Enhancing clinical and immunological effects of anti-PD-1 with belapectin, a galectin-3 inhibitor
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ABSTRACT

Background PD-1/PD-L1 engagement and overexpression of galectin-3 (Gal-3) are critical mechanisms of tumor-induced immune suppression that contribute to immunotherapy resistance. We hypothesized that Gal-3 blockade with belapectin (GR-MD-02) plus anti-PD-1 (pembrolizumab) would enhance tumor response in patients with metastatic melanoma (MM) and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

Methods We performed a phase I dose escalation study of belapectin+pembrolizumab in patients with advanced MM or HNSCC (NCT02575404). Belapectin was administered at 2, 4, or 8 mg/kg IV 60 min before pembrolizumab (200 mg IV every 3 weeks for five cycles). Responding patients continued pembrolizumab monotherapy for up to 17 cycles. Main eligibility requirements were a functional Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status of 0–2, measurable or assessable disease, and no active autoimmune disease. Prior T-cell checkpoint antibody therapy was permitted.

Results Objective response was observed in 50% of MM (7/14) and 33% of HNSCC (2/6) patients. Belapectin+pembrolizumab was associated with fewer immune-mediated adverse events than anticipated with pembrolizumab monotherapy. There were no dose-limiting toxicities for belapectin within the dose range investigated. Significantly increased effector memory T-cell activation and reduced monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (M-MDSCs) were observed in responders compared with non-responders. Increased baseline expression of Gal-3 was associated with a higher frequency of severe immune-mediated adverse events than anticipated with pembrolizumab monotherapy. There were no dose-limiting toxicities for belapectin within the dose range investigated. Significantly increased effector memory T-cell activation and reduced monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (M-MDSCs) were observed in responders compared with non-responders. Increased baseline expression of Gal-3 was associated with a higher frequency of severe immune-mediated adverse events than anticipated with pembrolizumab monotherapy.

Conclusions Belapectin+pembrolizumab has activity in MM and HNSCC. Increased Gal-3 expression, expansion of effector memory T cells, and decreased M-MDSCs correlated with clinical response. Further investigation is planned.

INTRODUCTION

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (eg, aCTLA-4, aPD-1, and aPD-L1 mAb) are approved for the treatment of a variety of metastatic cancers, but monotherapy infrequently cures patients with advanced solid tumors.1–6 Combining immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as aCTLA-4+aPD-1, improves overall response rates and is associated with a higher probability of cure compared with checkpoint monotherapy. However, combination therapy with these two checkpoint inhibitors only generates a 10%–12% probability of complete response (CR) and is associated with a higher frequency of severe immune-mediated adverse events than monotherapy.7–11 This highlights a central challenge in immunotherapy (I-O) research; specifically, how to identify combinations that will evoke durable clinical responses (ideally durable complete remissions), while minimizing toxicity.12 The limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms that account for the effectiveness of I-O therapies limits the rational design of more effective combination approaches, which remains a key and unresolved roadblock in the design of I-O clinical trials.

Galectins are a part of a family of carbohydrate-binding proteins that have affinity for specific oligosaccharides including the C-type lectins, pentraxins, and other galectins.13 Galectin-3 (Gal-3), one of 15 galectin family members, is a secreted beta-galactoside binding protein that regulates cell growth and survival. Due to its ability to promote tumor cell proliferation, migration, and metastasis, Gal-3 has been implicated in cancer progression.14–16 Extracellular Gal-3 also has immunosuppressive potential because it promotes M2-macrophage polarization and inhibits T-cell activation.17–19 Further, a recent report demonstrated that high levels of tumor-specific Gal-3 in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) correlated with decreased responsiveness to PD-1 blockade.20 Given the inhibitory effects of Gal-3, preclinical studies explored whether...
inhibiting Gal-3 restored the function of tumor-reactive T cells. For example, treatment with a Gal-3 inhibitor restored cytokine production and the cytolytic activity of anergic tumor-reactive T cells isolated from human tumors, including melanoma. Furthermore, combined treatment with a Gal-3 inhibitor and anti-PD-L1 checkpoint blockade reduced tumor growth in a murine model of lung cancer.

A novel galectin antagonist (belapectin; GR-MD-02) was generated from naturally occurring carbohydrate polymers using proprietary chemical methods. Based on preclinical work showing reversal of hepatic fibrosis in animal models, belapectin is being evaluated in clinical trials for patients with fibrotic disease and cancer. A phase I trial with belapectin was conducted in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and advanced fibrosis (NCT01899859). A phase II multicenter study in NASH cirrhosis (NCT02462967) demonstrated a decrease in portal pressure associated with a prevention of new esophageal varices in patients who have not yet developed them. These studies supported the antifibrotic activity of belapectin and led to the initiation of a phase 2b/3 study with a primary outcome of preventing esophageal varices in patients with NASH cirrhosis (NCT04365868). In these studies, belapectin appeared safe and well tolerated at doses up to 8 mg/kg for up to 52 weeks.

Based on our preclinical observations that belapectin treatment increased the efficacy of checkpoint blockade and T-cell agonist immunotherapy (manuscript submitted), we conducted a phase I trial with belapectin plus checkpoint blockade (pembrolizumab) in patients with metastatic melanoma (MM) or head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (NCT02575404). We evaluated the safety and efficacy of this treatment along with comprehensive immune monitoring to explore potential mechanisms of action and putative biomarkers of response.

**RESULTS**

**Trial design**

We conducted a phase I clinical trial to investigate the safety and efficacy of combined belapectin plus pembrolizumab immunotherapy in patients with MM or HNSCC (NCT02575404). The main eligibility criteria were metastatic or unresectable melanoma or HNSCC, ECOG 0–2, life expectancy >12 weeks, and no active autoimmune disease. Patients with progression on prior checkpoint blockade therapy and non-cutaneous melanoma were accepted. Further details on patient demographics, sites of disease, and prior therapy are provided in table 1. The full listing of eligibility criteria is provided in the online supplemental materials. The main objective for this study was to determine a maximum tolerated dose of belapectin that could be administered with pembrolizumab. Secondary objectives included an assessment of immune responses and a characterization of toxicities that could be used to generate hypotheses to inform the design of future studies investigating the combination of belapectin+pembrolizumab.

A standard 3+3 design was used with an expansion cohort to treat more patients at the highest tolerated or most immunologically active dose level. Belapectin was given at doses of 2, 4, or 8 mg/kg IV (dose not adjusted for lean body mass) over 60 min and before the administration of pembrolizumab. Pembrolizumab infusions started 60 min after completion of belapectin and were given at a fixed dose of 200 mg IV over 30 min on days 1, 22, 43, 64, and 85. Patients with stable disease (SD), partial response (PR) or CR could receive up to 17 total doses of pembrolizumab with cycles every 3 weeks. We anticipated that the doses of belapectin chosen would have biological activity based on preclinical models and NASH clinical trials. Blood samples for immune response evaluation were obtained at baseline, and at days 22, 43, 64, and 85. Tumor biopsies were obtained at baseline and 14 patients with melanoma and six with HNSCC were assessed for response. Of those, six patients were treated in cohort 1 (belapectin dose of 2 mg/kg), three in cohort 2 (4 mg/kg), and 11 in cohort 3 (8 mg/kg). Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 was used to assess tumor responses and Common Toxicity Criteria version 4.1 was used to assess and grade adverse events. We defined responding patients as those with a CR or PR using RECIST criteria.

**Table 1 Clinical characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Melanoma</th>
<th>HNSCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female (%)</td>
<td>10/4 (71/29)</td>
<td>6/0 (100/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>66 (38–45)</td>
<td>61 (41–69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF mutation (%)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV positive (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior BRAF-targeted therapy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior immunotherapy (%)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CTLA-4</td>
<td>6 (43)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD-1</td>
<td>6 (43)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CTLA-4+anti-PD-1</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-2</td>
<td>4 (29)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior chemotherapy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median # prior treatments</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior radiation</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Most patients received multiple sequential monotherapy and combination immunotherapies.

**CTLA-4, cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HPV, human papillomavirus; PD-1, programmed cell death.**
Combined belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy was well tolerated and there were no toxicities deemed related or probably related to belapectin. All of the toxicities were deemed related to pembrolizumab and all were grade 1 or 2. One grade 3 toxicity was reported, which was tumor pain in a patient with HNSCC (table 2). No grade 4 toxicities were observed. One patient required oral steroids for grade 2 cholecystitis. This event was deemed possibly related to pembrolizumab and surgery was not needed for management. This event occurred in a patient with HNSCC who experienced a CR (also see below). There was no clear association between belapectin dose level or cancer diagnosis and the frequency or severity of toxicity. No dose-limiting toxicities (DLT) were observed for belapectin within the dose range investigated. We chose to treat six patients in cohort 1 and 11 patients in cohort 3 (highest planned dose level) in order to obtain more data on immune responses.

**Clinical response**

Objective responses were seen at each dose level of belapectin and in both MM and HNSCC patients. An illustration of response in a melanoma patient is given in figure 1. The objective response of all patients assessed at day 85 (after five cycles of belapectin+pembrolizumab)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>7, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu-like symptoms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>9, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>3, 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased AST (aspartate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transaminase)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ALT (alanine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transaminase)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient lymphopenia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2  Toxicity assessment**

![Figure 1](http://jitc.bmj.com/)  
Clinical efficacy of belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy. (A) PET images depict multiple FDG-avid soft tissue lung and LN melanoma deposits at baseline with resolution 1 year after belapectin+pembrolizumab. Baseline images depict extent of melanoma after progression on BRAF/MEK-targeted therapy. (Left panel) Chest, abdomen, and pelvis images. (Right panels) Lower extremity images. Arrows indicate melanoma deposits. (B) Objective response to belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy at day 85. Graph depicts the % change in the sum of the diameters of target lesions using RECIST V.1.1 criteria (n=14 MM; n=5 HNSCC). Dotted line at −30% denotes minimum cut-off for partial response. (C) Plot depicts duration of clinical response to belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy. (D) Progression-free survival. CR, complete response; FDG, Fluorodeoxyglucose; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; LN, lymph node; MM, metastatic melanoma; PET, positron-emission tomography; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.
is depicted in figure 1B. Among the 7/14 patients with MM who had an objective response to belapectin+pembrolizumab, three had no prior therapy and four had progression after treatment with T-cell checkpoint inhibitors (n=2), high-dose IL-2 (n=1), oncolytic virus+aCTLA-4 (n=1), or BRAF-targeted therapy (n=1). One of the MM responders had a mucosal (vaginal) primary tumor. Three of the seven responding patients with melanoma have required no further systemic therapy to date (figure 1C). The median progression-free survival (PFS; 95% CI) was 5.8 (3.6, NA) months in melanoma (figure 1D). Among the 2/6 patients with HNSCC who achieved a response, one had prior progression on T-cell checkpoint blockade therapy (intratumoral ipilimumab, ipi). In addition, 5/6 HNSCC patients were HPV− including both responders. The HNSCC patient that achieved a CR died from a metastasis to the gall bladder. It is interesting to note that this was a clinical site of inflammation during treatment. The median PFS (95% CI) was 5.5 (4.7, NA) months in HNSCC (figure 1D).

**Combination immunotherapy alters systemic immune responses**

We hypothesized that patients with an objective response had higher immune activation following pembrolizumab+belapectin compared with patients who did not achieve objective response. We conducted comprehensive immunological monitoring by measuring changes in circulating leukocytes and serum cytokines and chemokines before and after treatment. We also investigated whether these immune assessments were associated with clinical outcome. Due to variability in the timing of maximal immunologic responses among patients, we selected the peak immune response (between days 22–85 as compared with baseline) as the maximum value for correlation with the best clinical outcome (as determined by RECIST response at day 85). We observed a statistically significant increase in proliferating (Ki-67+) activated (ICOS+) effector memory (CCR7−CD45RA−) CD4+ T cells in responding patients (figure 2A). We also detected a statistically significant expansion in the percentage of effector memory CD8+ T cells in responders (figure 2B, top) and increased effector memory T-cell proliferation (Ki-67+) in responders and non-responders (figure 2B, bottom). We also analyzed regulatory (CD25+CD127lo) CD4+ T-cell responses and found no difference in the frequency of Tregs post-treatment in responders or non-responders and a similar increase in proliferation (Ki-67+) in both cohorts (online supplemental figure 1 top and middle panels, respectively). There was a significant increase in HLA-DR+ Tregs following treatment in non-responders only (online supplemental figure 1, bottom panel), which may represent a Treg subset capable of

**Figure 2** Enhanced T-cell responses following belapectin+pembrolizumab. Fresh whole blood was stained with mAbs to CD45, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD45RA, CCR7, Ki-67, and ICOS. Following RBC lysis and washing, (A) CD4+ and (B) CD8+ T-cell phenotypes were determined by flow cytometry. Each line represents an individual patient and graphs depict the median (center line), the interquartile range (box), and the minimum and maximum values (whiskers) of n=9–11 patients per cohort. Green indicates an increase post-treatment versus pretreatment, blue indicates no change, and orange indicates a decrease. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. NR, non-responder (white bars); R, responder (gray bars); RED, red blood cell.
increased contact-dependent suppression. These data suggest that belapectin+pembrolizumab enhances the activation of effector memory T cells in responders, along with increasing the percentage of effector memory T cell proliferation in all patients.

Preclinical models demonstrated that Gal-3 expression affects the polarization, programming, and function of myeloid cells, including monocytes and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which consist of polymorphonuclear (PMN-MDSC) and monocyctic (M-MDSC) subsets. Therefore, we investigated the influence of belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy on monocyte and MDSC subsets in the peripheral blood and compared these subsets in responding and non-responding patients. The absolute number (figure 3A) and percent (figure 3B) of M-MDSCs trended lower at day 85 as compared with baseline in responding patients. In contrast, non-responding patients were characterized by a significant increase in the %M-MDSCs at day 85 as compared with baseline (figure 3B). When we compared the total number and frequency of M-MDSCs at day 85 between responders and non-responders, we found significantly fewer M-MDSCs in responders (figure 3A,B, left panels). Further analysis revealed that six out of nine patients who achieved an objective response showed no change or a decrease in the %M-MDSC (day 85) compared with baseline, while only two out of nine patients with disease progression showed decreased %M-MDSC over the same time interval (figure 3B, right panels). In contrast, no differences were observed in the proportion of total monocytes or PMN-MDSCs in these patients (data not shown). These data suggest that the majority of responding patients exhibited stabilization or a declining frequency of M-MDSCs as compared with expansion in non-responders, implicating M-MDSCs as a possible biomarker of response and provides insight into the potential mechanism of action of belapectin+pembrolizumab.

Next, we asked whether belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy increased proinflammatory serum cytokine and/or chemokine levels and whether these cytokines and/or chemokines also correlated with objective response using RECIST criteria. Forty-five cytokines/chemokines were measured pretreatment and post-treatment and among these analytes, we found statistically significant increases in IP-10/CXCL10, IL-12p70, and IL-18 post-treatment in responders (online supplemental figure 2). These specific proteins are associated with increased T-cell recruitment (IP-10/CXCL10) and proinflammatory (type-I; Th1) immune responses (IL-12, IL-18). All together, these data provide evidence of an altered systemic immune response including increased T-cell proliferation and proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, with a concomitant reduction in MDSCs in patients responding to belapectin+pembrolizumab.

Biomarkers of response

We examined baseline (pretreatment) expression of Gal-3 and PD-L1 in tumor biopsies using multiplex immunohistochemistry (mIHC). We developed two custom seven-color mIHC panels to interrogate the relationship between immune infiltration and Gal-3 expression. Each panel included CD3 (pan T cell), CD8 (cytotoxic T cell), CD163 (macrophage), PD-L1 (immune checkpoint), Gal-3 (biomarker), tumor (SOX10 for melanoma; cytokeratin/CK for HNSCC), and DAPI (nuclei). PD-L1 expression was determined by mIHC following pathology review, which has been demonstrated to have high concordance with the chromogenic assay. In a patient with MM that achieved a PR, we observed high tumor-associated Gal-3 and PD-L1 coexpression (figure 4A), which was representative of other MM specimens. We quantified leukocyte numbers and Gal-3 expression across the entire cohort and asked whether the mIHC data correlated with clinical response. Indeed, patients experiencing clinical benefit (CR/PR) exhibited an increased density of Gal-3+ tumor cells as compared with those with PD (figure 4B, left panel). No trend was seen with regard to stromal CD3+ T cells and response (figure 4B, middle panels), while
there was a trend of increased stromal CD8+ T cells and response in MM (figure 4B, right panels). Minimal expression of Gal-3 was detected on CD163+ macrophages (data not shown). We also confirmed that tumor PD-L1, but not Gal-3, correlates with the efficacy of aPD-1 monotherapy in melanoma, suggesting that Gal-3 combined with PD-1 expression is a potentially unique biomarker for belapectin+pembrolizumab treatment (online supplemental figure 3).

Increased PD-1+CD8+ T cells within the TIL and increased T-cell expansion and proliferation (Ki-67) in the peripheral blood have been associated with improved clinical response to checkpoint blockade.36–39 Thus, we asked whether baseline expression of Gal-3 and PD-L1 within the tumor identified by mIHC and/or the extent of PD-1+ T cells in the peripheral blood as determined by flow cytometry could serve as predictive biomarkers of response to belapectin+pembrolizumab. Coexpression of PD-L1 on tumor or immune cells and Gal-3 on tumor cells, as quantified by mIHC, did not predict clinical benefit (figure 4C,D, respectively). In contrast, 100% of patients achieving an objective response (CR or PR) could be characterized by both the percent of circulating PD-1+ effector memory CD8+ T cells and the extent of Gal-3+ tumor cells present at baseline (figure 4E). Additional analysis demonstrated that PD-1 expression on multiple

Figure 4 Baseline Gal-3 expression (mIHC) is associated with clinical benefit to belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy. (A) Representative mIHC from MM pretreatment biopsy (FFPE) that was stained with a seven-color mIHC panel. (B) Graphs depict number of Gal-3+ tumor cells, CD3+ T cells, and CD8+ T cells from n=13 (MM) and n=5 (HNSCC) patients per cohort, grouped by clinical response. Each point represents one patient. Responder (orange bars); non-responder (white bars). (C–E) Graphs depict (C) PD-L1+ tumor (IHC), (D) PD-L1+ TIL (IHC), or (E) %PD-1+ CD8+ T cells (flow) versus Gal-3+ tumor (IHC; X-axis) from n=13 (MM) and n=4 (HNSCC) patients. Each point represents one patient (CR: square; PR: circle; SD: square; PD: circle). Dotted lines represent cut-offs determined by Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis (see Table 3). CR, complete response; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; MM, metastatic melanoma; mIHC, multiplex immunohistochemistry; PET, positron-emission tomography; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.
Table 3  Correlation of PD-1+CD8+ T cells (peripheral blood) and Gal-3+ tumor cells with favorable clinical outcomes following belapectin+pembrolizumab immunotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group*</th>
<th>CR/PR</th>
<th>SD/PD</th>
<th>P value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-risk, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (89)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-risk, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Group including high-risk and low-risk subgroups defined by Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis: high-risk if %PD-1+/CD8 T eff < 24 or %PD-1+/CD8 T eff > 24 and Gal-3+ tumor < 1186; low-risk if %PD-1+/CD8 T eff > 24 and Gal-3+ tumor > 1186.
†Fisher exact test.

CR, complete response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

T-cell subsets including central, effector, and effector memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells plus Gal-3 expression on tumor cells was associated with response (table 3), indicating that patients with an increased frequency of circulating PD-I+T cells at baseline were more likely to respond.

Recent studies have demonstrated an association between reduced clearance (higher trough level) of aPD-1 and better survival in patients with melanoma or NSCLC.40 41 Thus, we hypothesized that the trough level of pembrolizumab, as assessed by mass spectrometry analysis, may be a biomarker of clinical response to belapectin+pembrolizumab. Patients with disease control (CR+PR+SD) at day 85 post-treatment showed higher trough levels of pembrolizumab at days 64 and 85 compared with those with progressive disease (figure 5A). Furthermore, higher pembrolizumab trough levels at day 43 correlated with significantly improved PFS (figure 5B).

Together, these data suggest that increased baseline expression of Gal-3 in the tumor, higher aPD-1 trough levels, and increased circulating PD-1+ CD8+ T cells are all potential biomarkers of response.

DISCUSSION

While aPD-1 monotherapy is used widely in MM, HNSCC, lung cancer, renal cancer, non-melanoma skin cancers, breast cancer, microsatellite instable-high malignancies, and other solid tumors, cures are infrequent, and, depending on the cancer diagnosis and prior lines of therapy, the probability of response is only 20%-40%. Currently, aCTLA-4+aPD-1 is the most effective immunotherapy combination for MM and renal cell cancer and has significant activity in other solid tumors including lung cancer. Although combination therapy enhances survival as compared with aCTLA-4 or aPD-1 monotherapy, cures remain infrequent and toxicity is significant. Thus, novel therapeutic strategies, particularly those targeting distinct mechanisms of suppression are needed to improve outcomes. Our preclinical studies revealed that blockade of the immunosuppressive molecule, Gal-3, enhanced the antitumor efficacy of checkpoint inhibitors and T-cell agonists (manuscript submitted). These data provided the rationale for our current phase I clinical trial, in which we explored the safety of a Gal-3 inhibitor (belapectin; GR-MD-02) plus pembrolizumab in patients with MM or HNSCC.

We observed objective responses in 50% of patients with advanced and heavily pretreated MM and in 33% of patients with HNSCC. The objective response rates to pembrolizumab monotherapy in randomized studies in patients with advanced melanoma ranges from 21% in patients who have had prior therapy to 39.1% in patients who had not received prior systemic immunotherapy.42 43 Additionally, 3/14 (21.4%) melanoma patients in this study ultimately achieved a CR (figure 1C), which compares favorably to historical controls. The objective response of pembrolizumab monotherapy in HNSCC cancer is 15%44-45 and while we observed responses in 2/6 (33%) HNSCC patients, the small sample size in the current study precludes any conclusions regarding the potential increased efficacy of belapectin+pembrolizumab in this setting. There was no significant toxicity from the combination of belapectin+pembrolizumab (table 2). We did not reach DLT of belapectin within the range of doses investigated in this study. We have chosen a belapectin dose of 4 mg/kg for further study as it was well-tolerated, showed clinical and immunological effects in conjunction with pembrolizumab, and did not add to the adverse events associated with pembrolizumab. In the Keynote-006 study, which investigated the outcomes of patients with advanced melanoma after pembrolizumab, 76% of...
patients had treatment-related adverse events and 12% of patients had grade 3–5 immune-mediated adverse events compared with 0% with belapectin+pembrolizumab.46 We hypothesize that belapectin therapy may reduce toxicity by decreasing M2 macrophage polarization, thereby reducing inflammation that could lead to immune-mediated hepatic, pulmonary, gastrointestinal, or rheumatological toxicity. We acknowledge the inherent limitations associated with phase I clinical trials including the lack of a control arm and are planning a larger randomized placebo-controlled phase II study comparing belapectin-pembrolizumab with pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with advanced MM or HNSCC to better define the toxicity, antitumor activity, and immune changes associated with the combination.

Our comprehensive immune monitoring of peripheral blood revealed a significantly increased frequency of effector memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and in their activation status (Ki-67+CD4+, ICOS+CD4+, Ki-67+CD8+) in responders following belapectin-pembrolizumab therapy (figure 2). One other report described increased CD8+ T-cell-specific Ki-67 and ICOS expression following aPD-1 therapy. Specifically, the authors found increased Ki-67+PD-1+ICOS+ CD8+ T cells post-treatment in the peripheral blood of up to 80% of patients with NSCLC that derived clinical benefit from aPD-1. In contrast, they found reduced or absent CD8+ T-cell responses in 70% of patients with progressive disease.49 50 We have additional studies underway to define the effector phenotype and tumor reactivity of the proliferating cells and to determine whether the phenotypes observed among circulating T cell mirrors the local response within the tumor. Specifically, we plan to investigate the immunological signature (ie, protein, RNA expression) of leukocytes from paired blood/tumor specimens, which will add critical insight into the specificity of the tumor-reactive T cells as well as potential differences in their transcriptional signature that may be associated with clinical benefit.

We also observed a marked decrease in circulating M-MDSCs within responding patients compared with non-responding patients (figure 3), suggesting that combination therapy limited the expansion of this immunosuppressive population, which can suppress T-cell activation via cytokine secretion (eg, IL-10) and/or metabolic alterations (eg, Arg1) within the tumor microenvironment (TME).20 50 A correlation between increased levels of MDSCs and worse outcomes has been reported in melanoma patients receiving checkpoint blockade with ipi (aCTLA-4). In these patients, the baseline percentage of circulating MDSCs inversely correlated with response to aCTLA-4 and OS.51–53 Limited data exist for the effect of pembrolizumab on MDSCs. One study has shown that the baseline percentage of circulating MDSCs inversely correlated with response and OS in melanoma patients treated with nivolumab. However, all these patients had received CTLA-4 blockade prior to nivolumab, which complicates interpretation of these data.54 To the best of our knowledge, the relationship between percent M-MDSCs and response has not been observed in patients who received pembrolizumab monotherapy. We observed that patients achieving clinical response generally exhibited stable levels or reduced M-MDSCs following pembrolizumab+belapectin treatment, suggesting that belapectin may inhibit immune suppression by M-MDSCs.

In a recent report, high Gal-3 expression correlated with a poor response to PD-1 blockade in a small cohort of NSCLC patients with high baseline PD-L1 expression.20 However, reanalysis of tumor RNA-seq data showed that Gal-3 expression did not influence the effect of PD-1 blockade in melanoma (online supplemental figure 3). In our study, we observed a significant correlation between increased tumor-specific Gal-3 expression and baseline PD-1+ T cells and favorable clinical response (figure 4E). We also analyzed serum levels of pembrolizumab post-treatment and observed a correlation between increased trough levels of pembrolizumab and favorable clinical responses in patients treated with belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy (figure 5). These data are consistent with previous reports of the correlation between increased serum trough aPD-1 mAb levels, including pembrolizumab and nivolumab, with clinical response.40 41 55 The association between reduced drug clearance and clinical response is likely a general feature of checkpoint inhibitors and not specific to pembrolizumab. Although the underlying mechanisms remain unclear, there are at least two proposed interpretations for this inverse clearance–response relationship: (1) an exposure-outcome relationship (cause); and (2) a reflection of an underlying patient-specific condition, such as metabolic state (effect).40 55–57 The first possibility (causal relationship) has a clinically important implication because it suggests that increased dosing may improve clinical outcome. However, Turner et al observed the same inverse clearance–response relation in both the 2 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg cohorts, suggesting that this is probably not the case.40 The second interpretation argues that a patient’s metabolic state determines the rate of clearance such that a positive response may improve their metabolic state and, in turn, reduce the rate of clearance. Of note, we did not observe any differences in the distribution of trough pembrolizumab concentrations among the three dosing regimens, suggesting that belapectin did not influence the pharmacokinetics of pembrolizumab (data not shown). Together, these data suggest that increased trough levels of pembrolizumab may be a potential biomarker for clinical outcome.

In summary, these data demonstrate that belapectin-pembrolizumab therapy is safe, associated with increased T-cell activation, limited expansion of M-MDSCs, and favorable clinical responses. We propose that in the absence of therapy, PD-L1 and Gal-3 contribute to M2-macrophage polarization and reduced CD8+ T-cell recruitment to the tumor site (figure 6). In the presence of PD-1 blockade, CD8+ T cells can be reinvigorated, but suppressed due to other inhibitory signals. In contrast, combination belapectin-pembrolizumab therapy enables
T-cell activation in the presence of a more proinflammatory TME capable of supporting T-cell effector function and recruitment, and subsequently tumor regression. Most of the patients who volunteered for this study had progression after CTLA-4 and/or PD-1 blockade, BRAF-targeted therapy, or chemoradiotherapy. In this group of heavily pretreated patients, we report durable objective responses with toxicity that was less than anticipated with pembrolizumab monotherapy. Comprehensive immune profiling revealed candidate biomarkers of immune response including tumor-specific Gal-3 expression and PD-1+ effector memory T cells that appeared to enrich for patients more likely to respond to belapectin+pembrolizumab treatment. Furthermore, mass spectrometry analysis revealed that trough levels of pembrolizumab in the serum could also serve as a potential biomarker for clinical outcome. These data provide a strong rationale to perform a randomized placebo-controlled phase II clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy and immune changes of belapectin+pembrolizumab versus pembrolizumab in patients with MM or HNSCC.

Flow cytometry

Whole blood immune profiling assays were conducted as previously described. Briefly, heparinized whole blood was stained with a cocktail of antibodies to identify T-cell subsets as well as monocytic MDSCs. Stained cells were then incubated with BD FACS lysing solution (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) followed by washing. For intracellular antigens (eg, Ki-67, granzyme B, and perforin), cells were permeabilized with the Permeabilizing Solution 2 (BD Biosciences) followed by incubation with staining antibodies. Samples were then acquired with a BD LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed with FlowJo version 10 (BD Biosciences). The levels of activation (ICOS), proliferation (Ki-67), and functional (granzyme B, perforin) markers were assessed on effector memory (CD45RA-CCR7) CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subsets (online supplemental figure 4). Monocytic MDSCs were defined as lineage (CD3, CD7, CD19, and CD20) negative, CD15+CD11b+CD33+CD14+HLA-DRlow and PMN-MDSCs as lineage (CD3, CD7, CD19, and CD20) negative and CD15+CD11b+CD33+CD14+HLA-DRlow (online supplemental figure 5). The frequency of MDSCs within the lymphocyte plus monocyte gate was used to assess their relationship to treatment effect. Absolute numbers of MDSCs were enumerated using values obtained from complete blood count.

No treatment

Immune suppression
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Anti-PD-1

Figure 6 Proposed mechanisms of belapectin+pembrolizumab therapy. The immunosuppressive TME impedes CD8+ T cells through PD-1/PD-L1 and Gal-3 (left). Anti-PD-1 alleviates PD-L1 suppression, but Gal-3 still inhibits the effector function of CD8+ T cells, resulting in limited immune activation (middle). Dual PD-L1 and Gal-3 blockade boosts CD8+ T cells, reduces MDSCs, and repolarizes M2→M1 macrophages, augmenting antitumor immunity (right). Belapectin (GR-MD-02), galectin-3 inhibitor; Gal-3, galectin-3; MDSCs, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; TME, tumor microenvironment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical trial

A single institution phase I study was conducted at the Earle A. Chiles Research Institute, Providence Portland Medical Center (PPMC), Providence Cancer Institute. The main eligibility criteria were patients>18 years old, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status 0–2 and advanced MM or HNSCC with measurable or assessable sites of disease. Patients had normal hematologic, hepatic, and renal function before treatment. Exclusion criteria included active infection, need for chronic steroids (above replacement physiological doses) or active autoimmune disease. There were no restrictions for prior systemic therapies or for brain metastases as long as they were stable or improved after local therapy (surgery and/or stereotactic radiation).

After enrollment, patients were assigned consecutively to treatment cohorts. The dose levels of belapectin investigated were 2, 4, and 8 mg/kg administered IV over 60 min every 3 weeks x five doses with infusions completed 60 min before pembrolizumab infusions. The pembrolizumab dose was fixed at 200 mg IV administered over 60 min every 3 weeks for up to 17 doses. CT or PET CT imaging was obtained at baseline (within 28 days for treatment start), at day 85 and then every 3 months thereafter in patients who continued protocol therapy. Patients with clinical benefit (defined as a CR or PR or SD using RECIST V.1.1 criteria) at day 85 could continue pembrolizumab monotherapy for up to 17 cycles (1 year of therapy) assuming documentation of ongoing response and no DLT. Peripheral blood samples for immune response were obtained on days 1, 22, 43, 64, and 85 of study participation.

The primary objective of the trial was to determine a safe dose of GR-MD-02 used in combination with pembrolizumab 200 mg IV every 3 weeks. Secondary objectives were exploratory including measuring the response rate to combined therapy with GR-MD-02 and pembrolizumab in patients with MM and HNSCC after disease progression on standard therapy, and to assess the immunological activity of GR-MD-02 in combination with pembrolizumab by measuring CD4+ T cells with a memory phenotype, CD8+ T cells with effector phenotype, tumor-specific T cells using autologous and/or HLA-matched tumor when available, examine the composition of the tumor immune infiltrate from tumor biopsies (when feasible).

Open access
Multiplex ELISA
Levels of serum cytokines and chemokines pretreatment and post-treatment were measured in triplicate using a Cytokine/Chemokine/Growth Factor 45-Plex Human ProcartaPlex Panel 1 kit (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) and Luminex 200 (Austin, TX).

Multiplex immunohistochemistry (mIHC)
Five micrometer sections from pretreatment formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor biopsies were prepared and stained using the PerkinElmer Opal Kit as previously described.59 mIHC was used to examine the presence and subtypes of leukocytes as well as PD-L1 expression in tumor samples and analyzed by multiplex imaging. Slides were deparaffinized in xylene and alcohol. For fluorescence microscopy, Tris-EDTA pH 9.0 was used for retrieving antigens in microwave oven for 15 min. 3% H2O2 was used to block endogenous peroxidase. Slides were blocked with antibody diluent/block (PerkinElmer) before staining with the following antigens: CD3 (clone SP7; Spring Bioscience), PD-L1 (clone E1L3N; Cell Signaling), CD8 (clone SP16; Spring Bioscience), FoxP3 (clone 236A/E7; Abcam), CD163 (clone MRQ-26; Ventana), galectin-3 (clone 9C4; Cell Marque), SOX10 (BC43; Biocare Medical) for melanoma, and pan-lymocyte (clone AE1/AE3 clone; DAKO) for HNSCC followed by the antirabbit secondary RHRP520G/MHRP520G (Biocare Medical). The signals were amplified using TSA-conjugated OPAL fluorophores (PerkinElmer). Citrate buffer (1X, pH 6.0) was used to strip primary and secondary antibodies and 3% H2O2 was used to kill exogenous horseradish peroxide before staining the next marker. Slides were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Prolong mounting medium (ThermoFisher) and visualized using the Vectra Microscopy imaging system (Akoya Biosciences). Representative regions were captured at 200× magnification using Vectra Software and were analyzed using inForm Image Analysis software (Akoya Biosciences). A total of 3–10 regions of interest per slide were selected for analysis following Pathology review.

Monitoring serum pembrolizumab concentration
The serum concentration of pembrolizumab was measured by a nano-surface and molecular-orientation limited proteolysis (nSMOL) assay,60 which uses resin beads containing mAb-capturing Protein A-coated nanoparticles for the selective cleavage of the Fab region of mAbs in the presence of trypsin-immobilized nanobeads for detection of signature peptides by a multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry (MRM-MS). Briefly, a peptide structure of tryptic pembrolizumab mAb peptides was identified by a high-resolution microflow liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight MS (Nextera Mikros LC-QTOF, LCMS-9503, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), and fragment ions were assigned using an in-house Mascot server and Distiller with pembrolizumab amino acid sequence information (MatrixScience, London, UK). For nSMOL reactions, pembrolizumab in serum was captured by Protein A resin. Protein A resin was recovered on an Ultrafree filter, washed twice with PBS, and substituted with 25 mM tris–HCl (pH 8.0). The nSMOL reaction was carried out by mixing with trypsin-immobilized FG-beads (nSMOL Antibody BA, Shimadzu) with gentle vortexing at 50°C for 5 hour in saturated vapor atmosphere. After proteolysis, the reaction was stopped by adding formic acid at a final concentration of 0.5%. The peptide solution was collected and analyzed by MRM-MS using triple-quadrupole LCMS-8060 (Shimadzu). The signature peptide LLYLASLSEGVPAR from pembrolizumab complementarity-determining region (CDR-2, L-chain) and the P14R internal standard were simultaneously quantified with parent m/z 882.6 (2+) to fragment m/z 343.2 (y3, l+) (retention time 3.4 min).

Reanalysis of bulk RNA-Seq data
Data set published by Gide et al62 were downloaded from the SRA (PRJEB23709). We have quantified gene expression (TPM) using salmon<0.12.0.63 The transcript counts were summarized to gene level using tximport.64 Data normalization followed by differential gene expression analysis was carried out using edgeR.65

Statistics
The following statistical analyses were done using Prism V.8 (GraphPad Software; La Jolla, CA) or R V.3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020). The difference between non-responders and responders for individual markers were evaluated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test or Welch’s t-test. To compare the markers between pretreatment and post-treatment, Wilcoxon signed-rank test or paired t-test was used. We further performed Classification and Regression Tree analyses using statistical R package “rpart”, to determine hierarchically how biomarkers fall into homogeneous subgroups, and then evaluated the association of subgroups with response using Fisher exact test.56 PFS was calculated from the time of treatment to progression or death. Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank test was used for PFS analyses. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.
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