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Practically every aspect of modern life has been upended by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) that emerged in 2019. Yet, despite substantial disruptions to the day-to-day practices of caring for patients and performing research, the biomedical community as a whole has rallied and adapted. Through creativity, collaboration across disciplines, open communication, and a commitment to the scientific enterprise, the amount of knowledge generated in merely 1 year on an entity that was previously unknown to humans was, to use the word-of-the-year for 2020, unprecedented.

Throughout the outbreak, the immunotherapy community has been both uniquely challenged by circumstances and exceptionally poised to contribute to the global effort. Despite facing extreme obstacles in providing essential lifesaving care to patients with cancer amidst a pandemic, insights from immuno-oncology have been invaluable to the response. Perhaps most prominently, mRNA-based vaccines—now delivering up to 95% protection rates against SARS-CoV-2 infection in published trials—have a decades-long history of investigation as potential tumor immunotherapies. Additionally, adaptive trial design, a strength of the oncology community, has been essential to conduct studies with integrity and sufficient power to identify potential benefits of interventions in a disease with diverse presentations and a rapidly shifting standard of care.

Our understanding of COVID-19 has increased quickly, yet many aspects of the disease still remain mysterious. Even though a complete genome sequence of the novel coronavirus was deposited a mere 10 days after the first cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown etiology was reported by the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, and the timelines for vaccine development through emergency use authorization were record-breaking, important questions remain about the heterogeneity of clinical presentations, durability of immunity, and interactions between the pathology of SARS-CoV-2 and underlying conditions, especially cancer. While it is known that patients with cancer may be more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection, disentangling the effects of immune-modulatory therapies versus the underlying malignancy on disease outcomes is challenging. An isolated case report has even emerged of SARS-CoV-2 infection inducing complete remission independent of any interventions in a patient with lymphoma, further highlighting the complex interplay between the immune system and cancer in COVID-19.

Given the now-established role of dysregulated inflammation in more severe cases of COVID-19, it will be critical to understand any potential interactions between SARS-CoV-2 pathology and the activities of immunotherapies for patients with cancer. Emerging data indicate that checkpoint inhibitors might not predispose patients with COVID-19 and cancer to worse outcomes, but further studies are needed to understand the risk–benefit calculation for proceeding with immunotherapy amidst the backdrop of the ongoing pandemic. Additionally, a deeper understanding of protective immunity versus the fulminant inflammation seen in severe COVID-19 will be required to identify appropriate interventions for different stages of the disease course. Although parallels exist between elevated cytokine levels in SARS-CoV-2 and cytokine release syndrome associated with chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy, it is becoming clear that these are distinct conditions and management strategies will diverge.

The aim of this review series is to distill down a portion of the vast torrent of literature through an immunotherapy-focused lens. Authored by leading voices in the immuno-oncology field, including oncologists, immunologists, and experts from infectious disease
and virology, these reviews explore basic insights into the immunology of the novel coronavirus, repurposing of immune-based therapies including the effects of immuno-oncology agents, and the affected cancer populations. The invited reviews include deep insights into the anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune response in the manuscript titled, ‘Interrogation of the cellular immune response of cancer patients with regard to the COVID-19 pandemic,’ as well as a forthcoming paper on parallels and differences between the inflammatory pathology in COVID-19 and secondary to adoptive cell therapy. Immunotherapies including interleukin-6 modulation and inhibitors of the JAK-STAT pathway will be explored in depth. Special articles on the fruits of many years of work in vaccines and antibody-based antiviral therapies will be covered. Special considerations for practicing oncologists during the pandemic are discussed in ‘Severity of COVID-19 in lung cancer patients: evidence and challenges,’ and the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and checkpoint inhibitor therapy in the setting of other malignancies such as melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, and lung cancer will also be covered. Lastly, determinants of COVID-19 severity in patients with cancer and other immunocompromised populations will be surveyed. This series will provide a valuable resource for JITC readers not only as a source for hypothesis generation for future basic and translational studies, but also as initial guidance in clinical decision-making.

The immunotherapy community has been an early leader in calling for rapid information sharing during the pandemic, and the Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) has issued several statements and published papers8 9 to assist the global response. We are proud of the SITC has issued several statements and published pandemic, and the Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer leader in calling for rapid information sharing during the
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