Incidence of thromboembolism in patients with melanoma on immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy and its adverse association with survival
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ABSTRACT

Background Thromboembolism (TE) in cancer significantly contributes to morbidity and mortality. Little is known about the incidence of arterial TE (ATE) and venous TE (VTE) in patients with melanoma on immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy.

Methods We conducted a retrospective cohort study of patients with melanoma receiving ICI from July 2015 through December 2017 at the Cleveland Clinic. TE, including VTE events of deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, visceral vein thrombosis, and ATE events of myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral arterial embolism, or transient ischemic attack after ICI initiation were identified. Overall survival (OS) from ICI initiation was estimated by Kaplan-Meier and Cox hazard models; associations between TE, ICI regimen, and clinical risk factors were evaluated using log-rank test.

Results The study population comprised 228 patients with median age of 65 years (23–91 years), 67% male, and median follow-up of 27.3 months. Pembrolizumab was most commonly used (38.7%), followed by combination of ipilimumab plus nivolumab (29.4%), ipilimumab (20%), and nivolumab (12.3%). Most had stage IV disease (81.1%) and 11% had brain metastases (BM) at treatment initiation. Fifty-one TE events occurred in 47 patients (20.6%), including 37 (16.2%) VTE and 14 (6.1%) ATE. Cumulative incidence of TE after ICI initiation was 9.3% (95% CI: 6.0% to 13.6%) at 6 months, and 16.0% (95% CI: 11.6% to 21.2%) at 12 months. The 6-month and 12-month VTE cumulative incidence rates were higher with combination ICI than single agent (16.7% vs 5.0% and 21.3% vs 9.5%, respectively; p=0.02). Risk factors significantly associated with VTE in multivariate analysis included combination ICI (HR 2.70; 95% CI: 1.28 to 5.70; p=0.009), Khorana Score ≥1 (HR 2.24; 95% CI: 1.06 to 4.74; p=0.03), history of coronary artery disease (HR 2.71; 95% CI: 1.16 to 6.29; p=0.02), and anticoagulation at treatment start (HR 4.14; 95% CI: 1.60 to 10.7; p=0.003). Of patients without BM, OS was worse in patients with TE compared with those without (2-year OS 50.8% vs 71.3%; HR 2.27; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.78; p=0.002), when adjusted for age and stage.

Conclusions ICI is associated with a high incidence of TE in patients with melanoma, with higher rates with combination therapy; TE is associated with substantial worsening of survival. Further studies are needed to identify pathophysiology, biomarkers, and preventive approaches.

INTRODUCTION

The risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) is increased in patients with cancer, by at least fourfold–sevenfold over the general population. VTE significantly contributes to morbidity and a worse overall prognosis in patients with cancer. VTE rates ranging from 2% to 14% have been reported in different populations of patients with cancer, with the highest VTE risk identified in patients with pancreatic (~11%), lung (~8%), and stomach cancer (~8%). Similarly, rates of arterial thromboembolism (ATE) and mortality are increased in patients with cancer. Both VTE and ATE have been associated with worse prognosis across several malignancies. VTE rates are known to increase with the use of specific chemotherapy and targeted therapy agents. However, limited data are available regarding the incidence of VTE and ATE for patients with melanoma, with one cohort suggesting a VTE rate of 2.6%. A smaller study of melanoma patients (n=95) suggests a VTE rate of 25%, with the majority of VTE developing while on chemotherapy.

With the advent of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy in melanoma in 2011, the treatment landscape has revolutionized, with improved survival and outcomes. However, little is known about neither the incidence of TE nor the extent to which its occurrence impacts the therapeutic efficacy of ICI for melanoma patients. A recent study reports ATE rate of 4.9% (6/122) and VTE rate of 4.1% (5/122) in patients with lung, stomach, or kidney cancer receiving ICI. Three ICIs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration in melanoma, including ipilimumab (approved in 2011), nivolumab...
(2014), and pembrolizumab (2015). These agents can be given as monotherapy, or ipilimumab can be given in combination with nivolumab. Combination therapy in melanoma has been associated with higher rates of immune-related adverse events like rash, arthralgia, colitis, and endocrinopathy, when compared with monotherapy. However, the thromboembolic risk added by immunotherapy in ICI-treated melanoma patients has yet to be evaluated.

A validated risk model for VTE, also known as the Khorana Score, has been developed based on data from a large, prospective US national cohort study of patients initiating a new chemotherapy regimen for certain solid tumors and lymphoma. This risk model has been validated by independent investigators across a range of solid tumors, and has been incorporated into clinical guidelines for VTE risk assessment. However, the model’s reliability for predicting VTE in melanoma patients receiving ICI therapy has not been established.

In this study, we aim to assess the incidence of VTE and ATE in patients with melanoma receiving ICI, investigate its potential association with therapy regimens and clinical risk factors, identify the predictive benefit of a previously validated VTE risk score, and ascertain its association with survival.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of patients with melanoma receiving ICI therapy, including ipilimumab, nivolumab, or pembrolizumab from July 2015 through December 2017 at the Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute and its satellite oncology clinic locations. TE, including VTE events and ATE events, after initiation of ICI therapy was identified by manual chart review of the electronic medical record, including review of radiographic reports (CT scans of the head/the chest/the abdomen or lower extremity ultrasound) and provider documentation. VTE was defined as deep venous thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary embolism (PE), and visceral vein thrombosis. ATE was defined as myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, peripheral arterial embolism, or transient ischemic attack (TIA). Hospitalization was defined as greater than or equal to one night stay in the hospital. History of VTE and ATE was defined as VTE and ATE before ICI therapy initiation. History of coronary artery disease (CAD) was defined as angina or acute coronary syndrome before ICI therapy initiation. Anticoagulation therapy at treatment start was defined by therapeutic or prophylactic anticoagulation prescribed by a provider with one of the following agents: apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, enoxaparin, heparin, rivaroxaban, or warfarin. Khorana Score for VTE risk was calculated by addition of one point for each of the following: pre-treatment platelet count $\geq 350 \times 10^9/L$, hemoglobin level <10 g/dL or using red blood cells (RBC) growth factors, pre-treatment leukocyte count $\geq 11 \times 10^9/L$, and body mass index (BMI) $\geq 35$ kg/m². All patients were given zero points for cancer type. Patients less than 18 years of age were excluded (N=1). The study was approved by the institutional review board.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were devised to evaluate the time from ICI initiation to first TE event (VTE and ATE). The majority of VTE and ATE events were observed within 12 months of initiation of ICI therapy. Associations of ICI with 12-month VTE-free survival and 12-month ATE-free survival were evaluated. Patients who had TE after 12 months or who did not have TE but had follow-up for more than 12 months were censored at 12 months. Demographics, ICI regimen, and other clinical factors at time of ICI initiation were described descriptively using median (IQR) and frequency (percentage). Clinical prognostic factors hypothesized to impact VTE or ATE were explored initially using Kaplan-Meier method. Univariate Cox proportional hazards regression estimated associations with TE for each individual factor. Death before TE was observed in a subset of patients. Regression analysis used the method of Fine and Gray to model death as a competing event. Factors exhibiting association with VTE or ATE (p<0.10) in univariate Cox competing risk analyses were further examined with multiple Cox competing risk analyses to evaluate their conjoint, independent effects. A final model was selected using Akaike information criterion.

Patients on anticoagulation with or without prior DVT at treatment start were included in the main VTE-free survival analysis for the new event. Analysis excluding patients on anticoagulation with prior DVT at time of treatment was also performed. In addition, overall survival (OS) from start of ICI was compared between patients with and without TE using Kaplan-Meier method and Cox hazard model. Due to the interaction between TE and brain metastasis on OS, comparisons of OS were stratified by brain metastasis (the presence/absence). Finally, characteristics of TE and other outcomes were described descriptively. All analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4. Two-sided p values are presented in tables and figures, p<0.05 is considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

The study population comprised 228 patients with a median age of 65.5 years (range: 23–91 years) (table 1). Patients were predominantly white (98.2%) males (67.5%) with median follow-up time of 27.3 months (IQR: 9.3–38.9 months) from ICI therapy initiation. Most patients had stage IV disease (n=181, 81.1%), and 11% (n=25) had brain metastases at treatment initiation. Overall, 8.8% (n=20) of patients had prior history of VTE, and 12.7% (n=29) had prior history of CAD. Of 228 patients, 4.8% (n=11) were on anticoagulation at treatment initiation, including 10 patients on therapeutic anticoagulation and 1 on prophylactic anticoagulation.
Of these 11 patients on anticoagulation, 73% (n=8) received anticoagulation for history of VTE and 27% (n=3) received anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation. The majority of patients had a Khorana Score of 0 at treatment initiation (n=154, 67.5%), 22% (n=50) had a score of 1, and 8.3% (n=19) had a score of 2–3. Pembrolizumab was the most commonly used agent (n=87, 38.7%), followed by combination of ipilimumab plus nivolumab (n=67, 29.4%), ipilimumab (n=46, 20%), and nivolumab (n=28, 12.3%).

**TE events**

Fifty-one TE events occurred in 47 patients (20.6%), of which 37 (16.2%) were VTE and 14 (6.1%) were ATE (table 2). Of VTE events, DVT comprised 17 (46.0%), PE comprised 9 (24.3%), DVT with PE comprised 9 (21.6%), visceral vein thrombosis comprised 2 (5.4%), and 1 had DVT with visceral vein thrombosis (2.7%). Of patients on anticoagulation with or without history of DVT at treatment start, 5 (45.5%) developed new VTE. Of ATE events, stroke comprised 9 (57.2%), MI comprised 5 (35.7%), and 1 had TIA (7.1%). Twenty-three patients (62.2%) were hospitalized for VTE, while 11 (78.6%) were hospitalized for ATE. ICI was permanently discontinued in 9 patients (24.3%) with VTE and 4 patients (28.6%) with ATE. Treatment was delayed in 5 patients with VTE (13.5%) and 2 with ATE (14.3%), with mean treatment delay of 61 days with either event. The majority of VTE (n=29, 78.4%) and ATE (n=10, 71.4%) occurred within 12 months of ICI initiation. Of
those with VTE at 12 months, 14 (48.3%) were receiving combination of ipilimumab/nivolumab therapy and 15 (21.7%) were receiving single agent ICI. Of those with ATE at 12 months, 2 (20%) were receiving combination ICI and 8 (80%) single agent ICI. The 6-month and 1-year cumulative incidence rates of TE were 9.3% (95% CI: 6.0% to 13.6%) and 16.0% (95% CI: 11.6% to 21.2%), respectively. The 6-month and 1-year cumulative incidence rates of VTE were 8.0% (95% CI: 4.9% to 12.0%) and 12.9% (95% CI: 8.9% to 17.7%), respectively. The 6-month and 1-year cumulative incidence rates of ATE were 2.2% (95% CI: 0.84% to 4.8%) and 4.5% (95% CI: 2.3% to 7.8%), respectively (figure 1).

The 6-month and 1-year cumulative VTE incidence rates were higher in patients receiving combination ICI as compared with those receiving single agent ICI (16.7% vs 5.0% at 6 months and 21.3% vs 9.5% at 12 months, respectively; p=0.02) (figure 2). The 6-month and 1-year cumulative ATE incidence rates were similar in patients receiving combination or single agent ICI, respectively (2.5% vs 1.5% at 6 months and 5.1% vs 3.0% at 12 months; p=0.50).
Clinical risk factors for TE

In univariate analysis, combination ICI therapy, Khorana Score ≥1, and anticoagulation with or without prior history of DVT at treatment start were significantly associated with VTE (p<0.05 for all) (table 3). Age (p=0.77), gender (p=0.53), history of VTE (p=0.77), history of CAD (p=0.06), the presence of brain metastases (p=0.08), and type of immunotherapy (p=0.07) were not significantly associated with VTE. In multivariate analysis, combination immunotherapy ((HR): 2.70; 95% CI: 1.28 to 5.70; p=0.009), Khorana Score ≥1 (HR: 2.28; 95% CI: 1.10 to 4.71; p=0.03), and anticoagulation at treatment start (HR: 2.27; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.79; p=0.002), age >65 years (HR: 1.97; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.60; p<0.001) and stage IV disease (HR: 12.16; 95% CI: 2.98 to 49.64; p<0.001) were significantly associated with OS. Gender (p=0.91), history of VTE (p=0.57), history of ATE (p=0.88), history of CAD (p=0.58), anticoagulation at treatment start (p=0.29), and Khorana Score ≥1 were not significantly associated with OS (p=0.26).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>VTE no. (%)</th>
<th>Univariable**</th>
<th>Multivariable**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at treatment, years</td>
<td>≤65</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
<td>1.12 0.54 to 2.30</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>21 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of VTE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>26 (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>1.19 0.36 to 3.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation with/without prior DVT at treatment start</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>4.29 1.83 to 10.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of ATE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>27 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>1.70 0.46 to 6.28</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CAD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>22 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7 (24)</td>
<td>2.27 0.98 to 5.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage at treatment start</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>27 (15)</td>
<td>3.26 0.77 to 13.86</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>15 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
<td>2.41 1.17 to 4.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain metastases at treatment start</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>23 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>2.25 0.92 to 5.49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khorana Score at treatment start</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
<td>2.28 1.10 to 4.71</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard analysis calculated with death as competing risk. Bold type indicates p values <0.05.

ATE, arterial thromboembolism; CAD, coronary artery disease; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>VTE no. (%)</th>
<th>Univariable**</th>
<th>Multivariable**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TE and association with OS

The median OS for patients with TE was 20.0 months versus not reached for those without TE (HR: 2.33; 95% CI: 1.40 to 3.88; p<0.001). Due to the interaction of brain metastases with TE, we conducted separate analyses of the association of TE with survival in patients with and without brain metastasis. Patients with brain metastases (n=43) had poor OS, regardless of TE status (2-year OS of 37.0% in patients without TE vs 31.3% in patients with TE; p value=0.96). Among patients without brain metastases (n=185), OS was worse in patients with TE compared with those without TE (2-year OS of 50.8% vs 71.3%; HR: 2.27; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.79; p=0.002), when adjusted for age and stage in multivariate analysis (figure 3). Clinical variables associated with survival in univariate analysis included TE, age >65 years, and stage IV disease (p<0.001 for all). Clinical variables associated with survival in multivariate analysis included TE (HR: 2.27; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.79; p=0.002), age >65 years (HR: 1.97; 95% CI: 1.36 to 3.60; p<0.001) and stage IV disease (HR: 12.16; 95% CI: 2.98 to 49.64; p<0.001) (table 4). Gender (p=0.91), history of VTE (p=0.57), history of ATE (p=0.68), history of CAD (p=0.58), anticoagulation at treatment start (p=0.29), and Khorana Score ≥1 were not significantly associated with OS (p=0.26).
DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, we report the first clinical characterization of the incidence of TE and its association with survival and other outcomes in patients with melanoma receiving ICI. We found a substantial incidence of VTE and ATE after initiation of ICI in patients with melanoma. Additionally, we found that TE contributes to increased hospitalizations, treatment delays or discontinuation, and adversely impacts OS.

In this study, we report higher rates of TE in patients with melanoma during the era of ICI therapy, when compared with conventional chemotherapy (6-month cumulative incidence rate of 9.3% vs 2.6%–4.6%). However, limited data are available for patients with melanoma in the era of chemotherapy when compared with other cancer types, most likely due to decreased OS (~9 months). Rates of TE in our study are comparable to rates reported in other cancer types receiving ICI, which range from 2.6% to 5.2%. Limited data are available for ATE. A large pharmacovigilance study demonstrated increased association of reporting cardiovascular events, like myocarditis, pericardial disease, and vasculitis with ICI, when compared with any drugs. A case report and a case series demonstrated arterial thrombi in iliac arteries, subclavian artery, and peripheral arteries in patients with melanoma treated with ICI. A meta-analysis of 22 clinical trials to evaluate immune-related adverse events in non-small cell lung cancer revealed ATE incidence rate of 4% (including cardiac arrest, MI, and stroke), which is similar to our study. Additionally, a pooled analysis of cardiovascular adverse events in ICI trials demonstrated an incidence rate of 8.93% for vascular/thromboembolic/bleeding events, 0.30% sudden cardiac death, and 0.58% ischemic events. Incidence rates were higher in patients receiving combination ICI: 11.6% versus 8.69% vascular/thromboembolic/bleeding, 0.46% versus 0.28% sudden cardiac death, and 1.3% versus 0.51% ischemic events. These findings are consistent with our study, as higher rates of VTE were observed with combination ICI compared with ICI monotherapy. While not statistically significant, we observed numerically higher rates of ATE with combination therapy compared with ICI monotherapy (5.1% vs 3% at 12 months).

The mechanism for cancer-associated thrombosis in the setting of ICI use is not entirely understood. Several studies have demonstrated that cancer cells are capable of directly activating the coagulation cascade by increasing expression of tissue factor and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, and release of microparticles, among activation of other coagulation factors. Additionally, cancer cells indirectly activate the coagulation cascade by synthesizing and secreting inflammatory cytokines, and also stimulate host-cell production of inflammatory cytokines like tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha.

Table 4 Multivariate analysis for associations between clinical factors and OS in patients without brain metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>N=185</th>
<th>VTE no. (%)</th>
<th>2-year OS (95% CI)</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE after ICI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>53 (34)</td>
<td>71.3 (64.0 to 78.5)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.36 to 3.79</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21 (68)</td>
<td>50.8 (33.0 to 68.6)</td>
<td>1.36 to 3.79</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at treatment start, years</td>
<td>≤65</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>25 (28)</td>
<td>75.8 (66.8 to 84.9)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.36 to 3.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>49 (51)</td>
<td>60.2 (50.2 to 70.2)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.36 to 3.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage at treatment start</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0 to 100.0)</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>2.98 to 49.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>72 (51)</td>
<td>58.3 (50.1 to 66.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; OS, overall survival; TE, thromboembolism; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
and interleukin-1β.32 33 This already pro-inflammatory state may be further exacerbated with programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) and/or cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) inhibition. Production of inflammatory cytokines subsequently suppresses the antithrombotic response of endothelial cells and promotes procoagulant activity.34 Recent studies have suggested that aberrant activation of the innate immune response is associated with thrombosis and atherothrombosis.35

Additionally, several studies have investigated the role of PD-1 and its impact on atherogenesis. PD-1 has a critical role in downregulating proatherogenic T cell responses, and blockade of PD-1 has been shown in murine models to accelerate atherogenesis with findings of increased infiltration of macrophages, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in aortic plaques.36 37 These preclinical models suggest that PD-1 inhibitors can increase the risk of cardiovascular complications, like ATE.

Our findings also suggest worse clot-related morbidity and mortality in patients with TE, which is consistent with prior studies in patients with cancer. A significant proportion of patients (72%) were hospitalized for TE, and 19% resulted in clot-related mortality. Of patients without brain metastases, those with TE had twofold worse survival than patients without TE. A potential explanation for the observed worsened survival in patients on ICI therapy who developed ATE may be due to reprogramming of the innate immune system to accelerate cancer growth. A recent preclinical study in breast cancer demonstrated that MI causes reprogramming of monocytes in the bone marrow to an immunosuppressive phenotype that was maintained in circulation and recruited to the tumor, resulting in accelerated breast cancer growth.38

Similarly, another study has demonstrated that activation of coagulation by tissue factor-thrombin–protease-activated receptor-1 signaling in pancreatic cancer alters the immune regulation pathway by suppressing CD8+ T cells in the tumor microenvironment, and potentially evading the immune system.39 Analysis of multiple cancer cell types, including melanoma, has demonstrated that increased activity of tissue factor, thrombin, or prothrombin increases metastatic disease burden.40 Cancer-associated thrombosis can suppress the immune system’s response to cancer and subsequently lead to a worse prognosis. These findings have implications for the use of ICI therapy in melanoma and other malignancies.

Our study has several limitations. First, our study cohort comprised retrospective data derived from a single health system. However, the sample size and detailed patient follow-up enabled by the use of a single electronic medical record system coupled with manual chart review allowed us to capture VTE and ATE events. Another limitation is that other risk factors for thrombosis like smoking and hypertension were not collected. Smoking is more commonly associated with other cancers, like lung and genitourinary cancers, as compared with melanoma, and accuracy in the electronic medical record for these risk factors is limited.41–44 Additionally, the variable of anticoagulation in patients with or without prior DVT at treatment start represents anticoagulants that were prescribed by providers to patients at the time of therapy initiation. However, it remains unclear if patients were taking the medication during ICI therapy, as this was not documented in provider notes. Anticoagulation at treatment initiation may also be an indicator of underlying comorbidities that were not adequately captured in this cohort study.

These results have significant implications for patient care. Recent prospective clinical trials demonstrate that VTE in high-risk patients with cancer (defined as Khorana Score ≥2) treated with conventional chemotherapy can be prevented with prophylactic anticoagulation and reducing rate of VTE by up to 6%.45 46 Thromboprophylaxis with apixaban, rivaroxaban, or low molecular weight heparin is indicated for high-risk outpatients with cancer prior to starting a new systemic chemotherapy regimen.47 If our results are confirmed by others, thromboprophylaxis could be considered in our patient population receiving ICI, although notably anticoagulation did not appear to be protective in our cohort of patients with a prior history of VTE. Additionally, the safety and efficacy of anticoagulation in patients with melanoma treated with ICI, some of whom have vascular brain metastases, and can develop immune-mediated colitis, is unknown, and additional prospective studies are needed. A recent retrospective study evaluating melanoma patients with brain metastases and VTE (n=74) demonstrated that of 57 patients (77%) who received anticoagulation, 2 (4%) developed intracranial hemorrhage, which was not statistically different from those who did not receive anticoagulation.47 Additionally, OS in patients with VTE who received anticoagulation was longer (median OS: 4.2 months vs 1.2 months, p=0.06).47 These data suggest potential safety and improved OS in melanoma patients receiving therapeutic anticoagulation for VTE. For now, clinicians and patients should be aware of the high risk of VTE and ATE, and the implications for prognosis once such events have occurred.
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