Pan-cancer landscape of *CD274* (PD-L1) rearrangements in 283,050 patient samples, its correlation with PD-L1 protein expression, and immunotherapy response

Andrew D Kelly ¹, Karthikeyan Murugesan ¹, Zheng Kuang,¹ Meagan Montesion,¹ Jeffrey S Ross,^{1,2} Lee A Albacker,¹ Richard S P Huang ^{1,3}, Douglas I Lin,¹ Umut Demirci,⁴ James Creeden¹

To cite: Kelly AD, Murugesan K, Kuang Z, *et al.* Pan-cancer landscape of *CD274* (PD-L1) rearrangements in 283,050 patient samples, its correlation with PD-L1 protein expression, and immunotherapy response. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2021;**9**:e003550. doi:10.1136/jitc-2021-003550

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-003550>).

ADK and KM contributed equally.

Accepted 01 November 2021

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Foundation Medicine Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

²Department of Pathology and Urology, State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA

³Foundation Medicine Inc, Morrisville, North Carolina, USA

⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Memorial Ankara Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence to

Dr Andrew D Kelly; akelly@foundationmedicine.com

ABSTRACT

Background Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) benefit patients with multiple cancer types, however, additional predictive biomarkers of response are needed. *CD274* (programmed cell death ligand-1, PD-L1) gene rearrangements are positively associated with PD-L1 expression and may confer benefit to ICI, thus a pan-cancer characterization of these alterations is needed.

Methods We analyzed 283,050 patient samples across multiple tumor types that underwent comprehensive genomic profiling for activating *CD274* rearrangements and other alterations. The DAKO 22C3 Tumor Proportion Scoring (TPS) method was used for PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing in a small subset with available data (n=55,423). A retrospective deidentified real-world clinico-genomic database (CGDB) was examined for ICI treatment outcomes. We also report a detailed case of *CD274*-rearranged metastatic rectal adenocarcinoma.

Results We identified 145 samples with functional rearrangements in *CD274*. There were significant enrichments for *PIK3CA*, *JAK2*, *PDCD1LG2*, *CREBBP*, and *PBRM1* co-mutations (ORs=2.1, 16.7, 17.8, 3.6, and 3.4, respectively, p<0.01). Genomic human papillomavirus (HPV)-16, Epstein-Barr virus, and mismatch repair genes also co-occurred (OR=6.2, 8.4, and 4.3, respectively, p<0.05). Median tumor mutational burden (TMB) was higher compared with *CD274* wild-type samples (7.0 vs 3.5 mutations/Mb, p=1.7e-11), with disease-specific TMB enrichment in non-small cell lung, colorectal, unknown primary, and stomach cancers. PD-L1 IHC skewed toward positivity (N=39/43 samples with ≥1% positivity). Of eight patients from the CGDB, three remained on ICI treatment after 6 months. Separately, one patient with metastatic rectal adenocarcinoma experienced a pathologic complete response on chemoimmunotherapy.

Conclusions *CD274* gene rearrangements are associated with increased PD-L1 IHC scores, higher TMB, and potential clinical benefit in ICI-treated patients with cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Immune surveillance is an important mechanism by which malignant cells are eliminated before overt cancer can emerge, and

therefore, applies a selective pressure for developing tumors to maintain an immune-suppressed microenvironment.¹ Over the past decade, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have transformed cancer care, with anti-programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and antiprogrammed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) therapies showing strong efficacy^{2–4} and subsequent US Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) approvals for clinical use in multiple tumor types.^{5,6}

Expression of PD-L1 as detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC) has identified a subset of tumors that may be susceptible to ICI,³ however, the landscape of PD-L1 IHC testing is complex⁶ and it remains insufficient to consistently predict response to ICI.^{7–9} Many studies have also shown that high tumor mutational burden (TMB) is associated with a high neo-antigen burden and a subsequent presence of activated effector T cells that results in enhanced responsiveness to ICI.^{10,11} Accordingly, single agent pembrolizumab was approved by the FDA for metastatic solid tumors with TMB of at least 10 mutations per megabase.¹² Despite this, ICI benefits remain restricted to a subset of patients,¹³ warranting research into additional predictive biomarkers of checkpoint blockade response. Tumors exhibiting focal amplification of *CD274* (gene encoding PD-L1) have shown durable responses to ICI^{9,14–16} but little is known about the association of *CD274* rearrangements and PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade. Previously, it has been reported that PD-L1 structural variants are associated with aberrant expression in T-cell leukemia, and a rare number of other solid tumors in The Cancer Genome Atlas.¹⁷

In this study, we describe a pan-cancer analysis of *CD274* rearrangements identified

through comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP) assessed on more than 400 tumor types comprised of more than 250 000 tumor samples sequenced during routine clinical care, and its association with ICI biomarkers. In addition, we also report on a *CD274* rearranged rectal adenocarcinoma patient with complete response to FOLFOXIRI with nivolumab, and on multiple *CD274* rearranged patients with sustained clinical benefit to ICI from a deidentified real-world clinico genomic database (CGDB).

METHODS

Comprehensive genomic profiling

A total of 283,050 clinically advanced cases of cancer underwent CGP¹⁸ in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)-certified, College of American Pathologists (CAP) accredited laboratory (Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). CGP on 0.8–1.1 Mb of the coding genome was performed on hybridization-captured, adapter-ligation based libraries, to identify genomic alterations (GA) (base substitutions, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations and rearrangements) in exons and select introns in up to 404 genes, TMB and microsatellite instability status (MSI).

The median sequencing depth of coverage was 830x. Functional rearrangements were defined as chromosomal translocation, deletion, duplication or inversion events with one breakpoint in *CD274* (NM_014143) intron 5 to 3'-UTR and a second breakpoint outside of *CD274*. Such rearrangements are projected to incur loss of inhibitory microRNA binding site while leaving the Ig-like domains intact, causing PD-L1 overexpression.¹⁹ TMB was calculated as the number of non-driver somatic coding mutations per megabase of genome sequenced.²⁰ MSI status was determined by analyzing 114 intronic homopolymer repeat loci for length variability and MSI high was defined as described previously.²¹ All patient data were analyzed retrospectively in a de-identified manner and all GA prevalence reported in this study only include alterations described as functional/pathogenic in literature and seen in the Catalog of Somatic Mutations in Cancer repository²² or had a likely functional status (frameshift/truncation events in tumor suppressor genes). Variants of unknown significance (VUS) were not studied.

PD-L1 IHC testing was run and interpreted by experienced board-certified pathologists according to the manufacturer instructions in a CLIA-certified and CAP-accredited laboratory (Foundation Medicine, Morrisville, North Carolina, USA) for a subset of specimens (n=55,423/283,050) in this cohort.^{23 24} DAKO's Tumor Proportion Scoring (TPS) method was used to score these cases where TPS=proportion of PD-L1 positive tumor cells.

Genomic viral calling

Presence of oncogenic viruses in tumor specimens was determined by the identification of DNA sequences consistent with genomic viral DNA. Sequencing reads left unmapped to the human reference genome (hg19) were de novo assembled by Velvet^{25 26} and the assembled contigs were competitively aligned by BLASTn²⁷ to the NCBI database of over 3million known viral nucleotide sequences. A positive viral status was determined by contigs at least 80 nucleotides in length and with at least 97% identity to the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) sequence,²⁸ however, because this is a research use only finding, viral nucleic acid content is not found on commercial Foundation Medicine (FMI) reports.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, V.3.6.0).²⁹ Proportions of categorical variables were compared using the Fisher's exact test while continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. All p values are two sided and multiple hypothesis testing correction was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to calculate the false discovery rate (FDR) of interactions between *CD274* rearrangements and genomic alterations. Genomic coordinates were identified using hg19 on the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser.³⁰

Clinico-genomic cohort

This study used the nationwide (US-based) deidentified Flatiron Health-FMI CGDB (FH-FMI CGDB). The deidentified data originated from approximately 280 US cancer clinics (~800 sites of care). Retrospective longitudinal clinical data (collected through September 30, 2020) were derived from electronic health record data, comprising patient-level structured and unstructured data, curated via technology-enabled abstraction, and were linked to genomic data derived from FMI CGP tests in the FH-FMI CGDB by deidentified, deterministic matching.³¹

Genomic alterations were identified via CGP as described above. For *CD274* rearranged patients treated with ICI therapy (Monotherapy or combination therapy; N=8), duration of line of therapy (real-world time at risk of treatment discontinuation) was calculated as the time between the earliest and last recorded instance of drug regimen administration/scheduled drug regimen administration. Treatment was considered discontinued due to change in line of therapy or death of the patient, all others were censored. Real-world response data (available for N=1 patient) was defined as the clinician assessment of change in disease burden following radiographic imaging during a line of therapy.³²

RESULTS

Characteristics of *CD274* rearranged tumors in a large pan-cancer cohort

We assessed the genomic landscape of *CD274* rearrangements across 419 different tumor types that comprised 283 050 patient samples. In total, we identified 145

Figure 1 Characteristics of *CD274* rearrangement positive tumors in FMI research dataset. (A) Gene diagram of *CD274* with 3'-UTR annotated demonstrating that the majority of cases in this study harbor alterations that impact the native exon 7 sequence very near to the wild-type stop codon. (B) Distribution of disease groups harboring *CD274* rearrangements ranked by prevalence. (C) Distribution of co-occurring gene mutations among *CD274* rearranged cases. (D) Volcano plot of co-occurring gene alterations with *CD274* rearrangements. The two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to estimate the p values and odds ratios of associations between gene alterations and *CD274* rearrangements. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to estimate the adjusted p values. Only genes with a pan-cancer prevalence $\geq 0.5\%$ and an adjusted p value ≤ 0.05 were labeled. (E) Distribution of TMB in *CD274* rearrangement positive tumors compared with respective overall tumor type population. Two-tailed Fisher's exact tests were used to estimate the p values of the relative distribution of TMB status in the *CD274* rearranged cohort versus molecularly unselected tumor type matched cases (unselected N=19,794-NSCLC; 12,642-CRC; 2727-ESO; 1597-STOM; 5595-CUP; 6512-OVARY; 1711-KIDNEY). 3'-UTR, 3' untranslated region; CRC, colorectal carcinoma; CUP, carcinoma of unknown primary; ESO, esophageal carcinoma; KIDNEY, kidney carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung carcinoma; OVARY, ovarian carcinoma; STOM, stomach carcinoma; TMB, tumor mutational burden.

Figure 2 Distribution of PD-L1 staining by IHC in cases with available data (n=43/145). IHC, immunohistochemistry; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand-1.

samples (0.05%) with a functional rearrangement in *CD274* (see methods section for definition of functional rearrangement). This cohort was 52.4% female, with a median age of 64.5 years (range 16.0–89+), and 44.2% specimens were metastatic tumor biopsies (online supplemental table 1). Interestingly, when compared with the *CD274* wild-type cohort, the *CD274* rearranged cohort was significantly enriched for the admixed American genomic ancestry (8.6% in the wild-type cohort vs 14.5% in the rearranged cohort, $p=0.02$; online supplemental table 1, this association remained significant when adjusted for tumor type in a multivariate logistic regression analysis, $p=0.05$, online supplemental table 2).

We found that nearly all of these samples harbored rearrangements that affected the 3'-UTR within 135 base pairs of the wild-type stop codon (138/145 with breakpoint within 5% of exon 7; figure 1A). Of the types of genomic events identified, most were rearrangements, with a small number of focal duplication, deletion, and truncation events (online supplemental figure 1). Importantly, *CD274* amplifications occurred in 25% of the *CD274* rearranged cohort (odds ratio (OR)=4.6, $p=5.3e-44$).

The most common tumor type harboring *CD274* rearrangements was non-small cell lung cancer (figure 1B), followed by colorectal cancer and cancer of unknown primary. Within the *CD274*-rearranged cohort, GA in *TP53* were the most common (60.7%) followed by GA in *PIK3CA* (26.2%), *CDKN2A* (22.8%), *KRAS* (17.9%), *CDKN2B* (16.6%), and *MYC* (14.5%; figure 1C). Multiple genes were enriched with *CD274* rearrangements (figure 1D) including *PIK3CA* (OR=2.1, FDR adjusted $p=0.001$), *JAK2* (16.7, $3.6e-52$), *PDCD1LG2* (17.8, $4.8e-46$), *CREBBP* (3.6,

$4.2e-4$) and *PBRM1* (3.4, 0.001), though, only 13% and 11% of the *CD274* rearranged cohort harbored alterations in *JAK2* and *PDCD1LG2*, respectively, despite their adjacent chromosomal localization. Interestingly, genomic human papillomavirus (HPV)-16 (6.2, $1.1e-10$), genomic Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) (8.4, 0.003) and genes involved in mismatch repair such as *MLH1* (4.3, 0.052) were also enriched among *CD274* rearrangements.

Importantly, within the *CD274* rearranged cohort, there was an enrichment for tumor types harboring relatively high TMB, (figure 1E), but 92.4% (N=133/144; MSI was unavailable for one case) of the cohort was microsatellite stable. Subsequently, while investigating this propensity for high TMB, we observed the median TMB across the cases harboring *CD274* rearrangements (7.0 muts/Mb, N=145) to be significantly greater than that observed in the *CD274* wild-type cohort (3.5 muts/Mb, N=282643, $p=1.7e-11$; TMB was unavailable for 262 cases). Compared with the subset of the *CD274* wild-type cohort harboring any genomic rearrangement (of any pathogenicity status, N=96365), the median TMB was still significantly higher in the *CD274* rearranged cohort, suggesting this observation is not reflective of generalized genomic instability, but is specific to *CD274* (7.0 muts/Mb, N=145 vs 4.4 muts/Mb, N=96365, $p=0.0003$; online supplemental figure 2A). In addition, multivariate logistic regression found the association between *CD274* rearrangements and TMB-high statistically significant, with effect size comparable to that of *CD274* amplification (model was adjusted for microsatellite status, mismatch repair mutations, DNA damage response gene mutations, other pathogenic gene fusions, other *CD274* alterations and patient age, with samples weighted to match the tumor type distribution in the *CD274* rearranged cohort; online supplemental figure 2B). When examined within disease groups, this relationship held true only in some disease types, including non-small cell lung, colorectal, unknown primary, and gastric carcinomas (figure 1E).

We next identified a cohort of *CD274* rearranged patients for whom both CGP and PD-L1 IHC data were available n=43/145. Within this subgroup, PD-L1 staining scores (DAKO TPS) were highly skewed towards positivity with 90.7% (39/43) samples exhibiting a score $\geq 1\%$ and 72.1% (31/43) samples exhibiting a score of $\geq 50\%$ (figure 2, online supplemental table 3). In contrast, among the *CD274* wild-type population (N=282905), n=55380 were scored for PD-L1 staining and 38.7% (21,410/55,380) had a TPS $\geq 1\%$ while 15.0% (8280/55380) had a TPS $\geq 50\%$.

Notably, *CD274* rearranged samples with TPS $<50\%$ were found to have significantly lower numbers of paired *CD274* arrangement reads ($p=0.009$), in contrast to their total number of reads mapped to *CD274* at a comparable level to that of TPS $\geq 50\%$ samples (online supplemental figure 3). From this observation, we inferred that subclonal rearrangements and genotype heterogeneity may have contributed to low TPS in these samples. Although the association between number of read pairs

Figure 3 Real-world clinical data in *CD274* rearranged tumors. (A) Swimmer plot of duration of line of therapy for 8 CGDB patients with *CD274* rearrangements treated with ICI regimen. Specific chemotherapy regimens are described in the supplement. Patient five discontinued treatment due to a change in line of therapy (B) H&E of rectum adenocarcinoma (top). PD-L1 immunohistochemistry demonstrating membranous staining of tumor cells (middle). Tumor Proportion Score was 90% via DAKO 22C3 immunohistochemistry platform. PD-L1 immunostaining of adjacent benign colonic epithelium from same patient as control with negative PD-L1 immunostaining (bottom). CGDB, clinico-genomic database; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand-1.

and rearrangement sub-clonality was not validated in the assay used, an ROC analysis indicated that number of read pairs was indeed a non-trivial predictor of TPS $\geq 50\%$ in these 43 samples (area under curve (AUC)=0.80, online supplemental figure 3).

Time on ICI therapy in *CD274* rearranged patients from a de-identified real world CGDB

Given the association of PD-L1 expression with *CD274* rearrangements, we sought to understand whether the presence of *CD274* rearrangements would be associated with clinical benefit from ICI. To this end, we observed eight *CD274* rearranged cases across multiple tumor types in the CGDB treated with ICI, including two gastric adenocarcinomas, two triple negative breast cancers (TNBC), one colon adenocarcinoma, one lung squamous cell carcinoma, one lung non-squamous cell adenocarcinoma and one serous ovarian carcinoma (online supplemental table 4).

Fifty per cent (4/8) of the cases were on ICI treatment for at least 3 months and 3/4 were on ICI treatment for over 6 months (figure 3A). Sustained clinical benefit of over 2 years (778 days as of last follow-up) was observed in one gastric adenocarcinoma case (MSS, TMB of 1.25

mut/Mb, positive for genomic EBV) treated with second line pembrolizumab monotherapy. Two TNBC cases, one with a TMB of 0 mut/Mb, MSS but PD-L1 positive and another with no data on TMB, MSI and PD-L1 status were on a combination of atezolizumab and paclitaxel for 183 days and 106 days respectively, as of last follow-up. The lung adenocarcinoma case was observed to be PD-L1 high and was on first line combination therapy of carboplatin, pemetrexed, and pembrolizumab for 219 days, with a real-world partial response to treatment 3 months after start of therapy and observed real-world stable disease as of last follow-up. Given the limitations of this retrospective analysis (eg, availability of progression-free and overall survival data, length of follow-up), a comprehensive evaluation of outcomes in *CD274*-rearranged tumors is beyond the scope of this study. However, patient #1 with a sustained benefit of 778 days at last follow-up, is longer than the median duration of response for gastric adenocarcinoma treated with pembrolizumab monotherapy based on data from the Keynote-062 trial.³³ The other patients from the CGDB cohort were censored with follow-up times comparable to, or shorter than those reported in immunotherapy trials for each respective disease type.³⁴⁻³⁸

Therefore, it is difficult to conclude whether their duration of benefit would exceed that expected for ICI in an unselected disease-matched cohort.

Case report and genomic characterization of *CD274*-rearranged metastatic rectal adenocarcinoma

A 31-year-old pregnant woman with a family history of glioblastoma in her father (age 50) presented with colonic perforation in the 37th week of pregnancy. Colonoscopy revealed an ulcerating vegetating mass 12cm from the anal verge. Biopsy was consistent with adenocarcinoma. She underwent a Hartman procedure and Cesarean section with whole body CT showing a solitary 4cm liver metastasis and no other sites of distant spread. She was initiated on 5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan (FOLFOXIRI) and biopsy tissue was sent to FMI for CGP.

Based on IHC, the TPS for PD-L1 expression was 90% (figure 3B). CGP results demonstrated that the tumor was microsatellite stable, with a TMB of 16 mutations per megabase. The sample was also found to harbor likely pathogenic mutations in *KRAS* at G12C, *FBXW7* at D600Y and E332*, *TP53* at C176F, truncating *APC* mutations at E443* and E1547*, a *SMAD2* truncating mutation at S460*, a deletion in *MUTYH* at E466, a *CTNNA1* rearrangement, and an exon 7 rearrangement of *CD274* (online supplemental table 5). Based on the high PD-L1 staining and TMB, nivolumab was added to first-line FOLFOXIRI. After four cycles on the combination regimen, objective partial response was demonstrated. Because of grade 3 thrombocytopenia, FOLFOXIRI was discontinued and nivolumab was administered for two more cycles. Primary site radiation therapy was performed before low anterior resection, liver metastasectomy and ileostomy. Pathology was consistent with pathologic complete response both in liver and rectal lesions. Postoperative FOLFOX was administered to complete 6 months of perioperative treatment.

DISCUSSION

Despite tumor site agnostic approvals for ICI, the actual clinical benefit is limited to a relatively small fraction of patients. TMB, MSI status, and PD-L1 expression measured by IHC have been used as predictive biomarkers in many clinical trials. To date, however, the mechanisms of response and/or resistance remain incompletely described.

A subset of T-cell leukemia patients with PD-L1 rearrangements have recently been shown to harbor increased PD-L1 cell surface expression,¹⁷ thought to be caused by disruption of microRNA binding sites within the 3'-UTR, and supported by in vitro experiments demonstrating that miR-34a and miR-200 can both influence PD-L1 expression at the mRNA level.^{39 40} These findings point to a mechanism of immune evasion that gives cancer cells a selective growth advantage and which could be exploited using ICI.

In this study we report on a case of rectal adenocarcinoma harboring a *CD274* rearrangement that was associated with high tumor protein expression by IHC. This patient experienced a dramatic pathologic complete response to combined chemoimmunotherapy with surgical resection. This response was observed despite recent evidence suggesting that *FBXW7* inactivating mutations may confer resistance to ICI via decreased expression of double-stranded RNA sensor proteins, diminished type I interferon response and lower MHC-I expression.⁴¹ We performed a molecular characterization of *CD274* rearranged tumors in a large pan-cancer analysis along with observations of sustained clinical benefit from ICI in a small subset of patients. We observed that *CD274* rearrangements, although very rare events, can occur across multiple cancer types, and have several properties suggestive of immune evasive potential.

First, the enrichment for elevated IHC staining suggests a homogeneous population of cells with respect to PD-L1 expression as the majority of cases in our analysis displayed TPS over 50%. This observation is also consistent with a mechanism of mRNA stabilization induced by 3'-untranslated region (UTR) disruption. Second, the number of *CD274* rearrangement reads is shown to be a non-trivial binary predictor of TPS over 50%, with the accuracy robust to the chosen cut-off of number of reads. This observation indicates tumor heterogeneity reflected in *CD274* rearrangement reads is likely to affect tumor IHC score, although a fine-tuned predictive model is beyond the scope of this study. Third, the higher-than-expected TMB among samples harboring *CD274* rearrangements would be predicted to lead to a higher neoantigen burden, and therefore require means of immune evasion. It is plausible that this relationship appeared insignificant in some disease types due to small sample size, but it is also possible that there are immunological differences between the tissue types in which *CD274* rearrangement was associated with high TMB. These observations are consistent with the existing literature and suggest that genomic events affecting the 3'-UTR of *CD274* confer a selective advantage to cancer cells, perhaps via immunologic clonal elimination of *CD274* wild-type tumor cells. Importantly, T-cell evasion driven by PD-L1 expression controlled by the interplay between miRNA and 3' UTR of the *CD274* gene is of importance in EBV positive gastric cancer.⁴² Here, we observe *CD274* rearrangements to be enriched in EBV +ve tumors, along with durable ICI response in two *CD274* rearranged stomach adenocarcinomas (one of which was also EBV +ve). Therefore, we propose that *CD274* rearrangements be clinically investigated as a potential biomarker for ICI in EBV +ve gastric cancers.

These observations collectively imply that *CD274* rearrangement positive cancers may respond to ICI, potentially in the presence of other genomic

alterations thought to confer resistance like *FBXW7*. Prior to our case report, we were aware of one other clinical case of *CD274* rearranged cancer treated with ICI, which was in a patient with ovarian cancer who achieved an objective response.⁴³ The case we present here adds to the literature another example of a patient with a *CD274* rearrangement who responded to ICI. In this particular example, the patient had both a high TMB, and elevated PD-L1 expression associated with *CD274* rearrangement. Importantly, multiple studies suggest these two features (TMB and PD-L1 expression) have an additive effect in predicting ICI benefit.^{9 44–46} This alludes to the utility of a combined biomarker approach for ICI containing regimens. Another important clinical implication of our study is the use of liquid biopsy to detect *CD274* rearrangements. In clinical scenarios where tissue biopsy is impossible the presence of a *CD274* rearrangement in blood-derived circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) sequencing may potentially be suggestive of ICI sensitivity with further prospective studies. To this point, we have identified three examples of *CD274* rearrangements found in ctDNA sequencing, with two patients remaining on treatment as of last follow-up. Future studies with larger clinically annotated *CD274* rearranged cohorts will be needed to demonstrate statistical independence from other ICI biomarkers, but our data highlight that clinical benefit is possible through blocking this rare mechanism of immune evasion.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Sunandini Chopra and Ebru Karakoc for their collaboration in facilitating the real-world data and case report included in this manuscript.

Contributors Author contributions are outlined below. ADK and KM are equal contributors. Conceptualization: ADK, KM, and UD. Data curation: ADK, KM, ZK, RSPH, and DIL. Formal analysis: ADK, KM, ZK, and RSPH. Investigation: ADK, KM, ZK, and RSPH. Methodology: ADK, KM, ZK, and RSPH. Resources: DIL, and UD. Writing—original draft: ADK, KM, and ZK. Writing—review and editing: ADK, KM, ZK, MM, JSR, LAA, RSPH, DIL, UD, and JC. Guarantor and author responsible for overall content: ADK.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests ADK, KM, ZK, MM, JSR, LAA, RSPH, DIL, and JC are employees of Foundation Medicine, a wholly owned subsidiary of Roche Holdings and Roche Finance, and these employees have equity interest in an affiliate of these Roche entities.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study was conducted in accordance with the Western Institutional Review Board (WIRB) approved Protocol No. 20152817. For the Flatiron Health-Foundation Medicine. Clinicogenomic Database, Institutional Review Board approval of the study protocol was obtained prior to study conduct and included a waiver of informed consent.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as online supplemental information. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. The data generated by the research that support our article will be provided in the supplements.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been

peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Andrew D Kelly <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5338-7689>

Karthikeyan Murugesan <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2275-1126>

Richard S P Huang <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8395-5168>

REFERENCES

- Keenan TE, Burke KP, Van Allen EM. Genomic correlates of response to immune checkpoint blockade. *Nat Med* 2019;25:389–402.
- Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQM, *et al.* Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:2455–65.
- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, *et al.* Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 2012;366:2443–54.
- Rosenberg JE, Hoffman-Censits J, Powles T, *et al.* Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:1909–20.
- Gong J, Chehrizi-Raffle A, Reddi S, *et al.* Development of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors as a form of cancer immunotherapy: a comprehensive review of registration trials and future considerations. *J Immunother Cancer* 2018;6:8.
- U.S. FOOD & DRUG. List of cleared or approved companion diagnostic devices (in vitro and imaging tools). Available: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/vitro-diagnostics/list-cleared-or-approved-companion-diagnostic-devices-vitro-and-imaging-tools>
- Remon J, Besse B, Soria J-C. Successes and failures: what did we learn from recent first-line treatment immunotherapy trials in non-small cell lung cancer? *BMC Med* 2017;15:55.
- Garon EB. Cancer immunotherapy trials not immune from imprecise selection of patients. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:2483–5.
- Huang RSP, Haberberger J, Severson E, *et al.* A pan-cancer analysis of PD-L1 immunohistochemistry and gene amplification, tumor mutation burden and microsatellite instability in 48,782 cases. *Mod Pathol* 2021;34:252–63.
- Snyder A, Makarov V, Merghoub T, *et al.* Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:2189–99.
- McGranahan N, Furness AJS, Rosenthal R, *et al.* Clonal neoantigens elicit T cell immunoreactivity and sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. *Science* 2016;351:1463–9.
- Marabelle A, Fakih MG, Lopez J, *et al.* Association of tumour mutational burden with outcomes in patients with select advanced solid tumours treated with pembrolizumab in KEYNOTE-158. *Annals of Oncology* 2019;30:v477–8.
- Havel JJ, Chowell D, Chan TA. The evolving landscape of biomarkers for checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2019;19:133–50.
- Sorscher S, Resnick J, Goodman M. First case report of a dramatic radiographic response to a checkpoint inhibitor in a patient with proficient mismatch repair gene expressing metastatic colorectal cancer. *JCO Precis Oncol* 2017;1:4.
- Goodman AM, Piccioni D, Kato S, *et al.* Prevalence of PDL1 amplification and preliminary response to immune checkpoint blockade in solid tumors. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4:1237.
- George J, Saito M, Tsuta K, *et al.* Genomic amplification of *CD274* (PD-L1) in small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017;23:1220–6.
- Kataoka K, Shiraishi Y, Takeda Y, *et al.* Aberrant PD-L1 expression through 3'-UTR disruption in multiple cancers. *Nature* 2016;534:402–6.

- 18 Frampton GM, Fichtenholtz A, Otto GA, *et al.* Development and validation of a clinical cancer genomic profiling test based on massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013;31:1023–31.
- 19 Gong A-Y, Zhou R, Hu G, *et al.* MicroRNA-513 regulates B7-H1 translation and is involved in IFN-gamma-induced B7-H1 expression in cholangiocytes. *J Immunol* 2009;182:1325–33.
- 20 Chalmers ZR, Connelly CF, Fabrizio D, *et al.* Analysis of 100,000 human cancer genomes reveals the landscape of tumor mutational burden. *Genome Med* 2017;9:34.
- 21 Trabucco SE, Gowen K, Maund SL, *et al.* A novel next-generation sequencing approach to detecting microsatellite instability and Pan-Tumor characterization of 1000 microsatellite Instability-High cases in 67,000 patient samples. *J Mol Diagn* 2019;21:1053–66.
- 22 Forbes SA, Beare D, Boutselakis H, *et al.* Cosmic: somatic cancer genetics at high-resolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017;45:D777–83.
- 23 DAKO. PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx package insert. Available: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf15/P150013c.pdf
- 24 VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) assay package insert. Available: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/p160002s009c.pdf
- 25 Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 2008;18:821–9.
- 26 Zerbino DR. Using the velvet de novo assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2010
- 27 Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, *et al.* Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 1990;215:403–10.
- 28 Knepper TC, Montesin M, Russell JS, *et al.* The genomic landscape of Merkel cell carcinoma and clinicogenomic biomarkers of response to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 2019;25:5961–71.
- 29 Ihaka R, Gentleman, R. R: a language for data analysis and graphics. *J Comput Graph Stat* 1996.
- 30 Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, *et al.* The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res* 2002;12:996–1006.
- 31 Singal G, Miller PG, Agarwala V, *et al.* Association of patient characteristics and tumor genomics with clinical outcomes among patients with non-small cell lung cancer using a Clinicogenomic database. *JAMA* 2019;321:1391.
- 32 Ma X, Bellomo L, Magee K, *et al.* Characterization of a real-world response variable and comparison with RECIST-Based response rates from clinical trials in advanced NSCLC. *Adv Ther* 2021;38:1843–59.
- 33 Shitara K, Özgüroğlu M, Bang Y-J, *et al.* Pembrolizumab versus paclitaxel for previously treated, advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (KEYNOTE-061): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2018;392:123–33.
- 34 Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, *et al.* Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:2108–21.
- 35 Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, *et al.* Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic Non-Small-Cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2078–92.
- 36 O'Neil BH, Wallmark JM, Lorente D, *et al.* Safety and antitumor activity of the anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab in patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0189848.
- 37 Rousseau B, Foote MB, Maron SB, *et al.* The spectrum of benefit from checkpoint blockade in hypermutated tumors. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:1168–70.
- 38 Matulonis UA, Shapira-Frommer R, Santin AD, *et al.* Antitumor activity and safety of pembrolizumab in patients with advanced recurrent ovarian cancer: results from the phase II KEYNOTE-100 study. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:1080–7.
- 39 Wang X, Li J, Dong K, *et al.* Tumor suppressor miR-34a targets PD-L1 and functions as a potential immunotherapeutic target in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cell Signal* 2015;27:443–52.
- 40 Chen L, Gibbons DL, Goswami S, *et al.* Metastasis is regulated via microRNA-200/ZEB1 axis control of tumour cell PD-L1 expression and intratumoral immunosuppression. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:5241.
- 41 Gstalder C, Liu D, Miao D, *et al.* Inactivation of *Fbxw7* Impairs dsRNA Sensing and Confers Resistance to PD-1 Blockade. *Cancer Discov* 2020;10:1296–311.
- 42 Sasaki S, Nishikawa J, Sakai K, *et al.* Ebv-Associated gastric cancer evades T-cell immunity by PD-1/PD-L1 interactions. *Gastric Cancer* 2019;22:486–96.
- 43 Bellone S, Buza N, Choi J, *et al.* Exceptional response to pembrolizumab in a metastatic, chemotherapy/radiation-resistant ovarian cancer patient harboring a PD-L1-genetic rearrangement. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018;24:3282–91.
- 44 Yarchoan M, Albacker LA, Hopkins AC, *et al.* Pd-L1 expression and tumor mutational burden are independent biomarkers in most cancers. *JCI Insight* 2019;4.
- 45 Castellanos E, Snider J, Ali SM, *et al.* Tumor mutational burden (TMB) and PD-L1 expression as predictors of response to immunotherapy (io) in NSCLC. *JCO* 2019;37:2630.
- 46 Huang RSP, Murugesan K, Montesin M, *et al.* Pan-cancer landscape of *CD274* (PD-L1) copy number changes in 244 584 patient samples and the correlation with PD-L1 protein expression. *J Immunother Cancer* 2021;9:e002680.