## Supplementary Methods

## Multiplex immunofluorescence CAF panel

Sections underwent deparaffinization at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min followed by xylene washes, then rehydration in an ethanol series. Antigen retrieval was performed in 1 mM EDTA $(\mathrm{pH} 8)$ at $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min in a Lab Vision PT Module (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Endogenous peroxidases were blocked with $2.5 \%$ hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min . The following steps employed a Lab Vision Autostainer 720 (Thermo Scientific). Non-specific antigens were blocked with $0.3 \%$ BSA in TBST for 30 min . Primary monoclonal antibodies against Thy1 (1:10000; 7E1B11; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), SMA (1:500; 1A4; Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA), and FAP (1:500; EPR20021; Abcam) for CAF profiling were co-incubated at room temperature for 1 h . Sections were incubated sequentially with three horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h before tyramide-based labelling for 10 min , followed by 1 mM benzoic hydrazide with $0.15 \%$ hydrogen peroxide for 10 min twice to quench HRP activity. The secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit EnVision reagent (Dako), anti-mouse IgG1 (1:100; eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), and anti-mouse IgG2a (1:200; Abcam), and the substrates were biotin tyramide (1:50; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA), TSA Plus Cy3 tyramide (1:100; PerkinElmer), and Cy5 tyramide (1:50; PerkinElmer), respectively. Sections were then treated with streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 750 conjugate (1:100; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 1 h . Finally, sections were incubated with mouse anti-S100 (1:100; 15E2E2; BioGenex, Fremont, CA, USA) and HMB45 (1:100; BioGenex) then goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:100; Invitrogen) for 1 h to identify melanoma cells, counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to visualize nuclei, and mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen).


Supplementary Figure 1. Linear regressions of CAF parameters in melanoma by cell counts and quantitative immunofluorescence.
Correlation between cell counts and QIF scores for CAF (Thy1, SMA, FAP) markers (A). Relationships between Thy 1, SMA, and FAP by cell counts and QIF (B). Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancerassociated fibroblast; QIF, quantitative immunofluorescence.


Supplementary Figure 2. Immune markers and CAF parameters by quantitative immunofluorescence in melanoma.
Relationships between CAF (Thy1, SMA, FAP) markers and CD3 (A), CD4 (B), CD8 (C), CD20 (D), CD68 (E) and PD-L1 (F) in melanoma. Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; QIF, quantitative immunofluorescence.


Supplementary Figure 3. Sex and mutation status of melanoma patients and CAF parameters. CAF (Thy1, SMA, FAP) parameters by cell counts and QIF per sex (A) and mutation status (B) of melanoma patients. Data are presented as mean with standard deviation (error bars). Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; ND, no detection of BRAF or NRAS mutations; QIF, quantitative immunofluorescence.


Supplementary Figure 4. RECIST categories of melanoma patients treated with anti-PD-1 therapy and CAF parameters.
CAF (Thy 1, SMA, FAP) parameters by cell counts (A) and QIF (B) per RECIST categories of best overall response. Data are presented as mean with standard deviation (error bars). Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CR, complete response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; QIF, quantitative fluorescence; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.


## Supplementary Figure 5. Anti-PD-1 objective response rate or disease control rate and CAF parameters in melanoma patients.

CAF (Thy 1, SMA, FAP) parameters by cell counts and QIF in relation to anti-PD-1 objective response rate (A) and disease control rate (B) by RECIST. Data are presented as mean with standard deviation (error bars). Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CR, complete response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; QIF, quantitative fluorescence; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.


Supplementary Figure 6. Thresholds and cohort distributions of CAF parameters.
Cohort distributions of CAF (Thy1, SMA, FAP) parameters by cell counts (A) and QIF (B) with thresholds determined by maximally selected rank statistics indicated (see Methods). Abbreviations: AU, arbitrary units; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; QIF, quantitative immunofluorescence.
A


| No. at risk $(P=0.083)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HI | 63 | 23 | 11 | 1 |
| LO | 54 | 14 | 5 | 3 |

Thy1 ${ }_{\text {DAPI }}$


| No. at risk $(P=0.0057)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HI | 63 | 43 | 17 | 2 |
| LO | 54 | 27 | 10 | 7 |

B

$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { No. at risk }(P=0.32) \\ \text { HI } & 118 & 15 & 4 \\ \text { LO } & 76 & 34 & 6\end{array}$



SMA $_{\text {Thy } 1}$


| No. at risk $(P=0.070)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI | 22 | 13 | 4 | 3 |
| LO | 95 | 57 | 23 | 6 |

SMA $_{\text {Thy }} 1$

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { No. at risk }(P=0.16) \\ \text { HI } & 10 & 3 & 0 \\ \text { LO } & 184 & 46 & 10\end{array}$


$\mathrm{FAP}_{\text {Thy } 1}$


| No. at risk | $(P<0.0001)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HI | 65 | 43 | 20 | 5 |
| LO | 52 | 27 | 7 | 4 |


$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { No. at risk }(P=0.0032) \\ \text { HI } & 82 & 17 & 3 \\ \text { LO } & 112 & 32 & 7\end{array}$

Supplementary Figure 7. CAF parameters by quantitative immunofluorescence and survival of melanoma patients treated with anti-PD-1 therapy and control melanoma patients.
Kaplan-Meier analysis of progression-free survival and overall survival of anti-PD-1 treated melanoma patients (A) and overall survival of control melanoma patients (B) according to CAF (Thy1, SMA, FAP) parameters by QIF. Low and high statuses were objectively defined using thresholds determined by maximally selected rank statistics (see Methods). Abbreviations: CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; HI, high; LO, low; QIF, quantitative fluorescence.

Supplementary Table 1. Other characteristics of the melanoma cohort treated with anti-PD-1 therapy.

| No. | Melanoma specimen | Specimen to anti-PD-1 therapy ( y ) | Prior immune checkpoint blockade | No. | Melanoma specimen | Specimen to anti-PD-1 therapy ( y ) | Prior immune checkpoint blockade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 61 | metastasis | >1 | none |
| 2 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 62 | metastasis | >1 | nivolumab |
| 3 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 63 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 4 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 64 | primary | >1 | none |
| 5 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 65 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 6 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 66 | metastasis | $>1$ | none |
| 7 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 67 | metastasis | $>1$ | none |
| 8 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 68 | primary | $>1$ | none |
| 9 | metastasis | >1 | none | 69 | metastasis | $>1$ | none |
| 10 | metastasis | $>1$ | none | 70 | primary | $>1$ | none |
| 11 | metastasis | >1 | none | 71 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 12 | primary | $>1$ | none | 72 | metastasis | >1 | none |
| 13 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 73 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 14 | metastasis | >1 | none | 74 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab |
| 15 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 75 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 16 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab | 76 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 17 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 77 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab |
| 18 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 78 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 19 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 79 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 20 | metastasis | >1 | none | 80 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 21 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 81 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 22 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 82 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 23 | metastasis | >1 | none | 83 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 24 | primary | $>1$ | none | 84 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 25 | primary | >1 | none | 85 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 26 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 86 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 27 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 87 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 28 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 88 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 29 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab | 89 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 30 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab plus nivolumab | 90 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 31 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab | 91 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 32 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 92 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 33 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab | 93 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 34 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab | 94 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 35 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 95 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 36 | primary | >1 | none | 96 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 37 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 97 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 38 | primary | $>1$ | none | 98 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 39 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab | 99 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 40 | primary | $>1$ | none | 100 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 41 | metastasis | >1 | atezolizumab | 101 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab |
| 42 | metastasis | >1 | none | 102 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 43 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 103 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 44 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 104 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 45 | metastasis | >1 | ipilimumab | 105 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab |
| 46 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 106 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 47 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 107 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 48 | metastasis | $>1$ | ipilimumab | 108 | primary | $>1$ | none |
| 49 | primary | >1 | none | 109 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |
| 50 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 110 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab |
| 51 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 111 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | nivolumab |
| 52 | primary | >1 | none | 112 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 53 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 113 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 54 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none | 114 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 55 | primary | $\leq 1$ | none | 115 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |
| 56 | metastasis | $>1$ | ipilimumab | 116 | metastasis | $>1$ | ipilimumab |
| 57 | metastasis | >1 | none | 117 | primary | >1 | none |
| 58 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | ipilimumab |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | metastasis | $\leq 1$ | none |  |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table 2. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for progression-free survival of melanoma patients and CAF parameters by quantitative immunofluorescence.

| Variable (LO/HI) | Anti-PD-1 PFS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  | Multivariable* analysis with Thy1 and FAP |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thyl ${ }_{\text {dapi }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & (0.94-2.38) \end{aligned}$ | 0.087 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.77 \\ & (1.08-2.93) \end{aligned}$ | 0.024 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & (0.82-2.40) \end{aligned}$ | 0.22 |
| SMA $_{\text {Thy } 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64 \\ & (0.38-1.15) \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \\ & (0.39-1.30) \end{aligned}$ | 0.24 |  |  |
| FAP ${ }_{\text {Thy }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.92 \\ & (1.21-3.07) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0061 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & (1.27-3.31) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0031 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81 \\ & (1.09-3.04) \end{aligned}$ | 0.023 |

Abbreviations: CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CI, confidence interval; HI, high; HR, hazard ratio; LO, low. *Cox proportional hazards model included age, sex, mutation status, stage, treatment, and prior immune checkpoint blockade as covariates.

Supplementary Table 3. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for overall survival of melanoma patients and CAF parameters by quantitative immunofluorescence.

| Variable(LO/HI) | Control OS |  | Anti-PD-1 OS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  | Multivariable* analysis with Thyl and FAP |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thyldapi | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & (0.52-1.25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.38 \\ & (1.28-4.59) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0059 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.97 \\ & (1.53-6.01) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0011 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.93 \\ & (0.94-4.11) \end{aligned}$ | 0.074 |
| SMAThy $^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \\ & (0.29-1.39) \end{aligned}$ | 0.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54 \\ & (0.28-1.10) \end{aligned}$ | 0.089 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.63 \\ & (0.31-1.35) \end{aligned}$ | 0.23 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{FAP}_{\text {Thy } 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54 \\ & (0.35-0.82) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0038 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.53 \\ & (1.87-7.02) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.63 \\ & (1.89-7.35) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.81 \\ & (1.37-6.01) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0044 |

Abbreviations: CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CI, confidence interval; HI, high; HR, hazard ratio; LO, low. *Cox proportional hazards model included age, sex, mutation status, stage, treatment, and prior immune checkpoint blockade as covariates.

Supplementary Table 4. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for progression-free survival of anti-PD-1 treated melanoma patients and CAF parameters by cell counts per treatment group.

| Variable (LO/HI) | Monotherapy (pembrolizumab or nivolumab) PFS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thy ${ }^{+} /$total | $\begin{aligned} & 2.93 \\ & (1.34-5.89) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0087 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.92 \\ & (1.52-9.60) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0058 |
| $\mathrm{SMA}^{+} /$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & (0.40-1.82) \end{aligned}$ | 0.59 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & (0.31-1.69) \end{aligned}$ | 0.41 |
| $\mathrm{FAP}^{+} /$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \\ & (0.85-2.99) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \\ & (0.89-3.22) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 |
| Variable (LO/HI) | Dual therapy (ipilimumab plus nivolumab) PFS |  |  |  |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thy1 ${ }^{+} /$total | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & (0.44-3.84) \end{aligned}$ | 0.48 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \\ & (0.29-3.09) \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{SMA}^{+} /$Thy $^{+}{ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & (0.16-0.79) \end{aligned}$ | 0.014 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & (0.14-0.88) \end{aligned}$ | 0.028 |
| $\mathrm{FAP}^{+} /$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.21 \\ & (1.07-4.91) \end{aligned}$ | 0.032 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.29 \\ & (1.04-5.36) \end{aligned}$ | 0.040 |

Abbreviations: CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CI, confidence interval; HI, high; HR, hazard ratio; LO, low; PFS, progression-free survival.
*Cox proportional hazards model included age, sex, mutation status, stage, and prior immune checkpoint blockade as covariates.

Supplementary Table 5. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses for overall survival of anti-PD-1 treated melanoma patients and CAF parameters by cell counts per treatment group.

| Variable (LO/HI) | Monotherapy (pembrolizumab or nivolumab) OS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HR} \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thy1 ${ }^{+}$/total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.87 \\ & (2.41-13.58) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0002 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.55 \\ & (2.74-26.95) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0003 |
| SMA ${ }^{+}$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & (0.21-1.30) \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & (0.07-0.65) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0078 |
| $\mathrm{FAP}^{+} /$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.59 \\ & (2.49-22.71) \end{aligned}$ | <0.0001 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.18 \\ & (2.26-21.75) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0002 |
| Variable(LO/HI) | Dual therapy (ipilimumab plus nivolumab) OS |  |  |  |
|  | Univariable analysis |  | Multivariable* analysis per variable |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| Thy1 ${ }^{+}$/total | $\begin{aligned} & 3.29 \\ & (0.93-9.23) \end{aligned}$ | 0.063 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.56 \\ & (0.64-8.52) \end{aligned}$ | 0.17 |
| SMA ${ }^{+}$Thy ${ }^{+}{ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & (0.09-0.65) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0067 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & (0.09-0.87) \end{aligned}$ | 0.028 |
| $\mathrm{FAP}^{+} /$Thy $1^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.42 \\ & (0.91-7.56) \end{aligned}$ | 0.077 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.44 \\ & (0.83-8.18) \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 |

Abbreviations: CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CI, confidence interval; HI, high; HR, hazard ratio; LO, low; OS, overall survival.
*Cox proportional hazards model included age, sex, mutation status, stage, and prior immune checkpoint blockade as covariates.

