diagnosis [18]. However, in those cases the percentage of CD8⁺ T cells expressing PD-1 averaged less than 10%, which is

markedly lower than the 78% observed in this case. Thus, a high percentage of PD-1⁺CD8⁺ and HLA-DR⁺CD8⁺ T cells might represent a biomarker for ascitic fluid pseudo-progression after immune checkpoint therapy.

It is possible that the development of ascites was secondary to a non-specific immune-related adverse event such as autoimmune peritonitis. However, the accumulation of antigen-experienced CD8⁺ T cells detected in the fluid with regression of peritoneal nodules suggests that the ascites was related to a specific anti-tumor immune response. Indeed, the presence of dysfunctional tumor antigen-specific T cells in ascitic fluid has been noted previously in the setting of progression when malignant cells were also detected [19]. The current case is unique in that tumor shrinkage was observed and no malignant cells were found on repeated sampling of the fluid. Further analysis of the fluid identified high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. IL-6 has various context-dependent functions and has been shown to promote anti-tumor immunity through recruitment and stimulation of T cells [20, 21]. Similarly, IL-15 is a cytokine that stimulates proliferation and activation of antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells and is currently under investigation as a therapeutic [22–24]. All these findings taken together suggest that the patient developed ascites not due to worsening of peritoneal carcinomatosis as typically expected, but instead due to an expanded anti-tumor immune response following anti-PD-1 therapy. Her ascites was treated with therapeutic paracentesis only. Corticosteroids were

Fig. 2 a FACS analysis of CD8+ T cell subsets for normal PBMC control (top panels) versus patient ascitic fluid (bottom panels). **b** Cytokine array showing analyte levels as ratios to healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) sample controls stimulated with PMA and ionomycin (See Additional file 1: Table S1 for values)

not given, but in the future they might be considered for patients with similar findings in need of symptom palliation. On the other hand, if ascites in this context is indeed an on-target effect, then corticosteroid administration might not dampen the accumulation of ascitic fluid.

Conclusion

In cancer patients treated with immunotherapy, pseudoprogession may manifest as recurrent ascites due to a rapid influx of activated T lymphocytes into the peritoneum. Since ascites can also be caused by disease progression, it is important to clinically distinguish between the two scenarios, so that treatment may be appropriately continued or stopped. In patients who develop ascites after immune checkpoint blockade, careful fluid analysis may provide additional evidence to help distinguish between potential etiologies. Cell counts indicating lymphocyte enrichment along with the absence of malignant cells on repeated sampling may suggest that an anti-tumor immune response is a more likely cause than disease progression. Clinical judgment remains key to determine the best course of action for an individual patient, since pseudoprogession is far less common in general than actual disease progression. With the recent adoption of immune checkpoint inhibitors in numerous cancer types, recognition and understanding of unusual patterns of response will be critical to optimize patient outcomes.

Materials and methods

Cell surface marker analysis

Lymphocyte subsets were analyzed by flow cytometry. The lymphocyte population was gated based on size and granularity, followed by CD45⁺CD3⁺ using PE-Cy5 anti-CD45 and PB anti-CD3. The percentages of CD8⁺PD-1⁺, CD8⁺HLA-DR⁺, and CD8⁺CD154⁺ cells were determined using BV605 anti-CD8, APC-Cy7 anti-CD8, PE anti-CD279 (PD-1), and FITC-anti-CD154. All antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA) and used according to the manufacturer's directions. Flow cytometry sample acquisition was performed on a LSR Fortessa instrument (BD), and analysis was performed using FlowJo software.

Cytokine analysis

To identify the cytokines in the ascites fluid, luminex assay was performed using the Milliplex Human Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel (EMD Millipore, Cat # HCYTMAG-60 K-PX38 and HCYTOMAG-60 K-01) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 25 µL of standards, controls, or assay buffer were added to appropriate wells of a pre-washed 96-well plate. Then 25 µL of Serum Matrix buffer were added to standards

and control wells, 25 µL of samples were added to assay buffer wells, and 25 µL of premixed beads were then added to each well. The plate was mix carefully and sealed with a plate sealer. The plate was then wrapped in foil and incubated on a plate shaker overnight at 4 °C. On the next day, the plate was washed 2 times on a plate washer. Detection antibodies (25 µL) were added to each well. The plate was incubated on a plate shaker for 1 h at room temperature. 25 µL of Streptavidin-Phycoerythrin was added to each well. The plate was then incubated on a plate shaker for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 2 washes. 150 µL of sheath fluid was added to each well and the plate was incubated on a plate shaker for 5 min at room temperature. Lastly, the plate was read on a Bio-Rad Bio-Plex flow cytometer (University of Chicago, Cytometry Core) and the data was analyzed using Bio-Plex software. Reported ratios are the calculated concentration observed for the sample divided by the calculated concentration observed for a healthy PBMC sample control stimulated with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and ionomycin.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Supplementary Table 1: Ratio of ascites-to-stimulated PBMC control values for cytokine arrayanalyses. (XLSX 9 kb)

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

Supported by NIH K12CA139160 (R.F.S.), NIH T32 GM007019 (R.F.S.), AACR #16-40-15-SWEIS (R.F.S.), 2017 ASCO-Conquer Cancer Foundation - Young Investigator Award (R.F.S.). This project was supported, in part, by the University of Chicago Medicine Comprehensive Cancer Center Support Grant (#P30 CA14599) and the services provided by the Human Immunologic Monitoring Core Facility.

Availability of data and materials

The data generated and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

RFS: Study conception and design, acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. YZ: Study conception and design, acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. LP: Acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. BH: Acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. TC: Acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. JLL: Acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. TFG: Study conception and design, acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. RZ: Study conception and design, acquisition/analysis of data, drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Chicago approved the clinical study on which the patient was enrolled.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of their individual details in this manuscript. The consent form is available for review by the editors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Medicine, Section of Hematology/Oncology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Chicago Medicine, 5841 S. South Maryland Avenue, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ²Department of Pathology, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ³University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

Received: 21 December 2017 Accepted: 7 March 2018

Published online: 04 April 2018

References

- Rosenberg JE, Hoffman-Censits J, Powles T, van der Heijden MS, Balar AV, Necchi A, Dawson N, O'Donnell PH, Balmanoukian A, Loriot Y, et al. Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:1909–20.
- Massard C, Gordon MS, Sharma S, Rafii S, Wainberg ZA, Luke J, Curiel TJ, Colon-Otero G, Hamid O, Sanborn RE, et al. Safety and efficacy of Durvalumab (MEDI4736), an anti-programmed cell death Ligand-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, in patients with advanced urothelial bladder Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(26):3119–25.
- Apolo AB, Infante JR, Balmanoukian A, Patel MR, Wang D, Kelly K, Mega AE, Britten CD, Ravaud A, Mita AC, et al. Avelumab, an anti-programmed death-ligand 1 antibody, in patients with refractory metastatic urothelial carcinoma: results from a multicenter, phase Ib study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35:2117–24.
- Sharma P, Retz M, Siefker-Radtke A, Baron A, Necchi A, Bedke J, Plimack ER, Vaena D, Grimm MO, Bracarda S, et al. Nivolumab in metastatic urothelial carcinoma after platinum therapy (CheckMate 275): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18:312–22.
- Bellmunt J, de Wit R, Vaughn DJ, Fradet Y, Lee JL, Fong L, Vogelzang NJ, Climent MA, Petrylak DP, Choueiri TK, et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(11):1015–26.
- Sweis RF, Galsky MD. Emerging role of immunotherapy in urothelial carcinoma-Immunobiology/biomarkers. *Urol Oncol*. 2016;34(12):556–65.
- Escudier B, Motzer RJ, Sharma P, Wagstaff J, Plimack ER, Hammers HJ, Donskov F, Gurney H, Sosman JA, Zalewski PG, et al. Treatment beyond progression in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma treated with Nivolumab in CheckMate 025. *Eur Urol*. 2017;72:368–76.
- Long GV, Weber JS, Larkin J, Atkinson V, Grob JJ, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Robert C, Márquez-Rodas I, McNeil C, et al. Nivolumab for patients with advanced melanoma treated beyond progression: analysis of 2 phase 3 clinical trials. *JAMA Oncol*. 2017;3(11):1511–9.
- Kazandjian D, Keegan P, Suzman DL, Pazdur R, Blumenthal GM. Characterization of outcomes in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer treated with programmed cell death protein 1 inhibitors past RECIST version 1.1-defined disease progression in clinical trials. *Semin Oncol*. 2017;44:3–7.
- Blumenthal GM, Theoret MR, Pazdur R. Treatment beyond progression with immune checkpoint inhibitors-known unknowns. *JAMA Oncol*. 2017;3(11):1473–4.
- Sweis RF, Luke JJ. Mechanistic and pharmacologic insights on immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Pharmacol Res*. 2017;120:1–9.
- Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O'Day S, Weber JS, Hamid O, Lebbé C, Maio M, Binder M, Bohnsack O, Nichol G, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: immune-related response criteria. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15:7412–20.
- Chiou VL, Burotto M. Pseudoprogression and immune-related response in solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33:3541–3.
- Seymour L, Bogaerts J, Perrone A, Ford R, Schwartz LH, Mandrekar S, Lin NU, Litière S, Dancey J, Chen A, et al. iRECIST: guidelines for response criteria for use in trials testing immunotherapeutics. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18:e143–52.
- Kolla BC, Patel MR. Recurrent pleural effusions and cardiac tamponade as possible manifestations of pseudoprogression associated with nivolumab therapy- a report of two cases. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2016;4:80.
- Sweis RF, Luke JJ. Cutting the brakes: immunotherapy with PD-1 inhibitors. *Clin Skin Cancer*. 2017;2(Issues 1-2):24–31.
- Bamias A, Tsiatas ML, Kafantari E, Liakou C, Rodolakis A, Voulgaris Z, Vlahos G, Papageorgiou T, Tsitsilonis O, Bamia C, et al. Significant differences of lymphocytes isolated from ascites of patients with ovarian cancer compared to blood and tumor lymphocytes. Association of CD3+CD56+ cells with platinum resistance. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2007;106:75–81.
- Landskron J, Helland Ø, Torgersen KM, Aandahl EM, Gjertsen BT, Bjørge L, Taskén K. Activated regulatory and memory T-cells accumulate in malignant ascites from ovarian carcinoma patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2015;64:337–47.
- Harlin H, Meng Y, Peterson AC, Zha Y, Tretiakova M, Slingluff C, McKee M, Gajewski TF. Chemokine expression in melanoma metastases associated with CD8+ T-cell recruitment. *Cancer Res*. 2009;69:3077–85.
- Fisher DT, Chen Q, Skitzki JJ, Muhitch JB, Zhou L, Appenheimer MM, Vardam TD, Weis EL, Passanese J, Wang WC, et al. IL-6 trans-signaling licenses mouse and human tumor microvascular gateways for trafficking of cytotoxic T cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121:3846–59.
- Fisher DT, Appenheimer MM, Evans SS. The two faces of IL-6 in the tumor microenvironment. *Semin Immunol*. 2014;26:38–47.
- Klebanoff CA, Finkelstein SE, Surman DR, Lichtman MK, Gattinoni L, Theoret MR, Grewal N, Spiess PJ, Antony PA, Palmer DC, et al. IL-15 enhances the in vivo antitumor activity of tumor-reactive CD8+ T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101:1969–74.
- Robinson TO, Schluns KS. The potential and promise of IL-15 in immunoncogenic therapies. *Immunol Lett*. 2017;190:159–68.
- Waldmann TA. Cytokines in Cancer immunotherapy. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a028472>. [Epub ahead of print]

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit