cells and regulatory T cells (Treg), as PL consisted of higher numbers of T cells (791.8 Helper T cells/mm², 195.7 Treg/mm²) than LM (626.3 Helper T cells/mm², 121.3 Treg/mm²). However, cytotoxic T cells exhibiting GZB+ and CTLA-4 were fewer in PL (140.2 cells/mm²) than in LM (203.3 cells/mm²), and the ratio is 0.69. The mean number of GZB+ TIL in PL (32.5 cells/mm²) was lower than in LM (35.3 cells/mm²), and their proportions among total TIL counts were 0.12 and 0.31, respectively. In PL, GZB+: GZB- ratio is 0.16 while the ratio is 1.91 for LM. A fewer number of TILs exhibiting GZB suggests that PL has lower efficiency in immune response than LM. Another crucial checkpoint receptor that inhibits immune response, CTLA-4, was more prevalent in PL, with CTLA-4+: CTLA-4- ratio in Treg being 0.36 in PL, compared to 0.11 in LM. The tumor proportion score (TPS) of PD-L1 was higher in PL than LM (40.0 vs. 6.6).

Conclusions In our study, we showed the differences in the numbers of TIL or regulatory T cells and expressions of immune checkpoint receptors (PD-L1, CTLA-4), which significantly influence outcomes for CIT. The study is ongoing to confirm different IME between the PL and LM groups in a larger tumor cohort.
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Abstract 748 Figure 1 Mesothelin expression by primary tumor location

A+C) Representative low Mesothelin expression at low (X10) (A) and higher power (X20) (C). B+D) Representative high Mesothelin expression at low (X10) (B) and higher power (X20) (D). E) Log(x+1) transformed Mesothelin Expression as determined by automated cell counting, median and IQR, all data points shown. Median: 5.5, 78.5, 146.0 for ICC, H-ICC, D-ICC, respective, p-value = 0.025. F-H) Mesothelin Expression determined by visual inspection and scoring for ICC (F), H-ICC (G), and D-ICC (D).
Abstract 748 Figure 2  Immune infiltration based on primary tumor location. Increase in immune infiltrate in primary tumors as distance from liver increases. P-values determined by Jonckheere-Terpstra Test with FDR corrections.

Abstract 748 Figure 3  CD14 and CD163 Correlate with OS. A+C) Kaplan Meier Curve of OS for (A) CD14 (Median OS: 20 vs. 90 months, log-rank p-value <0.01) and (C) CD163 (Median OS: 15 vs. 32 months, log-rank p-value<0.01). B+D) Multivariate Cox Hazard Models. Assumptions of Cox Hazard Model were checked with Schoenfeld residual values, significance level <0.01

Conclusions  The TIME of CC varies significantly by primary tumor location and between primary and metastatic lesions. D-ECC has a favorable immune profile compared to ICC and H-ECC, with a better milieu for antigen presentation including increased mesothelin and less suppressive macrophages, which may support better response to checkpoint blockade. The data supported the hypothesis that higher densities of intra-tumoral M2 macrophages and myeloid cells correlated with worse OS, even after controlling for clinical variables, suggesting that these cell populations may represent promising immunotherapeutic targets in CC.
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749 SPATIAL PROFILING OF THE TUMOUR MICROENVIRONMENT IN HEAD AND NECK CANCER TO IDENTIFY BIOMARKERS OF RESPONSE TO THERAPY

Arutha Kulasinghe*. Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, Australia

Background  Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have shown durable and long-term benefits in a subset of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) patients. To identify patient-responders from non-responders, biomarkers are needed which are predictive of outcome to ICI therapy. Cues in the tumour microenvironment (TME) have been informative in understanding the tumour-immune contexture.

Methods  In this study, the NanoString GemoMx™ Digital Spatial Profiling (DSP) technology was used to determine the immune marker and compartment specific measurements in a cohort of HNSCC tumours from patients receiving ICI therapy.

Results  Our data revealed that markers involved with immune cell infiltration (CD8 T-cells) were not predictive of outcome to ICI therapy. Rather, a number of immune cell types (CD4, CD68, CD45, CD44, CD66b) were found to correlate with progressive disease.

Conclusions  This study, to our knowledge, represents the first spatial analysis of HNSCC tumours.

Ethics Approval  The study was approved by the Queensland University of Technology Ethics Board.
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750 MALAT1 lncRNA CONTROLS METASTATIC REACTIVATION OF DORMANT BREAST CANCER BY IMMUNE EVASION

Dhiraj Kumar*, Sreehasha Gurrapu, Hyunho Han, Yan Wang, Seongyeon Bae, Hong Chen, Chang-Jiun Wu, Filippo Giancotti. The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Background  Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are involved in various biological processes and diseases. Malat1 (metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1), also known as Neat2, is one of the most abundant and highly conserved nuclear lncRNAs. Several studies have shown that the expression of lncRNA Malat1 is associated with metastasis and serving as a predictive marker for various tumor progression. Metastatic relapse often develops years after primary tumor removal as a result of disseminated tumor cells undergoing a period of latency in the target organ.1–4 However, the correlation of tumor intrinsic lncRNA in regulation of tumor dormancy and immune evasion is largely unknown.

Methods  Using an in vivo screening platform for the isolation of genetic entities involved in either dormancy or reactivation of breast cancer tumor cells, we have identified Malat1 as a positive mediator of metastatic reactivation. To functionally uncover the role of Malat1 in metastatic reactivation, we have developed a knock out (KO) model by using paired gRNA CRISPR-Cas9 deletion approach in metastatic breast and other cancer types, including lung, colon and melanoma. As proof of concept we also used inducible knockdown system under in vivo models. To delineate the immune microenvironment, we have used 10X genomics single cell RNA-seq, ChIRP-seq, multi-color flowcytometry, RNA-FISH and immunofluorescence.

Results  Our results reveal that the deletion of Malat1 abrogates the tumorigenic and metastatic potential of these tumors