Concomitant or delayed anti-TNF differentially impact on immune-related adverse events and antitumor efficacy after anti-CD40 therapy
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ABSTRACT

Background Concomitant tumor necrosis factor (TNF) neutralization in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) reduces clinical immune-related adverse events (irAEs) and appears to improve antitumor efficacy in preclinical tumor models. Agonistic antibodies targeting costimulatory receptors such as CD40 represent an additional strategy to boost antitumor immune response and potentiate the activity of ICIs. However, the dose-limiting toxicities observed in anti-CD40-treated cancer patients have hindered its clinical development.

Methods We previously described a mouse model to assess both antitumor activity and irAEs induced by various effective combination immunotherapies. Using the BALB/c and C57BL/6 strains of Foxp3-GFP-DTR (Foxp3DTR) mice, transient depletion of T regulatory cells (Tregs) prior to immunotherapy with additional immunomodulatory antibodies, lowered immune self-tolerance, resulting in the development of a spectrum of physical and biochemical irAEs similar to that reported clinically. In MC38 and 4T1.2 tumor models, following transient Treg depletion, we evaluated the impact of anti-CD40 on antitumor efficacy and the development of irAEs and the impact of concomitant or delayed TNF blockade on both these parameters. Physical irAEs were scored and biochemical irAEs were measured in the serum (ALT and cytokine levels). Histopathological liver and colon tissue analysis were performed to assess immune cell infiltration and tissue damage.

Results Similar to early clinical trials of CD40 agonists, in our tumor models we observed liver toxicities and rapid release of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF, interleukin 6, interferon-γ). In the BALB/c strain, anti-CD40 induced severe physical and biochemical irAEs. Concomitant anti-TNF treatment abrogated weight loss, liver damage and colitis, which consequently resulted in an improved clinical score. However, concomitant anti-TNF impaired antitumor response in a proportion of anti-CD40-treated C57BL/6 Foxp3DTR mice. Delaying TNF blockade in these mice reduced biochemical but not physical irAEs while preserving antitumor efficacy.

Conclusions Our results suggest concomitant rather than delayed anti-TNF is most effective in reducing biochemical and physical irAEs induced by anti-CD40, although it had the potential to negatively impact antitumor efficacy.

Furthermore, our findings highlight the utility of our mouse model to assess the severity of irAEs induced by novel immunotherapeutic agents and evaluate whether their toxicity and antitumor efficacy can be uncoupled.

BACKGROUND

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting CTLA4 and/or PD1/PDL1 have considerably changed the landscape of cancer treatment, underlining the importance of the immune system as a major player to control and eradicate cancers. While the use of anti-PD1, alone or in combination with anti-CTLA4, has been significantly beneficial for some patients across a wide range of malignancies, there remains a significant proportion of cancer patients who do not respond to these ICIs.

Agnostic monoclonal antibodies (mAb) against costimulatory receptors represent an additional strategy to boost antitumor immune response and potentiate the activity of ICIs. Based on its ability to bridge innate and adaptive immunity by activating antigen-presenting cells (APC), CD40 mAb was among the first agonistic treatment developed for cancer immunotherapy. Preclinical studies demonstrated that CD40 mAb therapy enhanced APC’s ability to prime CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, even in the absence of CD4+ T cell helper signals, resulting in a strong and durable antitumor immune response against B cell lymphomas and various solid tumors. CD40 agonists also modulated tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells from protumor to antitumor and could activate macrophage-dependent tumor matrix degradation. However, these promising preclinical studies failed to predict the dose-limiting toxicities observed in cancer patients and this has hindered the clinical...
In the initial clinical trial from Vonderheide et al, grade 3 headaches and venous thromboembolism were observed in some patients, with the majority of the patients experiencing cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and transient liver toxicity 24–48 hours after infusion.

Immune-related adverse events (irAEs) are a frequent complication observed after immunotherapy particularly following coblockade of CTLA4 and PD1. The reinvigoration of antitumor immunity can lead to breaches in immune tolerance, autoantigen reactivity and abnormal T cell proliferation and infiltration into various organs. The occurrence and management of irAEs are a major concern in immunotherapy development, with more than 50% of ICI-treated cancer patients experiencing some form of irAEs, which sometimes necessitates treatment discontinuation and occasionally can result in fatalities. Given the need to improve clinical benefits, there is a strong rationale to assess combination immunotherapies, although this may also increase the frequency and severity of irAEs. Hence, there is a need to understand the immune pathways that contribute to the development of severe irAEs following different immunotherapies and whether they can be specifically targeted without impacting on antitumor efficacy.

We previously described a mouse model to assess both antitumor activity and irAEs induced by various effective combination immunotherapies. Using the BALB/c and C57BL/6 strains of FoxP3 DTR (FoxP3 DTR) mice, transient depletion of T regulatory cells (Tregs) prior to immunotherapy with additional immunomodulatory antibodies, lowered immune self-tolerance resulting in the development of a spectrum of physical and biochemical irAEs similar to that reported clinically. Generally, irAEs were more severe in the BALB/c strain compared with C57BL/6 strain of FoxP3 DTR mice. In particular, tumor-bearing BALB/c or FoxP3 DTR mice that received transient Treg depletion followed by anti-CD137 developed irAEs similar to that observed with urelumab in humans. Furthermore, in this model, we demonstrated that administration of antitumor necrosis factor (TNF) alleviated severe irAEs induced by anti-CD137 therapy. Clinically, TNF blockade with infliximab is a second-line treatment for ICI-treated patients suffering from colitis who do not respond to corticosteroids. Recently, a preclinical study reported that concomitant TNF blockade in combination with anti-PD1 and anti-CTLA4 treatment not only reduced irAEs but also improved antitumor efficacy. In another study, addition of anti-TNF overcame resistance to anti-PD1 in an experimental melanoma mouse model. Given the dose-limiting toxicity profile of CD40 mAb, in this study, we used two strains of FoxP3 DTR mice and two different tumor models to study how concomitant or delayed TNF neutralization impacted the balance between antitumor efficacy and irAEs induced after anti-CD40 treatment.

**METHODS**

**Mice**

Inbred C57BL/6 FoxP3 DTR were kindly provided by Geoffrey Hill, while BALB/c FoxP3 DTR mice were generated by backcrossing C57BL/6 FoxP3 DTR mice for 10 generations to BALB/c WT mice. All mice were bred and maintained at the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute. Mice aged 6–12 weeks were used in all experiments and performed in accordance to QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute animal experimental ethics committee guidelines. Additionally, mice were scored for clinical symptoms of illness taking into account posture, activity, fur texture, blepharitis and weight change; as previously described. Mice were monitored daily and euthanized when clinical symptoms reached the cumulative limit outlined by animal ethics.

**Cell lines**

BALB/c-derived 4T1.2 mammary carcinoma and C57BL/6-derived MC38 colon adenocarcinoma cell lines were maintained in RPMI or DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, penicillin/streptomycin, and additional L-glutamine (Gibco, Waltham, USA) as previously described. All cell lines were routinely tested as negative for mycoplasma. Cell line authentication was not routinely performed.

**Experimental tumor models**

BALB/c or C57BL/6 FoxP3 DTR mice were injected in the mammary fatpad or subcutaneously (s.c.) with either 4T1.2 (1 x 10⁶) or MC38 cells (1 x 10⁶), respectively. Tumor growth was measured regularly by caliper square measurements and treatment started when the mean tumor size was over 40 mm². Mice were euthanized when tumor size reached 150 mm². To deplete Tregs and uncover antibody treatment toxicity, mice were injected intraperitoneally with 250 ng of diphtheria toxin (DT) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA), diluted in PBS. Some mice additionally received treatment at the indicated dose and schedule with 200 µg rat control IgG2a (1-1; Leinco Technologies, St Louis, USA), 100 µg anti-CD40 (FGK4.5, BioXCell, West Lebanon, USA), 200 µg anti-TNFα (TN3-19.12; Leinco Technologies) and 500 µg anti-interleukin (IL)-6R (MR16-1; Chugai Pharma, Tokyo, Japan).

**Serum analysis**

Mice were bled from the retro-orbital sinus, allowed to clot, and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min to separate sera. Cytokine levels (interferon (IFN)-γ, TNFα, IL-6) were determined using mouse cytometric bead array flex set as per manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA). Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels were measured by a liquid ALT detection kit as per manufacturer’s instructions (Pointe Scientific, Canton Township, USA).

**Histology**

Mouse tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin before being embedded in paraffin. Four µm sections...
were cut and stained with H&E. H&E-stained tissue sections were imaged using an Aperio AT slide scanner (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and analyzed by Aperio ImageScope. The pathology of mouse liver and colon tissues were scored blindly and independently by two persons, referring to Erben’s or Thoelen’s standards, respectively. Representative images of our scoring system are presented in online supplemental figure S1.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software V.8 (La Jolla, USA). Differences in tumor growth were determined by Mann-Whitney U test. Differences between measurements in groups were determined by a one-way analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis) test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis as indicated. Differences between survival curves were determined using a log-rank analysis. P values were considered significant with p<0.05 indicated with (*), p<0.01 with (**), p<0.001 with (***), and p<0.0001 with (****).

RESULTS
Treg depletion and anti-CD40 treatment display different therapeutic index against established tumors
We previously demonstrated that transient Treg depletion in tumor-bearing FoxP3DTR mice (one dose DT) lowered immune tolerance and allowed biochemical and physical irAEs induced by any subsequent immunotherapy treatment to be more easily detected. Using this model, we assessed the efficacy and safety of anti-CD40 therapy in BALB/c or C57BL/6 FoxP3DTR mice bearing established 4T1.2 or MC38 tumors, respectively (figure 1A). Anti-CD40 treatment in combination with Treg depletion (DT+anti-CD40) displayed modest suppression of 4T1.2 tumor growth compared with the anti-CD40 alone or PBS+clg-treated group (figure 1B and online supplemental figure S2A). In contrast, DT-anti-CD40 was effective against MC38 tumors, resulting in 80% cures (4/5) of mice (figure 1C and online supplemental figure S2B).

Although PBS+anti-CD40- or DT+ clg-treated groups suppressed MC38 tumor growth compared with the control treated group, this did not lead to cures. In both models, the majority of mice treated with DT-anti-CD40 developed visible signs of illness as measured by weight loss and increase in clinical score, as previously defined. This includes the presence of blepharitis, weight loss, changes in posture, activity and fur texture (figure 1B,C). As expected, clinical scores were higher in the BALB/c compared with the C57BL/6 strain where irAEs was observed even in the PBS+anti-CD40-treated group.

Given that the main adverse events seen in humans following anti-CD40 therapy are rapid CRS and transient liver toxicity, we measured serum concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines and liver ALT in these two mouse models, 24 hours after the first anti-CD40 injection (figure 2). DT+anti-CD40 treatment induced liver damage in BALB/c FoxP3DTR mice (figure 2A) and to a lesser extent in C57BL/6 FoxP3DTR mice (figure 2B), as evidenced by higher levels of ALT in the former compared with the latter. A significant increase in ALT in PBS+anti-CD40-treated groups was observed for both strains, although the levels were again higher in the BALB/c mice compared with the C57BL/6 mice. TNF and IL-6 production were also increased and in both models the levels were higher in the DT+ anti-CD40 group. The production of these inflammatory cytokines is likely to correlate with the clinical score observed (figure 1). IFN-γ levels also increased although their levels were similar between the DT+anti-CD40- and PBS+anti-CD40-treated groups. Consistent with the transient symptoms observed in humans, the increase in ALT and inflammatory cytokines in our mouse models also occurred early with levels dropping 8 days after treatment initiation (online supplemental figure S3). By assessing both antitumor efficacy and irAEs, these results demonstrate the better therapeutic index of anti-CD40 therapy in MC38 compared with 4T1.2 tumor-bearing mice.

TNF blockade reduces physical and biochemical irAEs induced by anti-CD40 treatment
Cytokine blockade is a recommended second-line therapy to treat irAEs induced by different immunotherapies. Infliximab, a TNF neutralizing antibody, is used to treat severe colitis induced by ICI that is refractory to steroids, and recently, preclinical studies have suggested its use in a concomitant manner. Targeting the IL-6/IL-6R signaling pathway is also another approach, with the IL-6R blocking antibody tocilizumab already used for the management of severe CRS that can occur following CAR-T cell therapy. Therefore, we asked whether concomitant injection of TNF or IL-6R blocking antibodies improved the therapeutic window of 4T1.2 or MC38 tumor-bearing mice treated with DT+ anti-CD40 displaying high and low grade irAEs, respectively (figure 3A). TNF neutralization significantly reduced clinical score (figure 3B,E and online supplemental video 1), diminished weight loss (figure 3C,F) and reduced ALT levels in DT+ anti-CD40-treated mice (figure 3D,G). As expected TNF levels were significantly reduced in the DT+anti-CD40-treated groups that received anti-TNF compared with those that did not, while IL-6 levels were similar between these two groups (figure 3H,I). Interestingly, in the BALB/c mice treated with DT+anti-CD40+anti-TNF, the levels of IFN-γ significantly increased compared with the DT+anti-CD40- treated group, although this effect was not observed in the C57BL/6 strain (figure 3H,I). While anti-TNF ameliorated both physical and biochemical irAEs induced by DT-anti-CD40, we did not observe this effect in mice treated with anti-IL-6R (figure 3B–G). Furthermore, in DT+anti-CD40-treated mice, TNF levels significantly increased in the group that received IL-6R blockade (figure 3H,I), although by day 8 the levels had decreased (online supplemental figure S4). This may explain why the clinical symptoms of these mice were not improved and ALT levels still significantly increased by day 8.
An increase in IL-6 was also observed (figure 3H,I) which is in line with clinical observations following tocilizumab injection. Overall, these observations suggest that neutralizing TNF rather than blocking IL-6R is more efficacious in managing irAEs and reducing liver injury induced by agonistic anti-CD40 treatment.

Concomitant TNF neutralization may negatively impact on antitumor efficacy of DT plus anti-CD40 treatment

Next, we assessed whether cytokine neutralization or blockade affected the antitumor efficacy of DT + anti-CD40-treated tumor-bearing BALB/c or C57BL/6 FoxP3DTR mice (figure 4 and online supplemental figure S5). As shown previously (figure 1), DT+anti-CD40 was generally ineffective against 4T1.2 tumors and cotreatment with anti-TNF or anti-IL-6R did not positively or negatively affect tumor growth (figure 4A). In C57BL/6 FoxP3DTR mice treated with DT+anti-CD40, all mice rejected their tumors (7/7), but interestingly in the DT+anti-CD40+anti-TNF-treated group, not all mice were cured (5/7) (figure 4B), suggesting that concomitant TNF neutralization may affect the antitumor efficacy.
of anti-CD40 in a proportion of these mice. Therefore, we repeated this experiment where a larger cohort of MC38 tumor-bearing mice were treated with DT+ anti-CD40 or DT+anti-CD40+anti-TNF (figure 4C,D) and we confirmed that the rate of tumor rejection (19/20 vs 13/20 cures, respectively) was negatively affected in the group that received concomitant TNF neutralization. This translated to a significant loss in survival

**Figure 2** Anti-CD40 induces liver damage and release of inflammatory cytokines within 24 hours of administration. From the same experiments as described in figure 1, sera from (A) 4T1.2 and (B) MC38 tumor-bearing mice were collected 24 hours after the start of anti-CD40 treatment. ALT activity, TNF, IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were measured. Data pooled from two independent experiments (n=4–7/group/experiment; mean±SEM) with significant differences determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; IFN-γ, interferon-γ; IL-6, interleukin 6; ns, not significant; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
in the anti-TNF treated group (figure 4E). These data contradicted a previous report from Perez-Ruiz et al, who showed that concomitant TNF neutralization in combination with ICIs (anti-PD1/anti-CTLA4) ameliorated irAEs and improved the survival of MC38-tumor-bearing mice.21 Given that the TNF antibody clone used in our study was different to that used in the Perez-Ruiz study, we set up an experiment to replicate their findings and confirmed that TNF blockade did not negatively impact on the antitumor efficacy of anti-PD1/anti-CTLA4 (online supplemental figure S6).

Delaying anti-TNF uncouples toxicity and antitumor efficacy of anti-CD40 treatment

Clinically, irAEs are generally treated when they arise rather than concomitantly. In our study, anti-TNF was given concomitantly, but we wanted to examine how delaying anti-TNF impacted on the antitumor efficacy and irAEs induced by anti-CD40 therapy in both the C57BL/6 and BALB/c FoxP3DTR mouse strains (figures 5 and 6). In one group of DT+anti-CD40-treated mice bearing MC38 tumors, anti-TNF was given 3 days after mice received their first anti-CD40 injection (figure 5A).
Delaying the injection of anti-TNF rescued the antitumor efficacy of DT+ anti-CD40 treatment in comparison with the group receiving concomitant injections from the start (7/8 vs 2/8 cures, respectively, figure 5B–D). In the C57BL/6 strain, which presents with lower grade irAEs compared with the BALB/c strain, delayed TNF blockade in DT+anti-CD40-treated mice could still decrease ALT and inflammatory cytokines to levels comparable to mice that received concomitant anti-TNF (figure 5E,F) (online supplemental figure 7). However, delayed anti-TNF did not improve the physical irAEs of these mice as measured by their clinical score and weight loss (online supplemental figure 7). Overall, in the MC38 tumor model, delaying anti-TNF treatment improved the therapeutic window of anti-CD40 treatment in contrast to concomitant treatment with anti-TNF.

**Concomitant anti-TNF is more effective than delayed TNF neutralization**

We next assessed the impact of delaying TNF blockade in DT+anti-CD40-treated BALB/c FoxP3<sup>DTR</sup> mice, given they displayed more severe irAEs. Here, anti-TNF was given concomitantly or 24 hours after anti-CD40 treatment, when irAEs developed (figure 6A). In this model, delayed anti-TNF was not as effective as when it was given concomitantly, since weight loss was not prevented (figure 6B). While concomitant anti-TNF-treated mice never displayed increased clinical scores, delayed anti-TNF rapidly decreased clinical score compared with DT+anti-CD40-treated mice (figure 6C and online supplemental video 2). Although delaying TNF neutralization did not reduce ALT levels in sera obtained 24, 48 and 72 hours after anti-CD40 treatment, TNF levels decreased at 48 hours while IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were not affected (online supplemental figure S8A–C). Interestingly, in the mice that received concomitant anti-TNF, TNF levels initially decreased at the 24 and 48 hours time points, but surprisingly increased at the 72 hours time point compared with the DT+anti-CD40-treated group, although this increase did not affect the clinical score.

Clinically, agonist CD40 antibody treatment was reported to induce acute inflammation and hepatitis, and was also linked to the induction of colitis in preclinical models. In our study, mild diarrhea was also observed in DT+ anti-CD40-treated BALB/c and C57BL/6 FoxP3<sup>DTR</sup> mice (data not shown). Therefore, we performed a histological analysis of various organs 4 days after treatment with anti-CD40 to understand the impact of concomitant or delayed TNF neutralization in the most affected tissues. Strikingly, we observed a strong immune cell infiltration in the colon and liver of every mouse treated with DT+ anti-CD40, resulting in severe lobular necrosis, noticeable white spots in the liver tissue and colon inflammation (figure 6D–F). In contrast, concomitant anti-TNF completely abrogated immune-related hepatitis and colitis induced by DT+ anti-CD40, while delayed TNF blockade merely reduced colon and liver scores, even when the clinical scores of these mice were significantly improved (figure 6C–E). A mild increase in spleen, but not colon weights was detected in all anti-CD40-treated groups compared with cIg-treated groups (online supplemental figure 8D,E). However, a reduction in colon lengths was only observed in the DT+anti-CD40-treated
group, suggestive of ongoing colitis (online supplemental figure S8F). Overall, these data suggest concomitant compared with delayed anti-TNF was more effective in reducing high-grade irAEs.

DISCUSSION

Agonistic CD40 antibodies were among the first agents to demonstrate the therapeutic advantage of targeting immune costimulatory receptors in cancer. However, the dose-limiting toxicity of this treatment hampered its use in the clinic, stressing the need to better understand whether its toxicities could be uncoupled from its antitumor activity. In this study, we used transient Treg depletion in two strains of FoxP3<sup>DTR</sup> mice to lower immune tolerance and study the irAEs and antitumor activity induced by subsequent anti-CD40 therapy. In both strains, we observed transient liver toxicity and rapid release of proinflammatory cytokines similar to what was observed in early clinical trials of CD40 agonists. In particular, in the BALB/c strain, DT-anti-CD40 treatment induced severe physical and biochemical irAEs rapidly after treatment while having modest effects on tumor growth. This included significant weight loss and an increase in clinical score, ALT levels and the proinflammatory cytokines, IL-6 and TNF. Importantly, concomitant compared with delayed anti-TNF in DT+anti-CD40-treated BALB/c FoxP<sub>3</sub><sup>DTR</sup> mice reduced weight loss, liver damage and colitis, which consequently resulted in an improved clinical score. Similarly, concomitant compared with delayed anti-TNF was more effective in reducing biochemical and physical irAEs in DT+anti-CD40-treated tumor-bearing C57BL/6 FoxP<sub>3</sub><sup>DTR</sup> mice. However, this came at a cost, given that concomitant anti-TNF reduced antitumor efficacy in a proportion of these mice.

The irAEs displayed in our mouse models, particularly in the BALB/c strain, mimicked the symptoms and
kinetics observed in humans treated with CD40 agonists. This is in contrast to previous studies of agonistic antibodies, which generally evaluated irAEs in naïve wild-type mice. In our study, we observed that the increase in ALT levels following DT+anti-CD40 treatment was five times lower when injected into naïve mice (data not shown). This finding suggested that tumor-bearing mice should be used, considering that inflamed tissues and the immune system might exacerbate the toxicity of an antibody treatment. Indeed, it was shown that tumor-bearing mice displayed higher levels of liver damage compared with tumor-free mice. While C57BL/6 mice are the most commonly used strain to study antitumor responses, they do not display the full spectrum of irAEs and severity seen in humans. Similarly in our study, the irAEs observed in DT+anti-CD40-treated C57BL/6 FoxP3^DTR mice were less severe compared with their BALB/c FoxP3^DTR counterparts.

The anti-TNF blocking antibody infliximab is currently used as a second-line treatment for the management of gastrointestinal toxicity induced by ICIs, but its use for immune-related hepatotoxicity is more controversial. The current ESMO clinical practice guidelines do not recommend using anti-TNF treatment for hepatitis, based on case reports correlating infliximab treatment with drug-induced liver injury. Although significant toxicities were observed in some patients, the incidence of these events was fairly low and liver injury was reversible after anti-TNF discontinuation. In the current study, we showed that concomitant TNF neutralization completely abrogated immune-related colitis and hepatitis in mice treated with anti-CD40, similar to that previously shown in a preclinical study assessing the use of concomitant anti-TNF to prevent irAEs induced by anti-PD1/anti-CTLA4. Anti-TNF has also previously been shown to reduce anti-CD40 induced liver toxicities. Interestingly in our study, IL-6R blockade did not attenuate physical and biochemical irAEs. This is despite previous reports suggesting that blockade of IL-6R might be used to manage irAEs after immunotherapy in solid tumors and that IL-6R blockade had synergistic antitumor efficacy when combined with ICIs. These findings demonstrate the
NF can exert positive and negative effects on cancer immunity. In our study, we showed that TNF was involved in the induction of irAEs but also seemed to have a role in the antitumor efficacy of anti-CD40 as seen by a loss of tumor growth suppression in a proportion of DT+ anti-CD40-treated MC38 tumor-bearing mice that received concomitant anti-TNF. By delaying anti-TNF, we prevented this loss of antitumor efficacy but the trade-off was a reduction in biochemical but not physical irAEs. Interestingly, in DT+anti-CD40-treated MC38-tumor-bearing mice given concomitant anti-TNF, early tumor growth suppression was observed in most mice but over time, the antitumor immune response failed in a proportion of these mice. Analysis of T cell numbers and their immune phenotype in these tumors may shed light on the reason(s) for their outgrowth. Future studies will need to investigate why concomitant anti-TNF negatively impacts anti-CD40 treatment while having a positive effect when combined with ICIs in the same tumor model. One possibility to explain the negative impact of concomitant anti-TNF is the possible role of this cytokine in the immune response triggered by CD40 agonist treatment. Several studies have shown that TNF is a potent antitumor factor, and that the expression of CD40 and TNF correlated with better survival of colorectal cancer patients. It was also shown that TNF neutralization decreased the expression of CD40 on intestinal microvascular cells in Crohn disease patients. One potential mechanism that may explain the detrimental effect of anti-TNF in combination with anti-CD40 might then be that anti-TNF decreased CD40 expression on APCs. Clinically, questions remains as to the role anti-TNF may play on promoting or suppressing the antitumor efficacy of ICIs. Currently, two trials addressing this question have obtained contradictory results with one study demonstrating infliximab abrogated the survival advantage given by ICI treatment while another study reported improved survival of patients with cancer. Considering the known dual role of TNF in tumor development, addressing this question is of the utmost importance before generalizing the use of infliximab in the clinic.

While anti-TNF is one strategy to attenuate irAEs, other approaches to reduce anti-CD40 dose-limiting toxicity are also being investigated. In a preclinical study, an engineered CD40 mAb conjugated with extracellular matrix-binding peptide improved antitumor efficacy by improving local delivery and reducing systemic treatment-related adverse events. Alternatively, in preclinical mouse models, local treatment of slow-release agonistic anti-CD40 antibody directly into tumors was shown to induce robust antitumor CD8+ T cell responses without systemic toxicity. In patients with metastatic melanoma, a phase I/II trial is currently assessing the safety of intratumor administration of CD40 agonist mAb APX005M in combination with systemic anti-PD1 (NCT02706353). Two clinical trials have also included arms where the CD40 agonist selicrelumab is injected s.c. to limit adverse events, in combination with anti-VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) (NCT02665416) or anti-PD-L1 (NCT02304393). Positive results from these clinical trials could inform on how best to administer CD40 agonists in the clinic to minimize its toxicity while preserving its antitumor efficacy. Finally, studies are also underway to design new CD40 mAb with enhanced agonistic function. Several studies demonstrated the importance of the inhibitory receptor FcγRIIB to crosslink CD40 agonistic antibodies, leading to the creation of human CD40 mAb Fc variants with selectively enhanced binding to FcγRIIB. These variants had a 30-fold to 90-fold increased binding ability and improved antitumor efficacy, but a higher dose-dependent toxicity that was abrogated by intratumor injection. Another study showed that a switch from IgG1 to IgG2 isotype transformed a human antagonist CD40 mAb into a super-agonist with improved antitumor efficacy, highlighting the importance of the appropriate isotype in the design of CD40 agonist antibodies. However, the mouse strain and experimental assays used in this study does not fully inform on the potential toxicity of the new variant. Additional studies are required to assess if improving agonistic function and modulating FcγR binding of CD40 mAb is the safest option to increase its therapeutic window.

Overall, our study demonstrated the strength of an antitumor response and irAEs severity induced by any particular immunotherapy can differ depending on the tumor type and its microenvironment and host genetics. In the 4T1.2 tumor model, anti-CD40 displayed a low therapeutic index given it had modest antitumor efficacy but induced severe irAEs. In contrast, the same immunotherapy had a higher therapeutic index against the MC38 tumor model given it eradicated tumors in a proportion of treated mice while inducing less severe irAEs. The challenge for any immunotherapies whether it is used alone or in combination involves finding a balance between inducing a potent antitumor response, while limiting the severity of any potential irAEs. In this study, we demonstrate the utility of our mouse models to evaluate both the antitumor efficacy and irAEs induced by different immunotherapies. Furthermore, our models offer the opportunity to understand and decipher which immune pathways are linked to development of irAEs and/or required for antitumor immunity.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Representative colon and liver scoring

Representative images from H&E-stained sections of (A) colon and (B) liver after immunotherapy treatments. (A) Score 0: healthy colon mucosa with intact epithelium; Score 1: low immune cell infiltrates in the mucosa, mild hyperplasia; C. Score 2: diffuse mucosal and submucosal infiltrates but intact epithelial layer; Score 3: moderate infiltration of inflammatory cells, moderate goblet cell loss and few crypt abscesses; Score 4: marked inflammation, severe loss of goblet cells and crypts, erosion; Score 5: complete loss of epithelium structure. Scale bar 100 μm. Black arrows-inflammatoty cell infiltrates within mucosa (solid) and submucosa (dotted); blue arrowhead-crypt abscess, red arrowhead-goblet cell loss; white arrowhead-crypt loss. (B) Portal and periportal infiltration. Score 0: no infiltration, Score 1: low portal infiltration, Score 2: medium portal infiltration, Score 3: high portal infiltration. Lobular necrosis and inflammation. Score 0: healthy liver, Score 1: few inflammation foci (<10%), Score 2: mid inflammation foci (<40%) and low lobular necrosis, Score 3: mid inflammation foci (<70%) and mid lobular necrosis, Score 4: high inflammation foci (>70%) and high lobular necrosis. Scale bar 300 μm. Black arrowhead: immune cell infiltrates; green arrowhead: lobular inflammation; yellow arrowhead: lobular necrosis.
Supplementary Figure 2. Individual 4T1.2 and MC38 tumor growth curves following transient Treg depletion and anti-CD40 treatment.

From the same experiment described as Figure 1, individual growth curves of BALB/c or C57BL/6 FoxP3<sup>DT</sup>R mice bearing (A) 4T1.2 and (B) MC38 tumors, following their indicated treatment are shown.
Supplementary Figure 3. Additional anti-CD40 treatment following transient Treg depletion does not further induce liver damage or release of inflammatory cytokines.

From the same experiments described as Figure 2, sera from (A) 4T1.2 and (B) MC38-tumor bearing mice were collected 8 days after the start of anti-CD40 therapy (i.e. 2 days after the last treatment). ALT activity, TNF, IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were measured. Data pooled from 2 independent experiments (mean ± SEM) with significant differences determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis, *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
Supplementary Figure 4. Additional anti-TNF reduces irAEs induced by anti-CD40 treatment while IL-6R blockade increases irAEs.

From the same experiments described as Figure 3, sera from (A) 4T1.2 and (B) MC38-tumor bearing mice were collected 8 days after the start of anti-CD40 therapy (i.e. 2 days after the last treatment). ALT activity, TNF, IL6 and IFN-γ levels were measured. Data pooled from 2 experiments for BALB/c and performed once for C57BL/6 (mean ± SEM) with significant differences determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001.
Supplementary Figure 5. Anti-TNF negatively impacts on the efficacy of DT+anti-CD40 treated MC38 tumor-bearing mice.

From the same experiments as described in Figure 4A and Figure 4B, individual tumor growth curves of BALB/c or C57BL/6 FoxP3\textsuperscript{DTR} mice bearing (A) 4T1.2 and (B) MC38 tumors, following their indicated treatment are shown.
Supplementary Figure 6. Concomitant anti-TNF positively impacts on the efficacy of anti-PD1 + anti-CTLA4 therapy.

(A) Schematic representation of the treatment protocol. C57BL/6 WT mice were injected s.c. with 1 x 10^6 MC38 tumor cells. On days 9 and 15 mice were treated i.p with 100 μg of clg (1-1) or ICI: anti-PD1 (RMP1-14) and anti-CTLA4 (9D9). In one group, mice were also i.p. treated with anti-TNF (200 μg) on days 9, 11, 13 and 15. (B) Mean tumor size (mm^2) and (C) individual tumor growth curves are shown. Experiments performed once (n=7-10/group). Statistical comparisons between tumor sizes were performed with Mann-Whitney analysis at the final measurement of the PBS+cIg-treated group, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001.
Supplementary Figure 7. Delayed anti-TNF reduces biochemical but not physical irAEs in DT+anti-CD40 treated MC38 tumor-bearing mice.

From the same experiment described in Figure 5, (A) clinical score and (B) weight change after DT injection is shown. Data representative of 2 experiments with significant differences determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis was used between the indicated groups (at day 5), *P<0.05, **P<0.01. Mice sera was collected (C-E) 24 hours after the 1st anti-CD40 injection and (F-H) 24 hours after the 2nd anti-CD40 injection (24 hours after the first injection of anti-TNF in the delayed group). TNF, IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were measured. Data pooled from 2 independent experiments (mean ± SEM) with significant differences determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
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**Supplementary Figure 8. Concomitant compared to delayed anti-TNF is more effective at reducing biochemical irAEs in DT+anti-CD40 treated 4T1.2 tumor-bearing mice.**

From the same experiment described in Figure 6, indicated organs were taken 96 hours after initial antibody treatment. Mice sera was collected (D) 24 hours, (E) 48 hours and (F) 72 hours after the start of anti-CD40 treatment. ALT activity, TNF, IL-6 and IFN-γ levels were measured. Data pooled from 2 independent experiments (mean ± SEM) with significant differences determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001. (D) Spleen weight, (E) colon weight and (F) colon length are shown. Data representative of 2 experiments.
Supplementary Video 1. Improved clinical score of mice following anti-TNF and anti-CD40 treatment.

Mice from the same experiment described in Figure 3 were recorded 24 hours after the start of anti-CD40 treatment.

Supplementary Video 2. Improved clinical score of mice with concomitant and delayed anti-TNF.

Mice from the same experiment described in Figure 6 were recorded 24 and 48 hours after the start of anti-CD40 treatment.