Single-cell sequencing reveals antitumor characteristics of intratumoral immune cells in old mice

Cangang Zhang,^{1,2} Lei Lei,^{1,2,3} Xiaofeng Yang,^{1,2,3} Kaili Ma,^{4,5} Huiqiang Zheng,^{1,2} Yanhong Su,^{1,2} Anjun Jiao,^{1,2} Xin Wang,^{1,2} Haiyan Liu,^{1,2} Yujing Zou,⁶ Lin Shi,^{1,2} Xiaobo Zhou,^{1,2} Chenming Sun,^{1,2} Yuzhu Hou ,^{1,2} Zhengtao Xiao,^{1,2} Lianjun Zhang,^{4,5,7} Baojun Zhang ^{1,2,3,8}

To cite: Zhang C, Lei L, Yang X, *et al*. Single-cell sequencing reveals antitumor characteristics of intratumoral immune cells in old mice. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2021;9:e002809. doi:10.1136/jitc-2021-002809

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-002809>).

CZ, LL and XY contributed equally.

Accepted 26 August 2021

ABSTRACT

Background Aging has long been thought to be a major risk factor for various types of cancers. However, accumulating evidence indicates increased resistance of old animals to tumor growth. An in-depth understanding of how old individuals defend against tumor invasion requires further investigations.

Methods We revealed age-associated alterations in tumor-infiltrating immune cells between young and old mice using single-cell RNA and coupled T cell receptor (TCR) sequencing analysis. Multiple bioinformatics methods were adopted to analyze the characteristics of the transcriptome between two groups. To explore the impacts of young and old CD8⁺ T cells on tumor growth, mice were treated with anti-CD8 antibody every 3 days starting 7 days after tumor inoculation. Flow cytometry was used to validate the differences indicated by sequencing analysis between young and old mice.

Results We found a higher proportion of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells, naturally occurring Tregs, conventional dendritic cell (DC), and M1-like macrophages in tumors of old mice compared with a higher percentage of exhausted CD8⁺ T cells, induced Tregs, plasmacytoid DC, and M2-like macrophages in young mice. Importantly, TCR diversity analysis showed that top 10 TCR clones consisted primarily of exhausted CD8⁺ T cells in young mice whereas top clones were predominantly cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells in old mice. Old mice had more CD8⁺ T cells with a 'progenitor' and less 'terminally' exhausted phenotypes than young mice. Consistently, trajectory inference demonstrated that CD8⁺ T cells preferentially differentiated into cytotoxic cells in old mice in contrast to exhausted cells in young mice. Importantly, elimination of CD8⁺ T cells in old mice during tumor growth significantly accelerated tumor development. Moreover, senescent features were demonstrated in exhausted but not cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells regardless of young and old mice.

Conclusions Our data revealed that a significantly higher proportion of effector immune cells in old mice defends against tumor progression, providing insights into understanding the altered kinetics of cancer development and the differential response to immunotherapeutic modulation in elderly patients.

INTRODUCTION

Aging leads to the inevitable time-dependent decline in organ function and is a major risk factor for cancer. Relevant mechanisms of higher cancer incidence in aging individuals include genomic instability, epigenetic changes, loss of proteostasis, and declining immune surveillance.¹ Individuals with an aging immune system are more susceptible to infection, and experience reduced vaccine effectiveness, higher incidence of cancer and autoimmune diseases.^{2–3} More than 50% of cancers and approximately 70% of cancer-related deaths occur in patients older than 65.⁴ In line with this hypothesis, Ladomersky *et al*, showed an increased tumor progression and immunosuppression in old animals bearing glioblastoma regardless of treatment with immune checkpoint blockade.⁵ In addition, Sekido *et al*, and Ishikawa *et al*, showed that carcinoma grows faster in old mice than in young mice.^{6,7} Interestingly, many clinical and preclinical studies indicated that tumors in young patients or animals grow more aggressively than older subjects.^{8–10} Furthermore, adoptive transfer of bone marrow or spleen cells from old mice has been shown to reduce the aggressiveness of tumor growth in young mice, which is paradoxical to the concept that cancer is defined as a disease of aging.⁸

Over the past decade, immunotherapies that modulate the immune microenvironment have greatly changed the treatment approaches of cancer.¹¹ The most common treatments that have proven clinical efficacy across a broad range of cancers are immune checkpoint inhibitors against programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), programmed cell death ligand 1, and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA4), which target T cells to restore their antitumor capacity.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Baojun Zhang;
bj.zhang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Professor Lianjun Zhang;
zlj@ism.cams.cn

Immune checkpoint inhibitors significantly improve overall survival in both young and elder patients, but the magnitude of benefit is age variable.¹ Several clinical studies showed that elderly patients could benefit more from immunotherapies than young patients,^{12–13} while a similar phenomenon is observed in mouse melanoma model.^{14–15} Therefore, it is of great significance to characterize the immune system of elderly cancer patients and to further explore the possible strategies that improve immunotherapy for the elderly.

The immune system plays a vital role in recognizing tumor cells and inhibiting the growth of malignant tissues.¹⁶ Several studies attempted to reveal the mechanisms of delayed tumor progression in the elderly from the perspective of antitumor immunity. It has been shown that an accumulation of 4-1BBL⁺ B cells in the elderly generated granzymeB⁺ CD8⁺ T cells to control tumor growth.¹⁷ Furthermore, old CD8⁺ T cells demonstrate increased adhesion and thus infiltrated more easily into tumors through high expression of integrin α 4.¹⁵ However, tumor-infiltrating immune cells form a complex three-dimensional contexture and the cross-talk of different types of immune cells plays a critical role in tumor immune surveillance.^{18–19} It remains challenging to comprehensively characterize the immune profiles to fully understand the mechanisms of delayed tumor development and the beneficial outcomes of certain immunotherapies in the elderly. As a revolutionary technology, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis allows us to characterize cell types at the single-cell level and accurately define their functional states in the complex tumor microenvironment (TME).²⁰ Accumulating studies using scRNA-seq to explore tumor-infiltrating immune cells have been continuously reported.^{21–25} Besides, simultaneous analysis of T cell receptor (TCR) sequences with scRNA-seq provides a powerful tool to define the clonotypic T cell signatures and dominant clones recognizing tumor antigens.²⁶

In this study, we used scRNA-seq to decipher the transcriptomic landscape of immune cells in B16 melanoma between young and old mice, and uncovered the key differences in the immune cell composition and their functional features. We showed that intratumoral immune cells consisted of more effector subsets including cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells, conventional dendritic cell (cDC), and M1-like macrophages in old mice, while immunosuppressive/dysfunctional subsets including exhausted CD8⁺ T cells, induced Tregs (iTregs), plasmacytoid DC (pDC), and M2-like macrophages are predominantly found in young mice. Importantly, TCR diversity analysis demonstrated that a significant proportion of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells might be directly differentiated from effector memory like CD8⁺ T cells (CD8⁺ EM_{like} T) in the TME of old mice. Of note, our data showed that tumor-infiltrating effector T cells in old mice did not exhibit the senescent phenotype as previously reported. Altogether, our observations provide important insights into understanding the altered kinetics of cancer development and

the differential response to immunotherapeutic modulation in elderly patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and reagents

Female C57BL/6 mice aged 6–8 weeks and 17–22 months were used in this study. All mice were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Xi'an Jiaotong University and were housed in the specific pathogen-free animal facility. All animal procedures were performed with the approval of the Animal Care Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University and conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health.

The antibodies and intracellular staining kit used were as follows: APC/Cy7 anti-mouse CD4 (clone GK1.5), PE/Cy5 anti-mouse CD4 (clone GK1.5), APC/Cy7 anti-mouse CD8a (clone 53–6.7), Pacific BlueTM anti-mouse CD8a (clone 53–6.7), PE/Cy7 anti-mouse/human CD44 (clone IM7), Pacific Blue anti-mouse Ly108 (clone 330-AJ), PE/Cy7 anti-mouse CD69 (clone H1.2F3), PE/Cy5 anti-mouse CD25 (clone PC61), PE/Cy5 anti-mouse CD127(IL-7R α) (clone A7R34), FITC anti-mouse CD11c (clone N418), FITC anti-mouse/human CD11b (clone M1/70), PE/Cy7 anti-mouse I-A/I-E (clone M5/114.15.2), APC/Cy7 anti-mouse CD19 (clone 6D5), Pacific BlueTM anti-mouse/human CD45R/B220 (clone RA3-6B2), PE/Cy5 anti-mouse CD45R/B220 (clone RA3-6B2), FITC anti-mouse CD45.2 (clone 104), PE anti-mouse CD45.2 (clone 104), PE/Cy7 anti-mouse IL-10 (clone JES5-16E3), Pacific BlueTM anti-mouse FOXP3 (clone MF-14), APC/Cy7 anti-mouse IFN γ (clone XMG1.2), APC anti-mouse LAP (TGF- β 1) (clone TW7-16B4), APC anti-mouse CD223(LAG-3) (clone C9B7W), PE anti-mouse CD279 (clone 29F.1A12), PE/Cy7 anti-mouse TIM3 (clone B8.2C12), and Fixation Buffer (Cat # 420801) and Intracellular Staining Perm Wash Buffer (Cat # 421002). All above-mentioned reagents were purchased from BioLegend (San Diego, California, USA). FITC anti-Mo Granzyme B (clone NGZB), and Transcription Factor Fixation/Permeabilization Concentrate and Diluent were purchased from eBioscience.

Tumor model and preparation of cell suspensions

Young and old mice were injected subcutaneously with 2×10^5 B16F10 cells per mouse. The tumors were visible 5 days after engraftment and then the volumes were measured every 2 days. Tumor volumes were determined by caliper measurement using the formula $V = \text{length} \times \text{width}^2 / 2$. Finally, tumor tissues were excised and weighed at indicated time point (17 days after engraftment). Next, freshly isolated tumor tissues were minced into approximately 1 mm³ cubic pieces and digested using 0.1% collagenase IV (LS004186, Worthington), 0.002% DNase I (D8071, Solarbio), and 0.01% hyaluronidase (H3506-1G, SIGMA), then incubated on a rocker at 37°C for 40–50 min (according to tumor size). The digested cells were filtered through a 70 μ m cell strainer and washed

twice with cold PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) added 2% FBS (Fetal bovine serum) buffer. The remaining cells were stained with APC-CD45.2 (Clone 104; BioLegend) and 7AAD (Part 76332; Lot B226294 Biolegend) for 30 min at 4°C, then washed and suspended in PBS (2% FBS) buffer for flow cytometric sorting using FACS Aria II Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences). Sorted CD45.2⁺7AAD⁻ cells with a purity greater than 95% and viability higher than 90% were used for 10X genomics scRNA-seq.

ScRNA-seq and VDJ sequencing

The single-cell library preparation was constructed using 10X Chromium Single Cell V(D)J V2 Reagent Kits according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, single-cell suspensions with a concentration of 1000 cells/μL were loaded on the 10X genomics chromium controller single-cell instrument. Reverse transcription reagents, barcoded gel beads, and partitioning oil were mixed with the cells for generating single-cell gel beads in emulsions (GEM). After reverse transcription reaction, the GEMs were broken. The barcoded, full-length cDNA was amplified and purified to build V(D)J enriched TCR library and 5' gene expression library. The mouse T Cell V (D) J Enrichment Kit was used to isolate and enrich for the V (D) J sequence. Finally, the constructed libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with NovaSeq 6000 S4 Reagent Kit (300 cycles).

ScRNA-seq data processing

Cell Ranger (V3.0.2, <https://support.10xgenomics.com/>) was used to process scRNA-seq data and generate the matrix data containing gene counts for each cell per sample. Briefly, raw base call files from Illumina sequencers were first demultiplexed into FASTQ files with the cellranger mkfastq pipeline. Then, the splicing-aware aligner STAR was used to align FASTQs files to the mouse reference genome (mm10). The aligned reads were further counted using the cellranger count pipeline. Finally, the gene expression matrixes of all samples were imported into Seurat V3²⁷ and merged for subsequent analyses. The following filtering steps were carried out to exclude low-quality cells: cells with fewer than 200 and more than 3000 detected genes were discarded; cells with a high fraction of mitochondrial genes (>10%) were removed. As a result, a total of 4606 cells (young mice) and 5375 cells (old mice) with 973 informative genes were included in the analyses.

Clustering of single cells and cell-type annotation

The gene expression data were log-normalized and scaled with default parameters. The top 3000 most variable genes identified by Seurat function 'FindVariableFeatures' were used for the principal component analysis (PCA). The data were scaled using 'ScaleData' and the first 50 principal components (PCs) selected based on the Elbow-Plot were used for clustering analyses. Cell clusters were identified using 'FindNeighbors' and 'FindClusters' functions implemented in Seurat with default parameters and

resolution parameter as 1.2. The t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) and uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) were used to visualize the clustering results with default parameters. Myeloid cells and lymphocytes were further separated into different subtypes based on the same procedures. The singleR package (V1.4.0)²⁸ and 'MouseRNAData' and 'ImmGenData' reference databases were used to annotate the cell type of large cell populations. The cell types of clusters and subclusters were further confirmed and annotated by comparing the specifically expressed genes identified by the Seurat 'FindAllMarkers' function with the known cell markers reported in the literature.

Single-cell trajectory analysis and definition of cell states

The activated CD8⁺ T cell, cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cell and exhausted CD8⁺ T cell were selected for single-cell trajectory analysis, which were used to probe the activation status of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cell. In addition, the memory-like CD8⁺ T cell, cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cell and exhausted CD8⁺ T cell were selected for single-cell trajectory analysis, which defined the differentiation status of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells after tumor antigen stimulation. Using Monocle (V2.0),²⁹ cells were ordered according to their inferred pseudotime by following the steps described on Monocle documentation (<http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle-release/docs/>). Only the top 100 differentially expressed genes identified by Seurat were used for dimensionality reduction and trajectory reconstruction. The reduce dimension function with DDRTree as the reduction method was applied to the top PCs and projected the cells onto two dimensions. After the dimension was reduced, the 'orderCells' function was used to order cells and the plot_cell_trajectory function was used to visualize the trajectory in two-dimensional spaces.

TCR sequencing data analysis

The clonotypes of single cells were defined using the Cell Ranger pipeline and default settings. TCR reads were aligned to mm10 reference genome. The consensus TCR annotation was performed using Cell Ranger VDR. Only in-frame rearrangement of TCR alpha and beta chains were considered to be productive and were used to define the dominant TCR of a single cell. Each unique dominant alpha-beta pair was defined as a clonotype. Two cells with identical alpha and beta sequences were assigned to the same clonotype. Cells harboring the clonotype that also presents in other cells were considered as clonal populations, and the number of such cells with the dominant alpha-beta pair indicated the degree of clonality of the clonotype. A total of 699 cells (young mice) and 356 cells (old mice) with such TCR alpha-beta pairs were identified.

GO, KEGG, GSEA and gene set variation analysis analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses were

performed by WebGestalt (<http://www.webgestalt.org>), using genes specifically expressed in memory-like CD8⁺ T cells as the input gene list. Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) was used to estimate the enrichment scores of gene sets using the gene count data of each cell and was performed using R package 'GSVA' (V.1.37).³⁰ The differential pathways (log2 fold change >0.32 and adjusted pvalue <0.05) identified by limma package (V.3.44) were plotted in the bubble chart. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) analysis was performed for each cell subpopulation using the scaled gene expression matrix and GSEA package (V.4.1) available at <https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/downloads.jsp> with default parameters. The gene sets for both GSVA and GSEA are provided by R package msigdb.

In vivo antibody depletion assay

To deplete CD8⁺ T cells, young or old mice were treated with either anti-CD8 antibody (2.43 (BE0061, Bio X Cell) or isotype controls (rat IgG2b (BE0090, Bio X Cell)). Antibodies were injected intraperitoneally every 3 days starting 7 days after tumor cell inoculation. The depletion efficiency of was assessed using flow cytometry of blood samples collected on day 10 after tumor inoculation.

Flow Cytometry analysis

Single immune cells were obtained from draining lymph nodes and tumor tissues of indicated mice. For cell surface analysis, a total of $1\text{--}5 \times 10^6$ cells were stained with Abs in the dark at 4°C for 30 min. After washing with cold resuspension solution buffer (1×PBS supplemented with 2% FBS), cells were analyzed using CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). CytExpert software (V.2.4) was used for data analysis. To detect the expression of intracellular transcriptional factors, cells were fixed and permeabilized following 30 min surface staining according to the manual of Transcription Factor Staining kit (eBioscience), followed by anti-Foxp3 antibody staining and Flow Cytometry (FACS) analysis. For cytokine analysis, cell samples were stimulated in vitro with Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate/Ionomycin in the presence of Brefeldin A (BioLegend) and Monensin (BioLegend) for 4 hours. Cells were washed and stained with surface marker antibodies, fixed and permeabilized using Fixation/Permeabilization buffer (BioLegend), and stained with intracellular antibodies.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (V8) and R (V3.6). Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism and R ggplot2 package. Statistical analysis was applied to biologically independent mice or technical replicates for each experiment. The two-tailed Student's t-test was used for all statistical calculations using GraphPad Prism V8 software. All error bars were reported as mean±SEM with n=3 or n=5 independent biological replicates. The level of significance was indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

RESULTS

Changes in immune cell composition of the TME during aging

To assess the effects of young and old host immune environment on tumor growth, we established syngeneic tumor models by subcutaneously implanting B16F10 melanoma cells into the right flanks of young (8–10 weeks, n=6) and old (20–22 months, n=6) C57BL/6J mice. Consistent with published results,^{8 15 31} old mice underwent significantly delayed tumor growth compared with young mice (figure 1A), indicating that host-intrinsic factors indeed influenced tumor growth. To reveal the tumor-infiltrating immune cell composition, we carried out single-cell transcriptomes of CD45⁺ immune cells paired with TCR sequences of T cells in tumors isolated from young and old mice (figure 1B). After quality control and filtering, we obtained single-cell transcriptomes from 9981 cells (4606 for young mice and 5375 for old mice) with a median of 973 genes (Methods). The scRNA data was normalized together and no batch effects were detected by PCA analysis (online supplemental figure S1A). The clustering result was neither predominately contributed by cell cycle phases (online supplemental figure S1B) nor by the sample group (online supplemental figure S1C). Clustering analysis revealed 25 cell populations in the tumors of young and old mice. All clusters, except for cluster 25 that expressed a high level of *Ptprc*, were identified as immune cells and used for subsequent analyses (data not shown). Analysis of the top 20 differentially expressed genes across these clusters revealed 10 cell types with unique transcriptional features (figure 1C, online supplemental data 1), including macrophages (*Adgre1*, *Cd14*, and *Fcgr3*), cDCs (*Xcr1*, *Flt3*, and *Ccr7*), pDCs (*Siglech*, *Clec10a*, and *Clec12a*), monocytes (*Ly6c2* and *Spn*), neutrophils (*Csf3r*, *S100a8*, and *Cxcl3*), natural killer cells (*Gzma*, *Klra4*, and *Nkg7*), CD3⁺CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells (*Cd3d*, *Cd3e*, and *Cd3g*), CD8⁺CD4⁺ T cells (*Cd3d*, *Cd3e*, and *Cd8*), CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells (*Cd3g*, *Cd4*, and *Ctla4*), and B cells (*Cd79a*, *Cd79b*, and *Cd19*) (online supplemental S1D). We visualized these cell types in two-dimensional spaces using tSNE and confirmed their cell identities by examining the expression of classic marker genes curated from the literature (online supplemental S1E).^{32–34} The distribution of cell types captured by scRNA was comparable between young and old mice (figure 1D). However, the proportions of CD3⁺CD4⁺CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, B lymphocytes, pDC, and neutrophils were dramatically reduced, whereas the proportions of macrophages, cDCs, and CD4⁺CD8⁺ T lymphocytes were increased in old mice compared with young mice (figure 1E), highlighting the significant differences of tumor-infiltrating immune cells during aging.

Percentage comparison within tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells between young and old mice

Since myeloid cells were the most abundant cell types in our dataset, we first investigated the heterogeneity of myeloid cells in tumors of young and old mice through finer clustering. Although the size of total myeloid

Figure 1 Changes in immune cell composition of the TME during aging. (A) Tumor growth of B16 melanoma in young (n=6) and old (n=6) mouse models. Mice with the tumor size of length $\times$ width²/2 (mm²) were monitored. (B) Schematic diagram of the experimental design, scRNA-seq, data analysis, and validation. (C) Heatmap showing the relative expression (expression of normalized log2 (count + 1)) of marker genes across different immune cell types. (D) t-SNE projections of immune cells in tumors of young (upper) and old (below) mice. (E) The proportions of various immune cell types in tumors of young and old mice. cDC, conventional dendritic cells; NK, natural killing cells; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cells; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing; TCR, T cell receptor; TME, tumor microenvironment; t-SNE, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding.

population was similar between young and old mice, old mice showed significantly lower percentage of neutrophils but higher percentage of DCs in comparison to that of young mice, and the proportions of tumor-infiltrating monocytes and macrophages showed a slight increase (figure 2A). To assess whether neutrophils harbored

potential functional differences between young and old mice, we compared gene expression profiles across these two groups (online supplemental S2A). Most genes displayed comparable expression levels between young and old mice, suggesting that aging may not affect the functional activity of neutrophils such as infiltration and

Figure 2 Myeloid cell composition of the TME in young and old mice. (A) Pie charts showing the proportions of four major myeloid cell types in tumors of young and old mice. (B) Heatmap showing the relative expression (expression of normalized log2 (count +1)) of top differentially expressed genes across different myeloid cell clusters. (C) UMAP projections of myeloid cell subpopulations in tumors of young (upper) and old (below) mice. (D) The proportions of various myeloid cell clusters in young and old mice. DC, dendritic cell; TME, tumor microenvironment; UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection.

cytokine production. Other myeloid cells, including macrophages, DCs, and monocytes, which consisted of diverse subtypes,^{35 36} were then partitioned into 5, 4, and 2 subsets, respectively (figure 2B, online supplemental S2B-C). We confirmed their identities by examining the expression of classic markers (online supplemental figure S2D-E, data 2).^{33 37} The subsets that belong to the same cell type were clearly clustered together. Each subset was characterized by a specific gene expression pattern (figure 2C) and was labeled using their cluster index. The subtypes of macrophages and DCs showed striking differences in their proportions (figure 2D). We, thus, performed elaborate analyses on their subgroups.

Macrophage subsets in tumors of young and old mice

Macrophages are highly plastic cells with distinct functional features in the TME.^{35 38} To further understand the potential functions of diverse macrophage subsets in the TME of old mice, we first evaluated the expression of classical M1-like and M2-like macrophage lineage markers in tumor-infiltrating macrophages (figure 3A). Most M1-like macrophage markers (eg, inflammatory genes), including *Cd86*, *Cxcl10*, *Ccl9*, and *Ly6a*, were highly expressed in MC1, whereas the M2-like macrophage markers, ie, *Arg1* and *Mrc1* were highly expressed in M2 and M4. All subsets showed considerable differences between these two groups (figure 3B). The most striking observation was the

Figure 3 Macrophage cell subtypes and their heterogeneity in tumors of young and old mice. (A) Violin plots comparing the relative expression of marker genes across various macrophage subsets. (B) Comparison of the proportions of five macrophage subtypes in young and old mice. (C) Heatmap showing expression profiles of chemokines in different macrophage subsets. (D) Bubble plots showing the scores (represented by the color gradient) of different gene sets and proportions (represented by the size of bubble) of each macrophage cluster in young and old mice. The gene set score is calculated by averaging the z-scores of gene expression values of all genes in this gene set. The gene expression in A, C is represented as expression of normalized log2 (count + 1). MC1–MC5: five clusters of macrophages.

accumulation of MC1 in tumors of old mice in contrast to highly enriched MC2 and MC3 in tumors of young mice.

Chemokines greatly impact activation, chemotaxis, and polarization of macrophages.^{39–40} We found that each macrophage subset displayed a distinct chemokine expression profile (figure 3C). MC1 expressed higher level of *Cxcl10* which may allow cells to attract both DCs and T lymphocytes via chemotaxis.^{41–42} MC2 highly expressed *Ccl4*, *Ccl6*, and *Cxcl13*; MC3 highly expressed *Ccr2*; MC4 highly expressed *Ccl8*, *Ccl12*, and *Cxcl16* which was associated with tumor progression⁴³; MC5 highly expressed *Ccl3*, *Cxcr4*, and integrin *Itga4* (also known as *Cd49d*), which are specifically expressed in the monocyte-derived Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs).⁴⁴ Moreover, we found that a large proportion of MC1 displayed the highest inflammatory, macropinocytotic and phagocytotic activities among all macrophage subtypes in old mice (figure 3D, online supplemental data 3). Several genes including *Maf*, *Il4ra*, *Ly6c2*, and *C1qa* were strikingly different in MC2 of young mice compared with old mice (online supplemental figure S2B). Both MC2 and MC4 in old mice expressed higher Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA) (online supplemental S2B,C), which suggests that decreased angiogenesis in tumors of old mice was likely not due to decreased VEGFA production. Overall, we demonstrated that macrophages in old mice acquired increased proinflammatory states.

DC subtypes in tumors of young and old mice

DCs are also heterogeneous and display various functional states in the TME.⁴⁵ Four DC subsets were identified based on known biomarkers and genes critical for DC function (figure 4A). DC1 was identified as *Itgax⁺Itgam⁺Cd14⁺* Monocyte-derived DC (MoDC); DC2 was identified as *Flt3⁺Zbtb46⁺Flt3⁺Ncoa7⁺* cDC2; DC3 was defined as pDC with *Siglech⁺Irf8⁺Clec12a⁺*; DC4, which shared several common biomarkers with DC2 but specifically expressed *Clec9a*, *Btla*, *Xcr1*, and *Itgae*, was identified as cDC1. cDC2 and MoDC were highly enriched in tumors of old mice, while the pDC was highly enriched in tumors of young mice (figure 4B). We then determined the differential expression of genes relevant to cytokine production and chemotaxis, as well as those critical for antigen processing and presentation, and immune regulators, which allow DCs to regulate immune responses (figure 4C, online supplemental figure S4A,B). We showed that chemokine receptor *Ccr7*, a member of the G protein-coupled receptor family responsible for the recruitment of lymphocytes and mature DCs to lymphoid tissues, was highly enriched in MoDC. Both cDC1 and cDC2 highly expressed costimulatory genes *Cd80*, *Cd86*, *Cd83* and/or *Ly6a*, indicating their potential roles in activating immune response. pDC highly expressed *Cd37*, *Lag3*, *Sla2*, and *Lair1*, suggesting the inhibitory roles in downregulating local immune response (figure 4C). Flow

Figure 4 Dendritic cell (DC) and their heterogeneity in tumors of young and old mice. (A) Violin plots comparing the expression levels of representative marker genes among different DC subtypes. (B) Pie charts showing the proportions of four DC subtypes in tumors of young and old mice. (C) Violin plots comparing the gene expression among different DC subtypes. (D, E) Percentages of pDC (D) and cDC (E) in the tumor tissues of young and old groups (n=5). (F, G) Percentages of Lag3⁺ DC in the dLN (F) and tumor tissues (G) of young and old groups (n=3). (H) Bubble plots showing the scores (represented by the color gradient) of different gene sets and proportions (represented by the size of bubble) of each DC cluster in young and old mice. The gene set score was calculated by averaging the z-scores of gene expression values of all genes in this gene set. (I) Violin plots showing gene expression in MoDC in tumors of young and old mice. The gene expression in A, C and G is represented as expression of normalized log2 (count + 1). cDC, conventional DC; MoDC, monocyte-derived DC; pDC, plasmacytoid DC. The level of significance is indicated as *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

cytometry analysis using previously defined DC markers (online supplemental S4C) confirmed that old mice had lower percentages of pDC (CD11C⁺MHCII⁺B220⁺) (figure 4D), while higher percentages of cDC (CD11C⁺MHCII⁺B220⁻) in tumors (figure 4E). Next, we confirmed the pDC proportions by verifying the expression of *Lag3*

both in draining lymph nodes (dLNs) (figure 4F) and tumor tissues (figure 4G) of young and old mice. In addition, we found that *H2* genes were highly expressed in cDC1 and MoDC, indicating enhanced antigen presentation capacity (online supplemental S4D). To further explore the differences in subset proportions and

potential functions between young and old groups, we performed gene GO enrichment analysis on gene sets related to DCs (figure 4H, online supplemental data 3). While pDCs in young mice produced larger quantities of cytokines, cDC1 and MoDC in old mice also tended to express high levels of cytokine-related genes. cDC2 in old mice expressed a batch of genes enriched in processes critical for DC differentiation, chemotaxis, positive regulation of antigen processing and presentation, and showed downregulation in genes associated with apoptotic process. MoDC in old mice presented relatively higher expression of genes related to cytokine production, antigen presentation, and survival compared with that in young mice. For instance, both *Fcgr1* and *Tcf4* genes, which are associated with immunoglobulin production, were highly expressed in MoDC of old mice. In contrast, *Cd37*, which is associated with negative regulation of myeloid DC activation,⁴⁶ was preferentially expressed in MoDC of young mice (figure 4I). Overall, these findings demonstrated that in old mice, cDC and MoDC are the predominant populations correlating with antitumor responses.

Characterizations of T cell subtypes in tumors of young and old mice

T cells are the main effector cells of adaptive immunity mediating antitumor response and their function is coupled to the differentiation status.⁴⁷ We extracted 2934 T cells (1445 in young mice and 1489 in old mice) and performed unbiased clustering using highly variable genes to reveal 9 clusters of T cell populations. The clusters were visualized using UMAP (figure 5A). Each subpopulation was annotated based on the expression levels of the classical T cell markers (figure 5B, S5A) and regulators related to cell differentiation and function (figure 5C, online supplemental figure S5B). The universal T cell markers, for example, *Cd3e*, *Cd3d*, were highly expressed in all subpopulations. Four clusters highly expressing *Cd8a* gene were assigned as CD8⁺ T cells, including activated_CD8⁺ T (*Cd69⁺Cd28⁺*), exhausted_CD8⁺ T (*Gzmb⁺Prf1⁺Pdcd1⁺Lag3⁺Tigit⁺Havcr2⁺*), cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T (*Gzmk⁺Gzmb⁺Pdcd1⁺*), and *Clec4e*_CD8⁺ T (*Clec4e⁺*); two clusters were assigned as effector memory like (EM_like) with high expression of genes such as *Cd44*, *Cd69*, including CD8⁺ EM_like T with expression of *Gzmk* and

Figure 5 T cell subtypes and their heterogeneity in tumors of young and old mice. (A) UMAP projections of T cells in tumors of young (left) and old (right) mice. (B, C) Expression of signature genes (B) and genes essential for T cell function (C) projected onto UMAP plots in (A). Color scale shows z-score transformation of log2 (count +1). (D) Bar graph showing the percentages of various T cell subtypes in the tumors of young and old mice. EM, effector memory; UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection.

Cd8a, and CD4⁺ EM_like T with expression of *Gzmb* and *Cd4*; The rest of clusters were assigned as naive_T with high expression of naive gene markers *Ccr7*, *Sell*, *Tcf7* and *Lef1*, memory-like T cells (Memory_like T; *Il7r*⁺*Icos*⁺*Gzmk*⁺*Gzmb*⁺) and regulatory T cells (Treg; *Cd4*⁺*Foxp3*⁺) (online supplemental S5C). The density distributions reflected the differences in population frequency (figure 5A, online supplemental data 4). Old mice exhibited higher proportions of cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T, CD8⁺ EM_like T, CD4⁺ EM_like T, and memory_like T but lower proportions of activated_CD8⁺ T, exhausted_CD8⁺ T, and naive_T compared with young mice (figure 5D). No obvious differences were observed in the proportions of Tregs and Clec4e_CD8⁺ T cells between the two groups. In general, Tregs can be divided into two subsets with distinct phenotypes and functions, including naturally occurring Tregs (nTregs) and iTregs. GSEA showed more genes associated with iTregs within tumors of young mice compared with old mice (online supplemental S6A). Consistently, FACS analysis of Tregs in tumors of old mice revealed higher proportion of *Helios*⁺ Tregs (online supplemental figure S6B) and higher level of *Helios* expression compared with that of young mice (online supplemental figure S6C). *Ccr7*, a critical factor controlling thymic Tregs recirculation, was highly expressed in old mice (online supplemental figure S6D). Transforming growth factor (TGF)- β , essential for iTregs to exert suppressive function,⁴⁸ was also highly expressed in Tregs of old mice. Flow cytometry assay further confirmed that old mice had significantly higher percentages of TGF- β ⁺ Tregs in both dLNs (online supplemental figure S6E) and tumor tissues (online supplemental figure S6F), though the immunosuppressive function of TGF- β ⁺ Tregs remains to be addressed in the future studies. Therefore, more CD8⁺ effectors were present in the TME of old mice.

Striking shift of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T subsets in young versus old mice

To gain further insights into CD8⁺ T cell features in the TME of old mice, we categorized total CD8⁺ cells into four groups, including activated, cytotoxic, exhausted, and Clec4e positive CD8⁺ T cells according to gene expression signature. Intriguingly, there was a higher proportion of cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells in old mice compared with young mice while more activated_CD8⁺ T cells and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells were present in young mice (figure 6A). To further confirm these findings, we thus measured the expression level of exhaustion markers such as PD-1, LAG3, and TIM3 (online supplemental figure S7A,B) with flow cytometry analysis, and found that old mice indeed had lower percentages of PD-1⁺LAG3⁺ and TIM3⁺LAG3⁺ (exhausted) cells (figure 6B), but displayed higher percentages of Interferon (IFN)- γ and Granzyme B⁺ (cytotoxic) cells in dLN (online supplemental figure S7C) and tumor tissues (figure 6C). Therefore, those observations clearly suggested the less exhausted phenotype of CD8⁺ T cells in old mice. Importantly, these findings were also confirmed by the TCR repertoire analysis

given the top 10 clones in young mice were annotated as exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells and the top 10 clones in old mice were annotated as cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells (figure 6D, online supplemental data 5). To elucidate the transcriptional fate of effector CD8⁺ T cells, we performed trajectory analysis on activated, cytotoxic, and exhausted CD8⁺ T cells (figure 6E). The trajectory branch started with activated_CD8⁺ T cells and ended with cytotoxic and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells (figure 6F, online supplemental figure S7D), suggesting that activated_CD8⁺ T cells subsequently differentiated into cytotoxic or exhausted states. Moreover, we found that most genes associated with T cell exhaustion were enriched in young mice while the genes associated with T cell activation were enriched in old mice (online supplemental figure S7E). Moreover, cytotoxic population was enriched in cell proliferation-related pathways in old mice whereas apoptosis process-associated pathways were enriched in young mice (online supplemental figure S7F). The exhausted population expressed cell adhesion-related genes in old mice whereas pathways negatively regulating proliferation were enriched in young mice (online supplemental figure S7G). Consistent with pathway enrichment analysis, activated and exhausted CD8⁺ T cells in young mice displayed significantly higher apoptosis score (online supplemental figure S7H, data 3), indicating that effector T cells are likely more prone to death. Moreover, we analyzed the shared TCR repertoires of exhausted population with all other CD8⁺ T cells, including activated_CD8⁺ T, cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T, and CD8⁺ EM_like T (figure 6G). The statistical results showed that tumor-infiltrated exhausted_CD8⁺ T in young mice shared more TCR clones with activated_CD8⁺ T and cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T (figure 6H), suggesting that CD8⁺ T cells in young mice are more prone to exhaustion.

Exhausted CD8⁺ T cells are primarily distinguished as 'progenitor' and 'terminally' subsets based on phenotypic and functional heterogeneity.⁴⁹ We, thus, extracted all exhausted CD8⁺ T cells and divided them into two clusters via unbiased analysis. Consistent with previous studies,^{49 50} our data showed that 'progenitor' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells had proliferative potential, as indicated by high level expression of cell cycle genes (*Mki67*, *Top2a*, *Ccnb2*) and activation marker *Cd28*. On the other hand, 'terminally' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells highly expressed coinhibitory genes (*Pdcd1*, *Tigit*, *Lag3*, *Havcr2*, *Vsir*) and certain cytotoxic genes (*Ifng*, *Gzmb*, *Pf1*) (figure 6I). Of note, old mice presented more 'progenitor' and less 'terminally' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells as compared with young mice (figure 6J). In order to further prove these findings, we performed flow cytometry verifications based on the expression pattern of Ly108 and CD69 (online supplemental figure S7I).⁵¹ Consistently, we found that there were more prog2 exhausted CD8⁺ T cells (Ly108⁺CD69⁺) in tumors of old mice (figure 6K), while the proportion of terminally exhausted CD8⁺ T cells (Ly108⁺CD69⁺) was significantly reduced (figure 6L).

Figure 6 Diversity of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T lymphocytes and their functional states in young and old mice. (A) Pie charts showing the proportions of CD8⁺ T subtypes in young and old mice. (B) Percentages of PD-1⁺LAG3⁺ CD8⁺ T (left) and TIM3⁺LAG3⁺ CD8⁺ T (right) cells in tumor tissue of young (n=5) and old mice (n=5). (C) Percentages of IFN- γ ⁺ CD8⁺ T (left) and GranzymeB⁺ CD8⁺ T (right) cells in tumor tissue of young (n=3) and old mice (n=3). (D) tSNE plots representing T-cell receptor (TCR) profiles of top 10 clonotypes in tumors of young (left) and old (right) mice. (E, F) Differentiation trajectory of CD8⁺ T cells reconstructed by monocle2 using scRNA-seq data. Color scale indicates either the ordering of cell in pseudotime (E) or the cell state (F). (G) Venn plots showing the number of shared TCR clones between exhausted CD8⁺ T and other CD8⁺ T cells in tumors of young and old mice. (H) Bar graph showing the percentages of TCR sequences shared by exhausted CD8⁺ T and other CD8⁺ T cells in young and old mice. (I) Violin plots comparing the gene expression among 'progenitor' exhausted CD8⁺ T and 'terminally' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells. (J) UMAP projections of 'progenitor' exhausted CD8⁺ T and 'terminally' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells in tumors of young (left) and old (right) mice. The icon indicates the cell proportion of the two groups. (K) Percentages of progenitor 1 exhausted CD8⁺ T (left) and progenitor 2 exhausted CD8⁺ T (right) cells in tumor tissue of young and old mice (n=5). (L) Percentages of intermediate exhausted CD8⁺ T (left) and "terminally" exhausted CD8⁺ T (right) cells in tumor tissue of young and old mice (n=5). The gene expression in I is represented as expression of normalized log2 (count +1). EM, effector memory; ns, not significant; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing; UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection.

Characterization of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ memory like T cells in young and old mice

In line with previous studies demonstrating that the number of memory T cells increases during aging in both human⁵² and mice,⁵³ we found that old mice exhibited higher proportions of CD8⁺ EM_{like} T, CD4⁺ EM_{like} T, and memory_{like} T cells

than young mice, as well as lower proportions of naive_T cells (figure 7A). Flow cytometry analysis confirmed more CD8⁺ TEM (figure 7B, online supplemental figure S8A) and CD4⁺ TEM (figure 7C, online supplemental figure S8B) cells in both dLNs (upper panel) and tumor tissues (lower panel) of old mice. While the expression of memory markers was

Figure 7 Characterization of tumor-infiltrating naive and memory like CD8⁺ T cells in young and old mice. (A) Pie charts showing the proportions of four T cells subtypes in young and old mice. (B, C) Percentages of CD8⁺ EM_{like} T (B) and CD4⁺ EM_{like} T (C) in the dLN (upper) and tumor tissues (lower) of young and old. (D) Pathway enrichment result of top differential expressed genes in memory_{like} T cells. (E) Violin plots showing the gene expression in naive_T and different memory_{like} T cells. (F) Differentiation trajectory of CD8⁺ EM_{like} T cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells reconstructed by monocle2 using scRNA-seq data from young and old mice. Colors indicate the cell differentiation states. (G) Tumor volume in B16-bearing young and old mice with or without (IgG) anti-CD8 antibody treatment (n=5). EM, effector memory; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing. The level of significance is indicated as *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

similar, CD8⁺ EM_like T cells expressed higher levels of *Cd28*, *Ly6a* and *Gzmk* and lower levels of *Cd69*, *Fcer1g*, and *Cd7* in old mice compared with young mice (online supplemental figure S8C). Similarly, CD4⁺ EM_like T cells expressed inflammation-related genes including *Nfkb1*, *Isg15*, and *Jund* in old group, whereas those in young mice expressed immunosuppressive genes including *Tigit*, and glycolysis-related gene *Aldoa* (online supplemental figure S8D).

In addition to CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ EM_like T cells, we also discovered an undefined type of memory_like T cells uniquely present in old mice, expressing genes related to T cell activation and differentiation (figure 7D). Apart from highly expressing costimulatory genes (*Cd82*, *Icos*, *Ly6a*, *Cd44*), memory_like T cells also expressed high level of differentiation-related genes (*Rora*, *Cebpb*, *Zeb1*, and *Ikzf3*), and proliferation-related genes (*Cdkn1a*, *Bcl2a1d*, *Bcl11b*, and *Zc3h12a*) (figure 7E). Yet, it requires further validation and investigation regarding the phenotypic and functional features of this memory_like T cells in old mice.

To further explain the differentiation relationship among CD8⁺ T cell populations, we constructed a trajectory tree for CD8⁺ EM_like T, cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells. CD8⁺ EM_like T cells were located at the beginning of the trajectory tree based on pseudotime (online supplemental figure S8E), while cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T, and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells were located in the middle and ends of the trajectory tree, respectively (online supplemental figure S8F). CD8⁺ EM_like T cells of old mice were activated to become cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T earlier than that of young mice. In contrast, cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells of young mice underwent exhaustion earlier and more readily than that of old mice (figure 7F). To further investigate the contributions of CD8⁺ T cells in mediating antitumor immunity, we carried out the CD8⁺ T cell depletion experiment with anti-CD8 antibody administered intraperitoneally in tumor-bearing young or old mice (figure 7G). Of note, compared with the isotype control group (IgG), both young and old mice showed an increased tendency of tumor growth after deleting CD8⁺ T cells. In particular, old mice displayed a greater change of tumor size compared with young group (113.6% vs 28.4%) (online supplemental figure S8G). In this regard, there are still considerable differences between young and old groups on CD8⁺ T cell depletion, indicating that other factors in old mice may also contribute to the delayed tumor growth.

The senescent phenotype of tumor-infiltrated T cells in young and old mice

T cells are more inclined to adopt the characteristics of senescence with aging or undergoing various viral infections and certain diseases.⁵⁴ In order to explore the senescent status of intratumoral T cells, we extracted the sequencing data of all T cells for the following analysis. The two groups of tumor-infiltrated T cells showed similar status with exhausted CD8⁺ T and Clec4e_CD8⁺ T cells ranking higher cellular senescent scores (figure 8A),

whereas cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells exhibited low scores of cellular senescence for both groups. The markers of senescent T cells, such as *Plaur*, *Tyrbp*, and *Zrf1* (*Dnaic2*), were also highly expressed in Clec4e_CD8⁺ T cells and/or exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells (figure 8B).^{55–57}

Increasing reactive oxygen species production is one of the main factors accompanying cell senescence owing to accumulated protein and lipid damage.⁵⁸ Exhausted_CD8⁺ T and Clec4e_CD8⁺ T cells in both young and old mice displayed high levels of oxidative stress-induced senescence, but not Cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells from both groups, whereas activated_CD8⁺ T cells in young mice showed a higher level of oxidative stress-induced senescence than that in old mice (figure 8C). In addition, our results showed that the expression of antioxidant factors including *Sod1*⁵⁹ and *Nrf2* (*Nfe2l2*)⁶⁰ was elevated in certain types of T cells from old mice (figure 8D), which may respond to gradually increasing oxidative stress. Moreover, we also found that exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells differentially expressed senescence repressors: *Ezh2* and *Suz12* (figure 8E),⁵⁸ indicating a feedback mechanism in these cells that are undergoing senescent stress. Collectively, intratumoral exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells, but not cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells, displayed senescent features regardless of old and young mice.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we reported the profile of immune cells in the TME of young and old hosts at the single-cell level and revealed possible immune-related mechanisms for old individuals that underwent delayed tumor progression. Given that most preclinical models used young animals, and most common cancers behaved differently in young and elderly patients, we and others considered using old animals to explore the role of aging in the tumor immune microenvironment.^{61–64} Although the life span of mice and humans are very different, many studies have shown that humans and mice are very similar in disease progression, the physiological functions of various organs, and the molecular mechanism of aging.^{65 66} In mice, senescence begins at around 17 months. Therefore, we selected mice that are 17–22 months as the old group and 8–10 weeks mice as the young group. After confirming delayed tumor growth in old mice,⁶¹ we sorted CD45⁺ immune cells with flow cytometry before performing scRNA-seq analysis. Our data showed that immune cells were distinct in composition and characteristics between these two groups, supporting that in comparison to young mice, old mice present a tumor environment with effector populations armed with stronger functional features to better defend against tumor progression.

T cell-mediated immunity is a vital component of the immune response for host defense against cancer.⁶² CD8⁺ T cells, the major cytotoxic killers of tumor cells, exhibited heterogeneity in the TME by scRNA-seq.^{23 32 67 68} Here, we aimed at investigating tumor-infiltrating T cell populations and the corresponding characteristics, and

Figure 8 The senescent phenotype of T cells in TME of young and old mice. (A) Bubble plots showing the cellular senescence scores (represented by the color gradient) of different gene sets and proportions (represented by the size of bubble) of each T cells cluster in young and old mice. (B) Violin plots showing senescent marker genes expression in T cells of young and old mice. (C) Bubble plots showing the oxidative stress induced senescence scores (represented by the color gradient) of different gene sets and proportions (represented by the size of bubble) of each T cells cluster in young and old mice. (D) Violin plots showing antioxidant genes expression in T cells of young and old mice. The gene set score was calculated by averaging the z-scores of gene expression values of all genes in this gene set. The gene expression in C and D is represented as expression of normalized log₂ (count +1). EM, effector memory; TME, tumor microenvironment.

determining the clonal dominance of CD8⁺ TILs in B16 melanoma tumor model. Nine types of T cells were characterized based on transcriptome analysis of single cells, including four types of CD8⁺ T cells, three types of memory like T cells, naïve T cells, and Tregs. We found that a large proportion of tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells were newly activated or exhausted in young mice, whereas a significantly higher proportion of cytotoxic or memory T cells were present in old mice. Moreover, both sequencing and flow cytometric analysis suggested that old mice presented more 'progenitor' and less 'terminally' exhausted CD8⁺ T cells, which was in line with previous studies showing that immunotherapy was highly effective in old animals with

oral cancer and melanoma model.^{7 69} Of note, in other studies immune checkpoint blockade showed comparable therapeutic effects between young and old mice in B16 melanoma model and hematological malignancy model, but was less effective in glioblastoma model.^{5 70 71} Therefore, it remains controversial and requires further investigations to solve all these discrepancies with different model systems. Besides, flow cytometry analysis confirmed that old mice had higher percentages of IFN- γ ⁺ and Granzyme B⁺ CD8⁺ T cells in both dLNs and tumor tissues. Consistently, a recent study reported that Cd49d^{hi} CD8⁺ T cells are more abundant in tumors and exhibit a stronger antitumor effect in old mice.¹⁵ In addition,

tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells showed enhanced survival in old mice, while those in young mice were more prone to apoptosis. These findings are largely supported by the functional experiment, in which old mice displayed more severely reduced antitumor response on CD8⁺ T cell depletion compared with young mice. The remaining difference of tumor growth between old and young mice after Ab treatment indicates that other factors in old mice may also contribute to antitumor effects. The trajectory analysis of activated_CD8⁺ T, cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T, and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells showed that tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells underwent two sequential stages following activation with continuing differentiation trajectory in young mice, whereas CD8⁺ T cells were primarily located at the end of the trajectory tree in old mice, suggesting that effector CD8⁺ T cells underwent distinct differentiation programming during defense against tumor cells in the TME of young versus old mice.

With aging, continuous antigen stimulation and degeneration of the thymus cause the distribution of T cell subsets to shift from naive T cells to memory or memory-like cells.⁷² Previous studies demonstrated a reduction of response to neoantigens in elderly individuals due to a lower number of naive T cells.⁶² However, this does not necessarily indicate that the ability of old individuals to defend against foreign antigens is reduced, given that memory T cells cross-reactive to neoantigens may directly differentiate into effector T cells, and secrete inflammatory cytokines together with bystander memory-like cells. Consistently, there are several subsets of memory-like cells that exhibit stronger effector function. In old mice, CD8⁺ EM_like T expressed higher levels of costimulatory genes: *Ly6a*, *Cd28*, and the cytotoxic gene *Gzmk*, while CD4⁺ EM_like T expressed higher levels of inflammation-related genes: *Nfkb1*, *Isg15*, and *Jund*. In contrast, CD4⁺ EM_like T cells in young mice expressed higher inhibitory genes: *Tight*, and *Aldoa*. In addition, we also identified a unique population, Memory_like T cells, which highly expressed *Il7r*, *Cd44*, and costimulation genes (eg, *Cd82*, *Icos*, *Ly6a*). Gene expression enrichment analysis showed that upregulated genes in these cells were related to cell differentiation, proliferation, and T cell activation, suggesting that this cluster of unique T cells in the elderly represent recently activated effector cells derived from memory T cells. Analysis of shared TCR clones between T cell populations in different states can be used to infer differentiation and cell origin.⁷³ Compared with the old group, CD8⁺ EM_like T cells, cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells, and exhausted_CD8⁺ T cells in the young group shared a higher proportion of TCR clones. The relationships among three subpopulations were further confirmed by trajectory analysis.

The decline in the ability of elderly individuals to respond to potential viral infections and vaccines is related to the senescence of the adaptive immune system.⁵⁴ As the only source of T cells, the thymus begins to degenerate during adulthood, making T cells more susceptible to senescence than other adaptive immune cells.⁷⁴

The current understandings on T cell aging are mainly limited to homeostatic condition. It is unknown whether T cell senescence affects their antitumor immunity even though the occurrence and progression of tumors are closely related to T cell senescence.⁵⁶ By analyzing the phenotypes of senescence in tumor-infiltrating T cells, we showed that exhausted CD8⁺ T cells presented higher senescent scores, whereas cytotoxic_CD8⁺ T cells exhibited low senescent scores regardless of old and young mice. Clearly, T cells from old mice demonstrated considerable antitumor capacities as that from young mice. However, CD8⁺ T cells in old mice expressed increased antioxidant markers, such as *Sod1* and *Nrf2*, suggesting enhanced resistance to oxidative stress-induced senescence than that in young mice.

NK cells are another key player of immune surveillance against tumorigenesis. However, distinct from the general decline of T cells with aging,⁷⁵ the proportion and function of NK cells remained relatively stable. Although GSEA showed that NK cells of young mice were relatively active (online supplemental figure S9A), many functions showed no difference except for pathways related to metabolism (online supplemental figure S9B). Our single-cell data demonstrated that tumor-infiltrating NK cells expressed similar levels of functional molecules, such as *Prf1*, *Gzmb*, *Nkg7*, *NKG2D(Klrk1)*, *Ly-49g(Klra7)*, and *CD94(Klra1)* in both young and old hosts (online supplemental figure S9C). Consistent with a previous report in humans,⁷⁶ our data also showed that NK cells in old mice expressed a lower level of *NKG2A(Klrc1)* but a higher level of *Ly49(Klra4)* (online supplemental figure S9C). In addition, we found that NK cells in old mice expressed higher levels of inflammatory genes (*Nfkb1* and *Stat3*) and activation molecule 4-1BB, indicating stronger effector function of NK cells and the proinflammatory status in the elderly.⁷⁷

Previous studies show that B cells are generated at a reduced rate,⁷⁸ showing lower levels of percentage and absolute numbers^{79–80} while also differentiating into longer-lived memory B cells⁸⁰ in old individuals. In the tumor environment, our data also showed that the infiltrating B cells in the tumors of old mice tended to be memory B cells (online supplemental figure S10A), and were present at a lower percentage than that in young mice (online supplemental figure S10B). In addition, tumor-infiltrating B cells of old mice expressed lower levels of canonical B cell markers (*Cd19*, *Cd79a*, and *Cd79b*) compared with that of young mice (online supplemental figure S10C). These cells were similar to a type of exhausted or 'double negative' memory B cells expressing low level of IgD and *Cd27* reported in a previous study.⁸¹ IgM memory B cells accumulate with age and become the predominant memory B cell subset. Consistently, our data showed that tumor-infiltrating B cells in old mice express higher level of IgM but lower IgD expression. Previous studies found that 4-1BBL-expressing B cells increase with age in humans and mice, enhancing granzyme B expression of CD8⁺ T cells by presenting endogenous antigens,¹⁵

however, the current study did not reveal an enrichment of this population in the tumor of old mice.

Among myeloid cell lineages, cDCs and M1-like macrophages play positive roles in defending against tumor growth through innate mechanisms and activation of adaptive immunity.^{35 36} cDC1 mainly activates CD8⁺ T cells by processing and presenting exogenous antigens through MHC class I molecules, while cDC2 is crucial for inducing the immune response of CD4⁺ T cells.^{36 82} Our data also showed that the proportion of DCs that produce the inflammatory factor (TNF- α), and *Ccl5*, critical for cDC1 recruitment to TME,⁸³ were increased in old mice. cDC2, vital to stimulating CD4⁺ T cell-mediated immunity in cancer,⁸⁴ had similar abilities of antigen processing and presentation in young and old mice, but a higher percentage of cDC2 was found in old mice. M1-like macrophages express higher inflammatory genes and are associated with antigen presentation.^{38 85} We showed that a higher percentage of macrophages in the tumor of old mice are M1-like with enhanced inflammatory factor expression (TNF- α) and potential costimulatory function (*Cd80*, *Cd86*). Previous studies showed that M1-like macrophages in old mice display powerful phagocytosis and micropinocytosis abilities, which are beneficial to the internalization of exogenous antigen.⁸⁶

Parallel to effector cells playing positive roles in mediating antitumor response, there are well-identified immune cells that negatively regulate immune response, including Tregs, MDSCs, M2-like macrophages, and pDCs in tumor environment.^{87 88} Previous studies revealed that elderly individuals demonstrated changes in Tregs' frequency and function with aging.^{89 90} In contrast, the current study showed that the proportion and functional gene expression of Tregs in old mice were similar to that in Tregs of young mice. However, tumor-infiltrating Tregs tended to be of thymic origin (nTregs) in old mice whereas more are iTregs in young mice, supported by flow cytometry analysis showing higher expression of *Helios* in Tregs from old mice. In addition to Tregs, MDSCs are specialized immunosuppressors to prevent excessive inflammation^{91 92} and promote tumor growth and metastasis.³⁷ However, no MDSCs were identified in the current data. M2-like macrophages are characterized by high expression of *Arg1*, *Vcam1* or *Cd206*, and are associated with immunosuppression⁹³ and tumor growth.³⁵ We identified two types of macrophages with high expression of *Arg1* (MC2) and high expression of *Vcam1* (MC4), and both groups of macrophages were elevated in young mice. pDCs have been shown to associated with poor patient prognosis in several cancers by inducing the expansion of Tregs.⁹⁴ pDCs were present a lower level in old mice, potentially allowing for more robust antitumor immunity.

In conclusion, we used scRNA-seq analysis to evaluate the proportion and gene expression differences of immune cell components in tumors of young and old mice. In comparison to young mice, old mice showed a higher proportion of effector cells (cytotoxic T cells, cDC, and M1-like macrophages) and a lower proportion

of dysfunctional and immunosuppressive cells (exhausted T cells, iTregs, pDC, and M2-like macrophages) in the TME. TCR spectrum and trajectory inference analyses demonstrated preferential differentiation of CD8⁺ T cells into cytotoxic cells in old mice vs exhausted cells in young mice. Importantly, cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells in tumors of old mice did not display cellular and oxidative stress-induced senescent features and remained capable of protection against tumor development. Collectively, our data provided rational evidences for protection against tumor growth in old animals based on a comprehensive examination of the immune profile in the TME.

Code availability

The codes generated in this study are available at the GitHub repository (<https://github.com/Immugent/single-cell-data-process>).

Author affiliations

¹Department of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

²Department of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China

³Key Laboratory of Environment and Genes Related to Diseases, Ministry of Education, Xi'an, China

⁴Institute of Systems Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

⁵Suzhou Institute of Systems Medicine, Suzhou, China

⁶Division of Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapy, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

⁷Jiangsu Center for the Collaboration and Innovation of Cancer Biotherapy, Cancer Institute, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

⁸Xi'an Key Laboratory of Immune Related Diseases, Xi'an, China

Acknowledgements We thank Dr Guohua Zhang from Beckman Coulter, Professor Chen Huang and Dr Xiaofei Wang from Department of Cell Biology and Genetics for flow cytometry technical supports.

Contributors BZ, LZ and CZ conceptualized the study and designed experiments. CZ and HZ collected the tumor samples and FACS analysis with help from LL, YS, HL, KM and XW. LS, AJ, YZ and CZ prepared samples for single-cell sequencing and performed experiments with help from XY and YS. CZ analyzed scRNA-seq data with input from ZX. CZ, LZ and BZ wrote the manuscript. BZ, LZ, ZX, CS, XZ and YH reviewed and revised the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by grants from Major International (Regional) Joint Research Project (81820108017, BZ), Natural Science Foundation of China (81771673, BZ; 82071828, CS), PUMC Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (3332021074, KM), Young Talent Program of Xi'an Jiaotong University (YX1J005, BZ), Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2020JM-065, XZ; 2020JQ-098, LL; 2021JQ-025, XY), Project of Independent Innovative Experiment for Postgraduates in medicine in Xi'an Jiaotong University (YJSCX-2021-xx), Early Career Research Start-up Plan of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Central University Basic Research Fund (sxj032021015, CS).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement The raw data will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher. The data has been uploaded to scRNA database with the repository name of SCP1261. The persistent URL is https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/SCP1261. The data will be allowed for rescue purpose without a need of licence.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been

peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Yuzhu Hou <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8274-027X>

Baojun Zhang <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7786-4304>

REFERENCES

- Fane M, Weeraratna AT. How the ageing microenvironment influences tumour progression. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2020;20:89–106.
- Montecino-Rodriguez E, Berent-Maoz B, Dorshkind K. Causes, consequences, and reversal of immune system aging. *J Clin Invest* 2013;123:958–65.
- Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Understanding immunosenescence to improve responses to vaccines. *Nat Immunol* 2013;14:428–36.
- Shanmugham LN, Petrarca C, Frydas S, et al. IL-15 an immunoregulatory and anti-cancer cytokine. recent advances. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2006;25:529–36.
- Ladomersky E, Zhai L, Lauing KL, et al. Advanced age increases immunosuppression in the brain and decreases immunotherapeutic efficacy in subjects with glioblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020;26:5232–45.
- Ishikawa S, Matsui Y, Wachi S, et al. Age-associated impairment of antitumor immunity in carcinoma-bearing mice and restoration by oral administration of Lentinula edodes mycelia extract. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2016;65:961–72.
- Sekido K, Tomihara K, Tachinami H, et al. Alterations in composition of immune cells and impairment of anti-tumor immune response in aged oral cancer-bearing mice. *Oral Oncol* 2019;99:104462.
- Ershler WB, Moore AL, Shore H, et al. Transfer of age-associated restrained tumor growth in mice by old-to-young bone marrow transplantation. *Cancer Res* 1984;44:5677–80.
- Diab SG, Elledge RM, Clark GM. Tumor characteristics and clinical outcome of elderly women with breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92:550–6.
- Ershler WB, Longo DL. Aging and cancer: issues of basic and clinical science. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89:1489–97.
- Sharma P, Allison JP. Immune checkpoint targeting in cancer therapy: toward combination strategies with curative potential. *Cell* 2015;161:205–14.
- Elias R, Karantanos T, Sira E, et al. Immunotherapy comes of age: Immune aging & checkpoint inhibitors. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2017;8:229–35.
- Elias R, Hartshorn K, Rahma O, et al. Aging, immune senescence, and immunotherapy: A comprehensive review. *Semin Oncol* 2018;45:187–200.
- Sharma P, Hu-Lieskovan S, Wargo JA, et al. Primary, adaptive, and acquired resistance to cancer immunotherapy. *Cell* 2017;168:707–23.
- Oh J, Magnuson A, Benoist C, et al. Age-related tumor growth in mice is related to integrin α 4 in CD8⁺ T cells. *JCI Insight* 2018;3:1. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.122961
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011;144:646–74.
- Lee-Chang C, Bodogai M, Moritoh K, et al. Accumulation of 4-1BBL⁺ B cells in the elderly induces the generation of granzyme-B⁺ CD8⁺ T cells with potential antitumor activity. *Blood* 2014;124:1450–9.
- Zhang L, Zhang Z. Recharacterizing tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2019;7:1040–6.
- Duan Q, Zhang H, Zheng J, et al. Turning cold into hot: firing up the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Cancer* 2020;6:605–18.
- Shalek AK, Satija R, Adiconis X, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals bimodality in expression and splicing in immune cells. *Nature* 2013;498:236–40.
- Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, et al. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. *Nat Med* 2018;24:978–85.
- Savas P, Virassamy B, Ye C, et al. Single-cell profiling of breast cancer T cells reveals a tissue-resident memory subset associated with improved prognosis. *Nat Med* 2018;24:986–93.
- Zhang L, Yu X, Zheng L, et al. Lineage tracking reveals dynamic relationships of T cells in colorectal cancer. *Nature* 2018;564:268–72.
- Helmink BA, Reddy SM, Gao J, et al. B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures promote immunotherapy response. *Nature* 2020;577:549–55.
- Sun Y, Wu L, Zhong Y, et al. Single-cell landscape of the ecosystem in early-relapse hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell* 2021;184:404–21.
- Zheng C, Zheng L, Yoo J-K, et al. Landscape of infiltrating T cells in liver cancer revealed by single-cell sequencing. *Cell* 2017;169:e16:1342–56.
- Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, et al. Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. *Cell* 2019;177:e21:1888–902.
- Aran D, Looney AP, Liu L, et al. Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. *Nat Immunol* 2019;20:163–72.
- Qiu X, Hill A, Packer J, et al. Single-cell mRNA quantification and differential analysis with census. *Nat Methods* 2017;14:309–15.
- Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J. GSEA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2013;14:7.
- Tsuda T, Kim YT, Siskind GW, et al. Role of the thymus and T-cells in slow growth of B16 melanoma in old mice. *Cancer Res* 1987;47:3097–100.
- Carmona SJ, Siddiqui I, Bilous M, et al. Deciphering the transcriptomic landscape of tumor-infiltrating CD8 lymphocytes in B16 melanoma tumors with single-cell RNA-Seq. *Oncoimmunology* 2020;9:1737369.
- Qu Y, Wen J, Thomas G, et al. Baseline frequency of inflammatory Cxcl9-Expressing tumor-associated macrophages predicts response to Avelumab treatment. *Cell Rep* 2020;32:107873.
- Arlauckas SP, Garren SB, Garriss CS, et al. Arg1 expression defines immunosuppressive subsets of tumor-associated macrophages. *Theranostics* 2018;8:5842–54.
- DeNardo DG, Ruffell B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2019;19:369–82.
- Wculek SK, Cueto FJ, Mujal AM, et al. Dendritic cells in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2020;20:7–24.
- Alshetaiwi H, Pervolarakis N, McIntyre LL, et al. Defining the emergence of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in breast cancer using single-cell transcriptomics. *Sci Immunol* 2020;5:eaay6017. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aay6017
- Wynn TA, Chawla A, Pollard JW. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature* 2013;496:445–55.
- Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, et al. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol* 2004;25:677–86.
- Xuan W, Qu Q, Zheng B, et al. The chemotaxis of M1 and M2 macrophages is regulated by different chemokines. *J Leukoc Biol* 2015;97:61–9.
- Wu J, Li K, Peng W, et al. Autoinducer-2 of Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes macrophage M1 polarization via TNFSF9/IL-1 β signaling. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2019;74:105724.
- House IG, Savas P, Lai J, et al. Macrophage-Derived CXCL9 and CXCL10 are required for antitumor immune responses following immune checkpoint blockade. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020;26:487–504.
- Sano M, Takahashi R, Iijichi H, et al. Blocking VCAM-1 inhibits pancreatic tumour progression and cancer-associated thrombosis/thromboembolism. *Gut* 2021;70:1713–23.
- De Palma M. Origins of brain tumor macrophages. *Cancer Cell* 2016;30:832–3.
- Mildner A, Jung S. Development and function of dendritic cell subsets. *Immunity* 2014;40:642–56.
- Jones EL, Wee JL, Demaria MC, et al. Dendritic cell migration and antigen presentation are coordinated by the opposing functions of the tetraspanins CD82 and CD37. *J Immunol* 2016;196:978–87.
- Joyce JA, Fearon DT. T cell exclusion, immune privilege, and the tumor microenvironment. *Science* 2015;348:74–80.
- Konkel JE, Zhang D, Zanvit P, et al. Transforming growth factor- β signaling in regulatory T cells controls T Helper-17 cells and tissue-specific immune responses. *Immunity* 2017;46:660–74.
- Miller BC, Sen DR, Al Abosy R, et al. Subsets of exhausted CD8⁺ T cells differentially mediate tumor control and respond to checkpoint blockade. *Nat Immunol* 2019;20:326–36.
- LaFleur MW, Nguyen TH, Cox MA, et al. PTPN22 regulates the generation of exhausted CD8⁺ T cell subpopulations and restrains tumor immunity. *Nat Immunol* 2019;20:1335–47.
- Beltra J-C, Manne S, Abdel-Hakeem MS, et al. Developmental Relationships of Four Exhausted CD8⁺ T Cell Subsets Reveals

- Underlying Transcriptional and Epigenetic Landscape Control Mechanisms. *Immunity* 2020;52:825–41.
- 52 Koch S, Larbi A, Derhovanessian E, et al. Multiparameter flow cytometric analysis of CD4 and CD8 T cell subsets in young and old people. *Immun Ageing* 2008;5:6.
 - 53 Bunztnan A, Vincent BG, Krovi H, et al. The LCMV gp33-specific memory T cell repertoire narrows with age. *Immun Ageing* 2012;9:17.
 - 54 Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Mechanisms underlying T cell ageing. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2019;19:573–83.
 - 55 Amor C, Feucht J, Leibold J, et al. Senolytic CAR T cells reverse senescence-associated pathologies. *Nature* 2020;583:127–32.
 - 56 Covre LP, De Maeyer RPH, Gomes DCO, et al. The role of senescent T cells in immunopathology. *Aging Cell* 2020;19:e13272.
 - 57 Ribeiro JD, Morey L, Mas A, et al. ZRF1 controls oncogene-induced senescence through the INK4-ARF locus. *Oncogene* 2013;32:2161–8.
 - 58 Gorgoulis V, Adams PD, Alimonti A, et al. Cellular senescence: defining a path forward. *Cell* 2019;179:813–27.
 - 59 Zhang Y, Unnikrishnan A, Deepa SS, et al. A new role for oxidative stress in aging: The accelerated aging phenotype in *Sod1*^{-/-} mice is correlated to increased cellular senescence. *Redox Biol* 2017;11:30–7.
 - 60 Yuan H, Xu Y, Luo Y, et al. Role of Nrf2 in cell senescence regulation. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2021;476:247–59.
 - 61 Beheshti A, Benzekry S, McDonald JT, et al. Host age is a systemic regulator of gene expression impacting cancer progression. *Cancer Res* 2015;75:1134–43.
 - 62 Mirza N, Pollock K, Hoelzinger DB, et al. Comparative kinetic analyses of gene profiles of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells from young and old animals reveal novel age-related alterations. *Aging Cell* 2011;10:853–67.
 - 63 Quinn KM, Fox A, Harland KL, et al. Age-related decline in primary CD8+ T cell responses is associated with the development of senescence in virtual memory CD8+ T cells. *Cell Rep* 2018;23:3512–24.
 - 64 Hurez V, Daniel BJ, Sun L, et al. Mitigating age-related immune dysfunction heightens the efficacy of tumor immunotherapy in aged mice. *Cancer Res* 2012;72:2089–99.
 - 65 Dutta S, Sengupta P. Men and mice: relating their ages. *Life Sci* 2016;152:244–8.
 - 66 Demetrius L. Aging in mouse and human systems: a comparative study. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006;1067:66–82.
 - 67 Li H, van der Leun AM, Yofe I, et al. Dysfunctional CD8 T cells form a proliferative, dynamically regulated compartment within human melanoma. *Cell* 2019;176:e18:775–89.
 - 68 Garri CS, Arelaukas SP, Kohler RH, et al. Successful anti-PD-1 cancer immunotherapy requires T Cell-Dendritic cell crosstalk involving the cytokines IFN- γ and IL-12. *Immunity* 2018;49:1148–61.
 - 69 Kugel CH, Douglass SM, Webster MR, et al. Age correlates with response to anti-PD1, reflecting age-related differences in intratumoral effector and regulatory T-cell populations. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018;24:5347–56.
 - 70 Padrón Álvaro, Hurez V, Gupta HB, et al. Age effects of distinct immune checkpoint blockade treatments in a mouse melanoma model. *Exp Gerontol* 2018;105:146–54.
 - 71 Mirza N, Duque MA, Dominguez AL, et al. B7-H1 expression on old CD8+ T cells negatively regulates the activation of immune responses in aged animals. *J Immunol* 2010;184:5466–74.
 - 72 Saule P, Trauet J, Dutriez V, et al. Accumulation of memory T cells from childhood to old age: central and effector memory cells in CD4(+) versus effector memory and terminally differentiated memory cells in CD8(+) compartment. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2006;127:274–81.
 - 73 Azizi E, Carr AJ, Plitas G, et al. Single-cell map of diverse immune phenotypes in the breast tumor microenvironment. *Cell* 2018;174:e36:1293–308.
 - 74 Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Successful and maladaptive T cell aging. *Immunity* 2017;46:364–78.
 - 75 Manser AR, Uhrberg M. Age-related changes in natural killer cell repertoires: impact on NK cell function and immune surveillance. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2016;65:417–26.
 - 76 Lutz CT, Karapetyan A, Al-Attar A, et al. Human NK cells proliferate and die in vivo more rapidly than T cells in healthy young and elderly adults. *J Immunol* 2011;186:4590–8.
 - 77 Chester C, Sanmamed MF, Wang J, et al. Immunotherapy targeting 4-1BB: mechanistic rationale, clinical results, and future strategies. *Blood* 2018;131:49–57.
 - 78 Shahaf G, Johnson K, Mehr R. B cell development in aging mice: lessons from mathematical modeling. *Int Immunol* 2006;18:31–9.
 - 79 Ademokun A, Wu Y-C, Dunn-Walters D. The ageing B cell population: composition and function. *Biogerontology* 2010;11:125–37.
 - 80 Miller JP, Cancro MP. B cells and aging: balancing the homeostatic equation. *Exp Gerontol* 2007;42:396–9.
 - 81 Buffa S, Pellicanò M, Bulati M, et al. A novel B cell population revealed by a CD38/CD24 gating strategy: CD38(-)CD24 (-) B cells in centenarian offspring and elderly people. *Age* 2013;35:2009–24.
 - 82 Schlitzer A, McGovern N, Ginhoux F. Dendritic cells and monocyte-derived cells: two complementary and integrated functional systems. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2015;41:9–22.
 - 83 Böttcher JP, Bonavita E, Chakravarty P, et al. Nk cells stimulate recruitment of cdc1 into the tumor microenvironment promoting cancer immune control. *Cell* 2018;172:e14:1022–37.
 - 84 Binnewies M, Mujal AM, Pollack JL, et al. Unleashing Type-2 Dendritic Cells to Drive Protective Antitumor CD4+ T Cell Immunity. *Cell* 2019;177:e16:556–71.
 - 85 Watanabe S, Alexander M, Misharin AV, et al. The role of macrophages in the resolution of inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 2019;129:2619–28.
 - 86 Canton J. Macropinocytosis: new insights into its underappreciated role in innate immune cell surveillance. *Front Immunol* 2018;9:2286.
 - 87 Lorenzo-Sanz L, Muñoz P. Tumor-Infiltrating immunosuppressive cells in cancer-cell plasticity, tumor progression and therapy response. *Cancer Microenviron* 2019;12:119–32.
 - 88 Liu Y, Cao X. Immunosuppressive cells in tumor immune escape and metastasis. *J Mol Med* 2016;94:509–22.
 - 89 Tsaknaris L, Spencer L, Culbertson N, et al. Functional assay for human CD4+CD25+ Treg cells reveals an age-dependent loss of suppressive activity. *J Neurosci Res* 2003;74:296–308.
 - 90 Hwang K-A, Kim H-R, Kang I. Aging and human CD4(+) regulatory T cells. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2009;130:509–17.
 - 91 Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009;9:162–74.
 - 92 Motallebnezhad M, Jadidi-Niaragh F, Qamsari ES, et al. The immunobiology of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer. *Tumour Biol* 2016;37:1387–406.
 - 93 Shields CW, Evans MA, Wang LL-W, et al. Cellular backpacks for macrophage immunotherapy. *Sci Adv* 2020;6:eaa6579.
 - 94 Aspod C, Leccia M-T, Charles J, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells support melanoma progression by promoting Th2 and regulatory immunity through OX40L and ICOSL. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2013;1:402–15.