SHORT REPORT

Open Access

B cell depletion or absence does not impede anti-tumor activity of PD-1 inhibitors

William Damsky¹, Lucia Jilaveanu², Noel Turner¹, Curtis Perry², Christopher Zito², Mary Tomayko^{1,4}, Jonathan Leventhal¹, Kevan Herold³, Eric Meffre³, Marcus Bosenberg^{1,3,4*†} and Harriet M. Kluger^{2*†}

Abstract

Background: PD-1 inhibitors are approved for multiple malignancies and function by stimulating T cells. However, the role of B cells in the anti-tumor activity of these drugs is unknown, as is their activity in patients who have received B cell depleting drugs or with immunoglobulin deficiencies.

Methods: We studied B cell content in 40 melanomas from patients treated with pembrolizumab or nivolumab and assessed the association with response to therapy. Murine MC38 colon cancer and YUMMER1.7 melanoma models were used to determine whether concomitant anti-CD20 antibody injections diminish the anti-tumor effects of anti-PD-1. Results were validated in *muMT* mice, which lack B cells.

Results: B cells were sparse in most melanomas and B cell content was not associated with response to anti-PD-1 or overall survival. Employing MC38 and YUMMER1.7 models, we demonstrated that anti-CD20 antibodies reduce tumor-infiltrating B cells yet had no effect on tumor growth, response to PD-1 inhibition, or survival. In *muMT* mice, T-cell dependent tumor rejection and anti-PD-1 responses were no different than in wildtype C57BL/6 J mice.

Conclusions: The degree of tumor infiltrating B cell content is not associated with response to anti-PD-1 inhibitors in melanoma. PD-1 inhibitors cause tumor shrinkage in murine cancer models even when B cells are absent or are depleted. PD-1 inhibitors are likely to be active in patients with impaired B cell function, such as patients undergoing B cell depletion with drugs including rituximab for conditions such as B cell malignancies or autoimmune disorders.

Keywords: B cell depletion, Immune checkpoint blockade, PD-1, CD20, Melanoma, Colon cancer

Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (CPIs) that block PD-1/PD-L1 or CTLA-4 have transformed the treatment of many cancers and their use continues to expand. Anti-CTLA-4 was approved for treatment of melanoma followed by inhibitors of PD-1 or PD-L1, which have been approved to treat, in addition to melanoma, multiple tumor types [1]. In addition to use as single agents,

dual CTLA-4/PD-1 inhibiting regimens have been approved for melanoma and renal cell carcinoma [1].

The anti-tumor mechanisms of action of CPIs involve relief of negative T cell costimulatory signals [2]. However, this effect is not specific to anti-tumor T cells, and the role of B cells, which also express PD-1, in anti-tumor immune response during CPI therapy has not been well established. Activity of CPIs in patients with underlying B cell deficiencies, such as X-linked agammaglobulinemia, immunoglobulin deficiencies, and common variable immunodeficiency has not been studied, as these conditions are rare, and these patients have typically been excluded from clinical trials for oncologic drugs due to their underlying immune disorders.

* Correspondence: Marcus.Bosenberg@yale.edu; Harriet.Kluger@yale.edu

[†]Marcus Bosenberg and Harriet M. Kluger contributed equally to this work.

¹Departments of Dermatology, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

²Departments of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

PD-1 inhibitors have been studied in patients who have been treated with B cell depleting antibodies, such as patients with B cell lymphomas previously treated with rituximab [3]. A number of ongoing clinical trials are assessing the activity of PD-1 inhibitors in combination with rituximab in B cell lymphomas, such as NCT03401853 and NCT02446457, and others. Activity has been seen in clinical trials of PD-1 inhibitors following rituximab therapy [3], yet it remains unknown whether the B cell depletion impedes the anti-tumor activity in this setting. B cell depleting drugs are being used for autoimmune disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis, and the safety of their continued use in patients with malignancies requiring treatment with PD-1 inhibitors has not been explored.

T cell stimulation in many cases is not specific to tumor-infiltrating T cells, and new clinical problems, immune related adverse events (irAEs), have emerged. The precise mechanisms of these irAEs are not well understood, and likely vary by toxicity [4]. PD-1 is expressed on B cells, including immunosuppressive B regulatory cells and has a well-established role in B cell tolerance [5]. Interestingly, changes in B cells induced by checkpoint inhibitors have been shown to specifically correlate with irAEs [6].

High grade (grade 3–4) irAEs are seen in ~27% of patients treated with anti-CTLA-4, the most common being hepatotoxicity, dermatitis, and colitis [4]. Grade 3–4 irAEs occur in 10–15% of patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors and 55% of patients treated with the combination [4]. Autoimmune endocrine disorders such as insulin-dependent diabetes, and neurologic disorders such as multiple sclerosis, Guillain-Barre Syndrome and myasthenia gravis can also occur [7–9]. While most irAEs are reversible, some can result in long term morbidities, such as brittle diabetes [9]. Moreover, irAEs can be lethal in the case of pneumonitis and myocarditis; most trials report a treatment related death rate of <1% for patients treated with anti-PD-1 monotherapy [4]. Although severe irAEs are fairly rare, this highlights the importance of developing appropriate methods to modulate irAEs without impeding anti-tumor effects. Although many autoimmune toxicities are thought to be purely T cell-mediated, some irAEs such as select endocrinopathies, autoimmune hemolytic anemia (AIHA), bullous skin eruptions and some neurologic toxicities appear to be more specifically mediated by B cells through autoantibody secretion. This observation suggests that B cell depletion might be useful a strategy to treat certain irAEs. Limited case reports and/or small series have suggested that anti-B cell therapy can be effective in treating such irAEs (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Given the importance of determining the role of B cells in response to PD-1 inhibitors we first studied B cell density in tumors from melanoma patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors. Subsequently, we employed tumor

bearing animal models treated with PD-1 inhibitors alone or with B cell depleting antibodies to assess the role of B cells in anti tumor immune responses. Similar experiments were also performed in *muMT* mice which lack mature B cells. Although we did not study the efficacy of B cell depletion in treating irAEs herein, these data have clear implications for future study of B cell depleting drugs for treating select irAE in patients undergoing CPI therapy.

Methods

Patients

Tumor samples from 40 sequential patients with advanced melanoma treated with anti-PD-1 monotherapy (nivolumab or pembrolizumab) with tissue available for study were employed; 34 were evaluable for response. None had pre-existing autoimmune disorders. The median age was 66 years. Twelve patients (30%) were women, 14 (35%) had elevated LDH, four (10%) had mucosal melanoma, and the remainder had cutaneous melanoma. Mutations were found in *KIT* in two patients (5%), in *GNAQ* in one (2.5%), in *NRAS* in nine (22.5%) and in *BRAF* in 12 (30%). Samples were collected pre-treatment on 36 (90%) patients. Areas of invasive melanoma were identified by a board-certified pathologist and cored for generation of a tissue microarray (TMA), incorporating three cores per specimen, using established methods [10]. Specimens and clinical information were retrieved with approval of the Yale University Human Investigations Committee. Patient demographics are summarized in Additional file 1: Table S2. RECIST1.1 was used to classify response. Quantification of B cell content and details of statistical analyses are described in Additional file 1: Supplemental methods.

Murine studies

YUMMER1.7 and MC38 murine tumor cell lines have been described [11, 12]. Tumor-bearing mice were treated with anti-PD-1 antibody and/or anti-CD20, as described in the Additional file 1: Supplemental methods. Immunohistochemical and flow cytometric analyses are described in the Additional file 1: Supplemental methods.

Statistics

Associations between B cell content and objective response status was assessed by t-tests. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method using log-rank statistics. Details are provided in Additional file 1: Supplemental methods.

Study approval

Studies of human samples were conducted with the approval of a Yale University Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from patients.

Murine studies were conducted with approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Results

We studied B cell content in melanoma tumors from 40 patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors. Tumor infiltrating B cells were fairly sparse in most samples, 0–131 cells per 0.6 mm histospot (median = 2.5, mean = 17.4), 3 histospots per patient. Figure 1 A-B shows examples of heavily and poorly infiltrated tumors. Seeing that B cell content can vary within a tumor, we used the highest B cell density in the three histospots to evaluate associations with outcome. Thirty-four patients were evaluable for response using RECIST1.1. The objective response rate was 32%. There was no association between B cell content and radiographic response (Fig. 1C). We defined “high” B cell content as above the median value in at least one histospot and found no difference in overall survival ($P = 0.64$) among tumors with high versus low B cell content by log rank statistics (Fig. 1D).

To determine whether B cell depletion with anti-CD20 antibodies affects anti-tumor efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors, we employed two murine models, MC38 (colon carcinoma) and YUMMER1.7 (melanoma). Tumor-infiltrating

B cells were seen at baseline in both models (Additional file 1: Figure S1A-S1D). MC38 tumors are anti-PD-1 sensitive [13]. Anti-PD1 was initiated in mice with established MC38 tumors and followed 2 days later by anti-CD20, which has been shown to effectively deplete murine B cells in blood, spleen, bone marrow and other tissues [14–16]. We had four treatment groups: control, anti-CD20, anti-PD-1, and anti-CD20 + anti-PD-1. Survival of mice ($N = 10/\text{group}$) and tumor volume did not differ when anti-CD20 antibodies were added to anti-PD-1 (Fig. 2A-B and Additional file 1: Figure S2A), suggesting that B cells are not required for effective anti-tumor immune responses induced by anti-PD-1 in MC38. Similar results were seen in the YUMMER1.7 (anti-PD-1 sensitive murine melanoma model) using the same experimental design [11]. Anti-CD20 did not affect tumor growth or survival, and did not hamper the anti-tumor effect of anti-PD-1 (Fig. 3A-B, and Additional file 1: Figure S2B). Anti-CD20 antibodies were more effective in reducing B cells in the spleen than in the tumor (Additional file 1: Figure S1E-S1F).

To further test the hypothesis that B cell activity is dispensable for effective anti-tumor responses induced by anti-PD-1, we employed *muMT* mice that lack B cells

Fig. 1 Tumor infiltrating B cell density is not associated with response to anti-PD-1. **a, b** Tumor is masked by antibodies to S100 and HMB45 conjugated to Cy2 (green), and the area of B cell infiltrate is determined by the relative area of CD20 positive cells within the tumor mask, identified by anti-CD20 antibodies conjugated to Cy5 (red). Nuclei are identified by DAPI (blue). Examples of high B cell density (**a**) and low B cell density (**b**) are shown. **c** B cell quantification in responders (CR: complete response, PR: partial response) ($n = 11$) vs non-responders (SD: stable disease, PD: progressive disease) ($n = 23$), $p = 0.22$, by unpaired t-test. **d** Presence or absence of tumor infiltrating B cells does not correlate with overall survival, log-rank $p = 0.64$

due to biallelic inactivation of the *Ighm* gene [17]. We tested the ability of *muMT* mice to spontaneously reject YUMMER1.7. In this model, tumor rejection is dependent on T cells, as described, but the role of B cells is unknown [11]. When YUMMER1.7 cells were injected into *muMT* host animals, they rejected YUMMER1.7 cells as efficiently as control mice (Fig. 4A). To test the effect of B cell deficiency in the setting of immunotherapy, we treated both WT and *muMT* mice bearing MC38 tumors with anti-PD-1. Survival in these groups was similar (Fig. 4B), suggesting that while T cells are required for immune-mediated rejection of tumors, B cells are not.

Discussion

Our purpose was to determine whether B cells play an essential role in anti-tumor activity of PD-1 inhibitors, and whether use of B cell inhibitors hampers anti-tumor activity of anti-PD-1. While the importance of tumor-infiltrating T cells in anti-tumor immunity is indisputable, the role of B cells is less clear. B cell depletion could affect production of auto-antibodies to melanoma antigens; which could impair anti-tumor immune responses given the observations that in melanoma auto-antibodies have been shown to be associated with improved

outcomes, higher tumor-specific CD8 counts and response to ipilimumab [18]. Endogenous immunoglobulins can also regulate antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and could be impaired after rituximab administration. B cells are also known to modulate T cell responses directly by presenting antigens and activating T cells and indirectly by modulating myeloid and/or antigen presenting cell function. Despite all of these considerations, it is unclear if the immune-stimulating role of B cells in advanced melanoma is clinically meaningful, particularly in the setting of metastatic disease and response to anti-PD-1. In humans, CD20 targeting drugs such as rituximab cause apoptosis of mature B cells and lead to an absence of circulating B cells for about 6 months after treatment. However, rituximab spares stem cells and plasma cells and typically does not affect overall immunoglobulin levels [19].

Conversely, some studies have suggested that B cell depletion might actually have anti-cancer effects in certain contexts [20]. For example, anti-CD20 treatment in mice bearing squamous cell carcinoma potentiated the efficacy of chemotherapy and enhanced tumor infiltration by CD8⁺ T cells [21]. Tumor-associated B cells have even been proposed to promote resistance to BRAF and

MEK inhibitors in melanoma through secretion of IGF-1 and clinical trials evaluating therapeutic B cell depletion in this context are underway (NCT01376713) [22]. Immunoregulatory tumor infiltrating B cells (Bregs) have been shown to inhibit anti-tumor T cell responses in some models through IL-10 production [23]. These considerations suggest that B cell depletion might not only be neutral, it might even enrich anti-tumor immunity in certain contexts.

Seeing that core biopsies often do not reflect cellular content of entire tumor, we employed three cores per patient in this study, using the highest value obtained from the cores for our analysis. We found similar B cell content in tumors from responsive and non-responsive patients treated with anti-PD-1, suggesting that elimination of B cells in humans might not significantly affect anti-tumor immunity induced by PD-1 inhibitors. We corroborated

this in several murine models. The anti-PD-1-sensitive MC38 model and the UV-mutagenized, neoantigen rich, YUMMER1.7 melanoma model were used. We showed partial B cell depletion with anti-CD20 did not impair anti-tumor immunity in either model. Spontaneous rejection of YUMMER1.7 in *muMT* mice, which lack B cells, both in tumors and in the circulation and lymphoid organs, was not impaired. Administering anti-PD-1 in *muMT* mice bearing MC38 or YUMMER1.7 tumors revealed no difference in complete response rate or survival compared to WT C57BL/6 J mice. These data suggest that B cell function may not be strictly required for either effective endogenous or anti-PD-1 elicited anti-tumor immune responses. Further, these results suggest that study of B cell-targeting therapies to treat select B cell-dependent irAE in patients receiving CPI therapy is warranted. It should be noted that despite the absence of mature B cells, *muMT* mice have been shown in some studies to still produce some immunoglobulins [24].

As immune checkpoint inhibitors are becoming widely used in multiple tumor types, they are also being used in B cell malignancies. Many patients with B cell malignancies have received B cell eliminating drugs, raising the question of whether this is detrimental for anti-tumor activity. Moreover, use of PD-1 inhibitors in patients with underlying autoimmune disorders treated with B cell eliminating drugs who develop cancer has not been studied, although case-series suggest that in some it is tolerated [25]. Once CPIs are initiated in patients with underlying autoimmunity, their disease might flare. Our data from the MC38 and YUMMER 1.7 models suggest that use of rituximab in this setting might not be detrimental and requires further study in humans. Finally, our results from the *muMT* mice bearing MC38 or YUMMER1.7 tumors suggests that patients with underlying B cell deficiencies or prior therapy with B cell depleting drugs might similarly benefit from PD-1 inhibitors should they develop malignancies.

With widespread use of CPIs for malignancies, the incidence of toxicities will likely rise, and previously undescribed irAEs, such as cardiac toxicities, have only recently been reported [26]. Although it is unclear whether mechanisms of classic autoimmune disorders are exactly recapitulated in patients treated by CPIs who develop autoimmunity, diseases such as AIHA and bullous pemphigoid which are bonafide antibody-mediated disorders, have been shown to respond to rituximab when triggered by CPI [27, 28]. Others, such as autoimmune diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, type I diabetes mellitus, and multiple sclerosis are thought to be predominantly T cell mediated. However, anti-B cell therapy in classic rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis have shown efficacy, further supporting the study of anti-CD20 antibodies in treating these irAE. Several

groups have already reported successful use of rituximab for treatment of B-cell mediated irAEs as summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1.

In summary, this is the first study look for a potential association between B cell infiltrates and benefit from PD-1 inhibitors in melanoma. Although B cell content appeared to be slightly higher in patients who responded to anti-PD-1 than patients who did not, this did not reach statistical significance in this cohort. Furthermore, using two, well-established murine cancer models we found that B cell inhibition did not affect T cell dependent anti-tumor responses induced by PD-1 inhibitors in these models. Taken together, these studies support further research into the use and impact of anti-B cell therapy in patients receiving CPIs who have underlying B cell deficiencies, have received B cell depleting antibodies for underlying autoimmune disorders or B cell malignancies, or patients with select irAEs induced by PD-1 inhibitors.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Supplemental methods, Table S1-S2 and Figure S1-S2. (DOCX 1075 kb)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Yale Pathology Tissue Services laboratory for tissue processing.

Funding

Financial support: This study was funded in part by NIH grants R01 CA158167 and K24CA172123 (HK), R01 CA227473 (HK/KH), P50 CA121974 (MB/HK) R01 CA196660 (MB), CA204002 (LJ), TL1 TR001864 (NT), the Dermatology Foundation (WD), and the Skin Cancer Foundation (WD).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

WD designed the work, acquired and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript, LJ acquired data, NT acquired and interpreted data, CP interpreted data, CZ acquired data, MT interpreted data, JL interpreted data, KH interpreted data and substantially revised the manuscript, EM interpreted data and substantially revised the manuscript, MB designed the work, interpreted the data, and substantially revised the manuscript, HK designed the work, acquired and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Patient specimens were de-identified. Animal experiments were approved by Yale IACUC.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

MB and WD have served as consultants for Eli Lilly and Company. WD has research funding from Pfizer. HK has received consulting fees from Roche-Genentech, Immunocore, Merck, Alexion, Celldex, Pfizer, Corvus, Biodesix and Nektar, and research funds to her institution from Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb and Apexigen, all outside of the submitted work.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Departments of Dermatology, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ²Departments of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ³Departments of Immunobiology, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ⁴Departments of Pathology, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.

Received: 25 January 2019 Accepted: 7 May 2019

Published online: 14 June 2019

References

- Ribas A, Wolchok JD. Cancer immunotherapy using checkpoint blockade. *Science*. 2018;359(6382):1350–5.
- McKinney EF, Lee JC, Jayne DR, Lyons PA, Smith KG. T-cell exhaustion, co-stimulation and clinical outcome in autoimmunity and infection. *Nature*. 2015;523(7562):612–6.
- Zinzani PL, Ribrag V, Moskowitz CH, Michot JM, Kuruvilla J, Balakumaran A, et al. Safety and tolerability of pembrolizumab in patients with relapsed/refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2017;130(3):267–70.
- El Osta B, Hu F, Sadek R, Chintalapally R, Tang SC. Not all immune-checkpoint inhibitors are created equal: meta-analysis and systematic review of immune-related adverse events in cancer trials. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2017;119:1–12.
- Schildberg FA, Klein SR, Freeman GJ, Sharpe AH. Coinhibitory pathways in the B7-CD28 ligand-receptor family. *Immunity*. 2016;44(5):955–72.
- Das R, Bar N, Ferreira M, Newman AM, Zhang L, Bailur JK, et al. Early B cell changes predict autoimmunity following combination immune checkpoint blockade. *J Clin Invest*. 2018;128(2):715–20.
- Kao JC, Brickshawana A, Liewluck T. Neuromuscular complications of programmed cell Death-1 (PD-1) inhibitors. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2018; 18(10):63.
- Dalakas MC. Neurological complications of immune checkpoint inhibitors: what happens when you 'take the brakes off' the immune system. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2018;11:1756286418799864.
- Venetsanaki V, Boutis A, Chrisoulidou A, Papakotoulas P. Diabetes mellitus secondary to treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Curr Oncol*. 2019;26(1):e111–e4.
- Jilaveanu LB, Puligandla M, Weiss SA, Wang XV, Zito C, Flaherty KT, et al. Tumor microvessel density as a prognostic marker in high-risk renal cell carcinoma patients treated on ECOG-ACRIN E2805. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2018; 24(1):217–23.
- Wang J, Perry CJ, Meeth K, Thakral D, Damsky W, Micevic G, et al. UV-induced somatic mutations elicit a functional T cell response in the YUMMER1.7 mouse melanoma model. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. 2017; 30(4):428–35.
- Tan MH, Holyoke ED, Goldrosen MH. Murine colon adenocarcinomas: methods for selective culture in vitro. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1976;56(4):871–3.
- Zippelius A, Schreiner J, Herzig P, Muller P. Induced PD-L1 expression mediates acquired resistance to agonistic anti-CD40 treatment. *Cancer Immunol Res*. 2015;3(3):236–44.
- Bekar KW, Owen T, Dunn R, Ichikawa T, Wang W, Wang R, et al. Prolonged effects of short-term anti-CD20 B cell depletion therapy in murine systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2010;62(8):2443–57.
- Ahmed KA, Wang L, Munegowda MA, Mulligan SJ, Gordon JR, Griebel P, et al. Direct in vivo evidence of CD4+ T cell requirement for CTL response and memory via pMHC-I targeting and CD40L signaling. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2012; 92(2):289–300.
- Qian J, Zheng Y, Zheng C, Wang L, Qin H, Hong S, et al. Active vaccination with Dickkopf-1 induces protective and therapeutic antitumor immunity in murine multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2012;119(1):161–9.
- Kitamura D, Roes J, Kuhn R, Rajewsky K. A B cell-deficient mouse by targeted disruption of the membrane exon of the immunoglobulin mu chain gene. *Nature*. 1991;350(6317):423–6.
- Yuan J, Adamow M, Ginsberg BA, Rasalan TS, Ritter E, Gallardo HF, et al. Integrated NY-ESO-1 antibody and CD8+ T-cell responses correlate with

- clinical benefit in advanced melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(40):16723–8.
19. Marco H, Smith RM, Jones RB, Guerry MJ, Catapano F, Burns S, et al. The effect of rituximab therapy on immunoglobulin levels in patients with multisystem autoimmune disease. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2014;15:178.
 20. Shalapour S, Font-Burgada J, Di Caro G, Zhong Z, Sanchez-Lopez E, Dhar D, et al. Immunosuppressive plasma cells impede T-cell-dependent immunogenic chemotherapy. *Nature*. 2015;521(7550):94–8.
 21. Affara NI, Ruffell B, Medler TR, Gunderson AJ, Johansson M, Bornstein S, et al. B cells regulate macrophage phenotype and response to chemotherapy in squamous carcinomas. *Cancer Cell*. 2014;25(6):809–21.
 22. Somasundaram R, Zhang G, Fukunaga-Kalabis M, Perego M, Krepler C, Xu X, et al. Tumor-associated B-cells induce tumor heterogeneity and therapy resistance. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8(1):607.
 23. Xiao X, Lao XM, Chen MM, Liu RX, Wei Y, Ouyang FZ, et al. PD-1hi identifies a novel regulatory B-cell population in human Hepatoma that promotes disease progression. *Cancer Discov*. 2016;6(5):546–59.
 24. Ghosh S, Hoselton SA, Schuh JM. Mu-chain-deficient mice possess B-1 cells and produce IgG and IgE, but not IgA, following systemic sensitization and inhalational challenge in a fungal asthma model. *J Immunol*. 2012;189(3):1322–9.
 25. Johnson DB, Sullivan RJ, Ott PA, Carlino MS, Khushalani NI, Ye F, et al. Ipilimumab therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmune disorders. *JAMA Oncol*. 2016;2(2):234–40.
 26. Johnson DB, Balko JM, Compton ML, Chalkias S, Gorham J, Xu Y, et al. Fulminant myocarditis with combination immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1749–55.
 27. Shiuan E, Beckermann KE, Ozgun A, Kelly C, McKean M, McQuade J, et al. Thrombocytopenia in patients with melanoma receiving immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2017;5:8.
 28. Siegel J, Totonchy M, Damsky W, Berk-Krauss J, Castiglione F Jr, Sznol M, et al. Bullous disorders associated with anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 therapy: a retrospective analysis evaluating the clinical and histopathologic features, frequency, and impact on cancer therapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;79(6):1081–8.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions