Oncolytic adenovirus and gene therapy with EphA2-BiTE for the treatment of pediatric high-grade gliomas
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ABSTRACT

Background Pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGGs) are among the most common and incurable malignant neoplasms of childhood. Despite aggressive, multimodal treatment, the outcome of children with high-grade gliomas has not significantly improved over the past decades, prompting the development of innovative approaches.

Methods To develop an effective treatment, we aimed at improving the suboptimal antitumor efficacy of oncolytic adenoviruses (OAs) by testing the combination with a gene-therapy approach using a bispecific T-cell engager (BiTE) directed towards the erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma A2 receptor (EphA2), conveyed by a replication-incompetent adenoviral vector (EphA2 adenovirus (EAd)). The combinatorial approach was tested in vitro, in vivo and thoroughly characterized at a molecular level.

Results After confirming the relevance of EphA2 as target in pHGGs, documenting a significant correlation with worse clinical outcome of the patients, we showed that the proposed strategy provides significant EphA2-BiTE amplification and enhanced tumor cell apoptosis, on coculture with T cells. Moreover, T-cell activation through an agonistic anti-CD28 antibody further increased the activation/proliferation profiles and functional response against infected tumor cells, inducing eradication of highly resistant, primary pHGG cells. The gene-expression analysis of tumor cells and T cells, after coculture, revealed the importance of both EphA2-BiTE and costimulation in the proposed system. These in vitro observations translated into significant tumor control in vivo, in both subcutaneous and a more challenging orthotopic model.

Conclusions The combination of OA and EphA2-BiTE gene therapy strongly enhances the antitumor activity of OA, inducing the eradication of highly resistant tumor cells, thus supporting the clinical translation of the approach.

INTRODUCTION

Along with embryonic tumors, high-grade gliomas (HGGs) are the most common malignant tumors of the brain in the pediatric age, encompassing glioblastoma (GBM), anaplastic astrocytoma and diffuse pontine glioma. Despite the progress in understanding the biology of pediatric high-grade glioma (pHGG) and the use of intensive, multimodal treatment approaches, including, more recently, targeted therapies, the outcome of these patients remains dismal, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) lower than 20% and invalidating long-term sequelae. Innovative, more effective and less toxic treatments are tremendously needed for these children.

In the past decades, immunotherapy proved to be a promising strategy for cancer treatment. A sophisticated form of immunotherapy is represented by oncolytic viruses: thanks to an increased knowledge of the molecular and biological characteristics of some pathogenic viruses and of the host immune response, it has become possible to engineer viruses in order to turn them into anticancer drugs. Adenoviruses (Ads) have been largely studied both as gene therapy vectors to transfer genes in mammalian cells, or as oncolytic adenoviruses (OAs), genetically engineered to selectively replicate in and lyse tumor cells, sparing normal tissues. In preclinical studies, OA showed very promising results; however, the clinical translation did not reach a comparable efficacy. Despite confirming the safety of the approach, only local and transient responses were demonstrated after intratumoral administration and results on intravenous infusion were largely unsatisfactory, highlighting the need for refinement of the approach.
The combination of OA and gene therapy with an immunostimulatory gene, delivered by a second, replication-incompetent vector, represents a promising strategy.

We previously reported that the combination of OA with an incompetent Ad encoding for a suicide gene can significantly enhance the antitumor activity, maintaining the safety profile of the approach. We now propose to enhance the efficacy of OA through the combination with an Ad encoding for a bispesic T-cell engager (BiTE) redirecting T cells against a selected tumor-associated antigen (TAA). BiTEs are chimeric proteins that combine the single-chain variable fragment domains (scFvs) of two different antibodies recognizing, respectively, an epitope on the CD3ε of the T-cell receptor (TCR) complex and the selected TAA. The formation of an immunocytolytic synapse between T cells and tumor elements, via BiTEs, leads to the activation of the T cells and therefore promotes killing of the TAA-expressing tumor cell.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning**

The construction of the EphA2-BiTE cassette was previously described. Specifically, the EphA2-specific engager consists of two scFvs (4H5 for EphA2 and OKT3 for the CD3) connected with a glycine (G) serine (S) linker ((G4S)3). This cassette was fused via a 2A-sequence to truncated human CD19 (ACD19). Recombinant Ad 5F35 was constructed using the Adeno-X Expression System 1 from Clontech (Mountain View, California, USA).

**Virus production**

The OA (Ad5Δ24) was kindly provided by Dr Cerullo (University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland). AdΔCD19 was produced by Baylor College of Medicine’s Vector Development Lab following standard operating procedures (www.bcm.edu/vector).

**Cell culture**

Human GBM cancer cell lines U-373 MG and U87 were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and American Type Culture Collection, respectively. The cell lines were cultured following standard procedure, available in the online supplemental data.

**Adenoviral infection, transduction and coculture**

U373, U87 or pHGG cells were plated in a six-well tissue culture plates at 0.15×10⁶ cells/well and after 24 hours were infected with OA 5 vp/cell or EAd 1000 vp/cell or the combination of both viruses as previously described. For the in vitro evaluation of the impact of the combined infection on T-cell activation, tumor cells were infected with OA 5 vp/cell and EAd 250 vp/cell and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 72 hours after infection. For coculture, 24 hours after infection, effector cells were plated at the 10:1 effector:target (E:T) ratios. At day 5 of coculture, both residual tumor and T cells were assessed by flow cytometry (FACS) analysis.

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis**

Formalin-fixed pHGG samples were cut in ribbons of 40μm and processed to extract total RNA using the QiAamp RNeasy formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Hundred ng of RNA were tested by one-step real-time PCR (RT-PCR) using the TaqMan probe for EphA2 (HS00171656_m1, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA). The difference in cycle threshold values of EphA2 was normalized to ACTB (HS01060665_g1, Life Technologies) and the fold change in expression was calculated relative to normal peripheral blood mononuclear cells, considered as negative controls using 12K quantitative PCR (qPCR) QuantiStudio Flex Real-Time PCR system (Life Technologies). qPCR was also used to determine the gene expression of the E1A and the production of EphA2-BiTE. After 6 hours, 24 hours and 5 days, infected cells were collected and DNA extracted using QiAamp DNA mini kit (QIAGEN), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA samples were analyzed with specific primers (table 1) by SYBR Green technology (Life Technologies).

**Gene expression**

Cocultures of T lymphocytes and infected tumor cells were collected after 5 days. Tumor cells and T lymphocytes were then isolated via depletion strategy using anti-CD45 MicroBeads (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) to obtain tumor cells and anti-B7H3 PE (BD Bioscience), followed by anti-PE MicroBeads (Miltenyi) staining for the T-cell population. RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) and reverse transcribed with the cDNA SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies). Gene expression was then tested using TaqMan OpenArray Pathways Panels (Life Technologies) using 12K qPCR QuantiStudio Flex Real-Time PCR system. Tumor cells were analyzed with the ‘human cancer panel’, while T cells were analyzed with ‘human signal transduction and inflammation panels’.

**Xenograft mouse model for in vivo studies**

A detailed description of the mouse experiments is reported in online supplemental figure data.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are summarized as mean or median±SD or ±SEM whenever indicated, depending on the characteristics of the analyzed samples. Student’s t-test (two-sided) was used to determine statistically significant differences between
samples, with a p value of <0.05 indicating a significant difference. When multiple comparison analyses were required, statistical significance was evaluated by a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. The patient survival data according to EphA2 expression were analyzed using R2 (http://r2.amc.nl) with a median cut-off modus.

For the RT-PCR-based expression profiles, we used the threshold cycle (Ct) difference versus endogenous control and reference sample for normalization with inverted sign (−ΔΔCt) as expression values (ie, the log2 transformation of RT-PCR relative expression quantification). For each gene in the gene-expression analysis, a linear regression model—accounting for sample variability—was used. P values for subgroups of therapy or presence/absence of the costimulation molecule were estimated by one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference. Family-wise error rate (FWER) was controlled at gene level. Only genes with at least three samples without NAs for each considered subgroup were retained, and the remaining missing values (~1.7%) were imputed (k nearest-neighbor algorithm).

The mouse survival data were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and Fisher’s exact test to measure statistically significant differences. Mice were matched based on the tumor signal for control and treatment groups before infusion. To compare the growth of tumors overtime, bioluminescence signal intensity was log transformed and compared using a t-test. We assumed a bioluminescence signal of >10^10 as an index of murine sacrifice. Graph generation and statistical analyses were performed using Prism V.4.0d software (GraphPad, La Jolla, California, USA). Significant p values are shown in the graphs using the following reference: * is used for p values of 0.01–0.05; ** for p values of 0.001–0.009; *** for p values of 0.0001–0.0009; and **** for p values of <0.0001.

RESULTS

EphA2 is highly expressed in pediatric gliomas and is associated with a worse patient outcome

To determine the relevance of the selected antigen EphA2 in HGG of the pediatric population, we evaluated its expression on primary pediatric glioma samples (WHO grading I–IV) obtained from our Pediatric Hematology–Oncology Department. The expression of EphA2 was tested first by immunohistochemistry (figure 1A) by an institutional expert neuropathologist. A clear correlation between the higher antigen expression and the grade of malignancy of the tumor was observed (figure 1A). To further validate our findings with a quantitative test, EphA2 antigen expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR, testing RNA extracted from pediatric gliomas samples, both low-grade glioma (LGG, WHO grading I–II) (n=27) and HGGs (WHO grading III–IV) (n=23) (figure 1B). This evaluation revealed an overall higher expression of the antigen in the HGG group as compared with the LGG group (p=0.0039). Moreover, when we correlated the EphA2 expression with the progression-free survival (PFS) and OS of the patients, we observed a significant correlation between the high expression of the antigen and worse outcome of the patients (p=0.033 and p=0.020, PFS and OS, respectively) (figure 1C,D).

Combination of OA and EAd significantly enhances the amplification of the transgene EphA2-BiTE

One of the main advantages of the combinatorial approach relies on the ability of OA to provide the replication machinery needed to amplify the transgene vehiculated by the Ad, therefore exploiting the advantages of each single viral platform (figure 1E). To validate this hypothesis, we first evaluated the replication of OA by infecting two commercial HGG lines (U373 and U87) with OA alone (5 vp/cell), EAd alone (1000 vp/cell) or with both viruses (at the same vp/cell). At day 5 postinfection, an interval sufficient to allow the replication of OA, we analyzed the level of the E1A gene (carried only by the OA) by qRT-PCR in infected tumor cells. No difference was observed between the OA and the OA+EAd conditions, confirming that the coinfection does not impair the replication of the OA in any of the analyzed cell lines (figure 1F). We then evaluated the level of amplification of our EAd in all experimental conditions, analyzing the expression of the transgene EphA2-BiTE both by qRT-PCR, using specific pairs of primers (online supplemental table 1) (figure 1G), and by FC, measuring the level of the truncated CD19, reporter of the EphA2-BiTE (figure 1H). Both techniques showed that the combined therapy is able to significantly amplify the expression of EphA2-BiTE, in both cell lines, as compared with the treatment with EAd alone. OA+EAd enhances the antitumor response in long-term coculture with primary T cells, and further costimulation via αCD28 is required to eradicate highly resistant tumor cell lines.

As shown in figure 1E, the proposed combined approach aims at enhancing the antitumor response by the release of the product of the transgene, the EphA2-BiTE, and the subsequent engagement and activation of T cells, thus inducing their cytotoxic activity at the tumor site. To prove this hypothesis, we infected the U373 and U87 cell lines with either OA (5 vp/cell), EAd (1000 vp/cell) or OA+EAd. T cells isolated from healthy donors, were then cocultured with infected tumor cells at 10:1 E:T. After 4 days of coculture, tumor cells were analyzed for the expression of the apoptotic markers 7-aminoactinomycin D and Annexin V by FC. As shown in figure 2A,B the presence of T cells significantly improves the antitumor response only in the presence of the EAd, in both the more sensitive cell line, U373, (p≤0.001) and in U87 (p≤0.01), the latter showing a strong resistance to the oncolytic activity of the OA. Although promising, this effect is suboptimal, especially in the more resistant U87 cells, considering that the in vitro setting largely favors the infection and spread of OA and the interaction with T cells, underlining the need for further activation
of the T-cell cytotoxic potential. Therefore, we included a costimulatory signal by adding a human monoclonal antibody (mAb) activating CD28, as a proof of concept (figure 2C), following the schema reported in figure 2D. By measuring the apoptotic signature through FC, we demonstrated that the addition of αCD28 is able to...
enhance T-cell activation and further improve the anti-tumor efficacy, significantly increasing the apoptosis of the more resistant U87 cells (p<0.01, without vs with αCD28) (figure 2B). Lastly, in order to further validate the efficacy of our strategy in vitro in a more representative tumor model of pHGG, we tested it on four different primary pHGG cell lines, established and characterized by immunofluorescence. The characterization showed a mild positivity for the staminal markers and a marked positivity of the oligondendrocytic and/or glial differentiation markers, confirming that a heterogeneous population of glioma tumor cells had been expanded (figure 2E).
Using the same experimental plan described previously, we observed a strong resistance of primary pHGG cells to the OA (apoptosis: untreated (NT) 10.5%±5.24%, OA 4.45%±1.43%, without T cells). Four-day coculture with T cells, in the presence of EAd, was able to increase the tumor killing, which was further improved by the combination with OA (NT 6.40%±3.81%, OA 8.20%±4.15%, EAd 23.45%±2.10% and OA+EAd 52.20%±29.91%). However, only the introduction of αCD28 was able to significantly improve the antitumor effect of T cells as compared with the coculture in the absence of costimulation, ultimately inducing a relevant killing in the combined condition (EAd: 59.83%±21.52%, p=0023; and OA+EAd: 72.65%±23.99%, p=0049) (figure 2F).

In order to identify a potential mechanism responsible for the different response to the OA observed in the cell lines analyzed, we measured the expression of the coxsackie-adenovirus receptor (CAR) and of CD46 on U87, U373 and pHGG cells by flow cytometry. As shown in figure 2G, the expression of both molecules was lower on U87 than on U373; pHGG cells as well show a lower expression than U373, although a relevant heterogeneity was observed. To confirm the hypothesis that the reduced expression of CAR can impact on the resistance to the OA, we also evaluated the rate of infection of the three different cell lines by measuring the expression of the E1A gene by qRT-PCR 6 hours after infection (a timing which enables the full infection of the cells without allowing replication, therefore reflecting the level of infection). As shown in figure 2H, U373 cells were infected by OA significantly more efficiently than U87 and pHGG. Moreover, to further confirm the reduced replication of OA and EAd in pHGG, we also analyzed the level of E1A and BiTE genes by qRT-PCR in infected tumor cells 5 days after infection. As shown in online supplemental figure 1, the replication of both OA and EAd was lower than the most sensitive cell line U373 (figure 1FG).

Treatment with OA+EAd induces memory phenotypes and an increase of activation markers of T cells, further enhanced by αCD28
To further characterize the T-cell response and its role in tumor eradication, we analyzed the phenotype of T cells after 4 days of coculture with tumor cells (either NT or infected with OA alone, EAd alone or the combination OA+EAd). A higher percentage of CD4+ than CD8+ T cells was detected in all the conditions, conserving the ratio detected in peripheral blood (online supplemental figure 2A,B). We then analyzed the memory phenotype and, in the coculture with the more sensitive U373 cells, we observed a modulation in the distribution of the naïve/memory subpopulations across the different conditions. In detail, EAd induces a significant reduction of the naïve population (NT vs EAd p=0.037, OA vs EAd or OA+EAd p=0.015 and 0.012, respectively) and an increase of memory (central memory (CM) or effector memory (EM)) subpopulations (figure 3A; left panel, black bars). Furthermore, in the OA+EAd conditions, we observed a low percentage of terminally differentiated (ET) cells, suggesting the persistence of potential antitumor activity of the residual T cells (p=0.026) (figure 3A, left superior panel). The addition of the αCD28 mAb did not modify this pattern and increased the effect observed (figure 3A, left inferior panel). A similar, although less significant, naïve/memory distribution was observed in the coculture with the more resistant U87 cells (figure 3A, right panels) and with primary pHGG cells (figure 3C).

We then further characterized the T-cell phenotype, analyzing the activation and exhaustion profiles. In the coculture with U87, EAd induces a significant increase of the activation and proliferation markers CD25 (p=0.009), CD95 (p=0.001) and Ki67 (p=0.02) compared with OA, further enhanced in the combined OA+EAd condition (CD25, p=0.006; CD95, p=0.0001; and Ki67, p=0.03) (figure 3B; left panels, dark gray bars). In the presence of αCD28, although the overall level of exhausted cells remained low, the exhaustion profile increased significantly in the presence of EAd (OA vs EAd/OA+EAd, p=0.031 and p=0.020, respectively) (figure 3B; left panels, light gray bars). In coculture with U87 cells, the activating and proliferative potentials were driven mainly by EAd, without a significant contribution of the combination with OA (figure 3B; right panels, dark gray bars). Moreover, the addition of αCD28 confirmed the same trend, although abrogating the statistical differences between the conditions (figure 3B; right panels, light gray bars). In order to evaluate in vitro the effect of the combinatorial treatment on the activation of the T cells, we next infected U87 with a reduced dose of EAd and included a washing step between the infection and the evaluation of the T-cell phenotype, reproducing a more challenging and realistic scenario. As shown in online supplemental figure 3C, in the combinatorial approach, T cells had a higher expression of CD95 on both CD4+ and CD8+ cells, whereas CD25 expression was significantly more intense on the cytotoxic CD8+ population in the combined treatment than on infection with EAd only.

Lastly, in coculture with primary pHGGs cells, EAd increased significantly the expression of CD25 (p=0.01) and CD95 (p=0.04) in the presence of αCD28, as compared with OA, this effect being further enhanced in the combined OA+EAd condition (p=0.006) (figure 3D). Also, in this condition, we confirmed a mild, but significant, increase of the exhaustion profile.

Using the more sensitive U373 cell line, which revealed the most relevant differences between the experimental groups, and primary pHGG cells, we performed a deeper analysis, studying the contribution of both the CD4 and the CD8 subpopulations. As shown in online supplemental figure 2C,D, both populations recapitulated what had been observed in CD3+ cells in the naïve/memory and activation profiles. Moreover, both CD4+ and CD8+ αCD28
Figure 3  T-cell characterization after coculture with infected tumor cells. (A) T-cell naive/memory distribution, expressed as percentage of total CD3⁺ cells and (B) activation/exhaustion profiles analyzed, by FC, after coculture with NT or infected (OA, EAd or OA+EAd) U373 (left panels) and U87 cell lines (right panels), without (upper graphs, A; dark gray bars, B) or with (lower graphs, A; light gray bars, B) αCD28. Data of T cells from 10 healthy donors are expressed as mean±SEM. (C) T-cell naive/memory distribution, expressed as percentage of total CD3⁺ cells, and (D) activation/exhaustion profiles, analyzed by FC, after coculture with NT or infected pHGG cell lines, without (upper graphs) or with (lower graphs) αCD28. Data from four donors are expressed as median±SEM. (E,F) Cytokine release in supernatant after 24 hours of coculture with U87 or pHGG cell lines. Data are expressed as median±SEM. EAd, EphA2 adenovirus; NT, untreated; OA, oncolytic adenovirus.

T cells show an increase of the expression of activation markers, on engagement with EAd, and recapitulated the significant contribution of αCD28 (online supplemental figure 3A,B).

Functional activation of T cells is driven by EAd, increases in the combined condition and is further enhanced by αCD28

In view of the milder activation and proliferation of T cells and the contribution of αCD28 observed in the coculture
with U87 and primary tumor cells, we further analyzed the functionality of T cells in these conditions by testing the Th1, Th2 and Th17 cytokines released in the supernatant 24 hours after coculture. As shown in figure 3E,F, we observed that EAd, either alone or in combination with OA, drove the functional response of T cells in coculture with U87, with a higher release of proinflammatory cytokines, including interferon gamma (IFN-γ), interleukin (IL)-2, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha, as well as some anti-inflammatory cytokines, especially IL-10, compared with NT and OA alone (online supplemental table 2). The same trend was confirmed in the coculture with primary pHGG cells (online supplemental table 2). Lastly, the addition of αCD28 significantly enhanced all the mentioned effects in both cocultures, with either U87 or pHGG (figure 3E,F).

OA+EAd and αCD28 modulate several molecular pathways that sustain the antitumor activity mainly by enhancing T-cell activation and functionality

In order to further investigate the impact of the treatment at a molecular level and identify the pathways involved in our approach, we performed a focused gene-expression analysis both on tumor cells and on T lymphocytes after coculture and treatment, on the selection of each cell component.

On the tumor side, we clearly observed a clustering of the gene expression of NT and EAd-treated tumor cells on one side and of OA- and OA+EAd-treated conditions on the other side (figure 4A). However, further analysis of the modulation of the gene expression revealed a finer pattern, with a significantly wider modulation when comparing the combined infection versus the EAd or the NT conditions, as well as in the OA-infected tumor cells versus EAd or NT (figure 4B). When analyzed for the presence of T cells, the main driver of a significant gene modulation in tumor cells is the presence of lymphocytes, with or without αCD28 (figure 4C). Therefore, we further characterized the peculiar sets of modulated genes in the tumor cells and the factors impacting on these modulations using the linear regression model coupled with ANOVA test. In detail, this analysis revealed the main role of EAd and αCD28 in driving a powerful modulation of relevant pathways, with particular reference to the cellular response to stress and external stimuli, to the interleukin signaling as well as to the reduction of transforming growth factor beta signaling (figure 4D, upper panel, and online supplemental figure 4). The combined infection, and even more in presence of αCD28, has a strong impact on the modulation of genes of the pathways involved in the signaling by interleukins in tumor cells and in the organization of extracellular matrix (figure 4D, lower panel).

On T lymphocytes, the analysis underlined the role of EAd on the modulation of several genes involved in both signal transduction and inflammation (such as the overexpression of IFN-γ and IL1R2 gene), this phenomenon being further implemented in the combined treatment with OA+EAd (figure 4E). The gene-ontology analysis and the deeper analysis of both upregulated and downregulated genes in T cells revealed how the combined treatment significantly modulates the expression of several relevant pathways in T cells, inducing, in particular: (1) an activation of the T-cell effector function and of Th1 cytokines, especially IFN-γ (ie, upregulation of IFNG, FAS, and FASLG genes); (2) an overexpression of the gene of the costimulatory molecule CD86; (3) an increase in the production of chemokines capable of recruiting T cells and other immune cells at the tumor microenvironment (ie, CCL20, CXCL9, CCL1, and CCR1); (4) a reduction of the transcriptional regulation of the regulatory T cell differentiation by RUNX1, induced by OA and attenuated in the combined treatment; and (5) the activation of cell replication, as shown by the upregulation of genes of the cell cycle (mainly driven by EAd but amplified in the combination with OA) (online supplemental figure 5A). Moreover, the global analysis on the contribution of OA and EAd interestingly revealed that the OA treatment is able to downregulate many genes involved in inflammation pathways, whereas the presence of EAd induces an upregulation of several relevant genes of the transduction and inflammatory pathways (online supplemental figure 5B). The administration of αCD28 modulates the genes involved in transduction and inflammation with different impact in the different treatment conditions (figure 4F,G). Indeed, the effect of αCD28 depends on the presence of BiTE, encoded by EAd and amplified in the combined condition, being essential in enhancing the modulation of the aforementioned genes and activated pathways induced by the engager (online supplemental figures 6 and 4F,G). Of note, the introduction in the experimental conditions of the costimulation is able to upregulate significantly the pathways of the immune system and of signaling by cytokines, strongly enhancing the counteracting effect of the EAd over the immune-suppressive effect shown by OA alone (online supplemental figure 6).

OA+EAd induces a strong and persistent in vivo tumor control after infusion of T cells and αCD28

To validate the antitumor efficacy of our combinatorial approach OA+EAd in vivo, we established two different murine models, using female immunodeficient NSG mice: a subcutaneous and an orthotopic model. In both settings, a gene-modified U373 cell line expressing the Fire Fly Luciferase (FF.Luc), in frame with green fluorescent protein (GFP), was used to follow the tumor growth over time by bioluminescence imaging. As shown in figure 5A, in the subcutaneous model, FFLuc/GFP-U373 cells were implanted in the right flank of the mouse. After engraftment, animals were treated intratumorally with either PBS, OA (6×10⁶ vp/100µL) or EAd (6×10⁶/100µL) or OA+EAd (6×10⁶ vp+6×10⁶ vp/100µL), respectively. Then, mice received an intravenous infusion of viruses supplemented with αCD28 followed by a further
αCD28 intraperitoneal administration. Tumor growth was measured weekly with the Xenogen-IVIS Imaging System. As shown in figure 5B,C and in online supplemental figure 7A, the combinatorial treatment OA+EAd induces an earlier tumor control as compared with the

**Figure 4** Gene-expression analysis on the contribution of the combined therapy, of T cells and of the αCD28 costimulation molecule. (A) Heatmap analysis of enriched genes involved in tumor pathways, on U87 tumor cells, either NT or after infection with either OA or EAD or OA+EAd, and in the presence or absence of the αCD28 costimulation molecule; three biological replicates for each treatment condition are shown. Evaluation of the phenotypical variation based on differentially expressed enriched genes in tumor cells in the different treatment conditions (NT, OA, EAd and OA+EAd) (B) and T cells with or without αCD28 (C). Pathway enrichment based on gene ontology of enriched genes involved in tumor pathways in EAd+T and EAd+T+αCD28 (upper, blue) and OA+EAd+T and OA+EAd+T+αCD28 (bottom, green) (D). Variation of genes involved in signal transduction (upper) and inflammation (bottom) on T lymphocytes after coculture with non-infected tumor cells (baseline) versus coculture with OA, EAd or OA+EAd-infected tumor cells (E) and the benefit of the addition of αCD28 costimulation molecule in both transduction-related (F) and inflammation-related (G) genes. Dotted line shows the bisector, while the two parallel solid lines represent the halving or doubling of the expression. EAd, EphA2 adenovirus; NT, untreated; OA, oncolytic adenovirus.
other groups. Moreover, overall, a significantly improved tumor control was observed for the group that received OA+EAd (p<0.0001), representing the only group with a persistent, long-term tumor reduction (figure 5C). We then evaluated the importance of the costimulation in the combined treatment by comparing the tumor control of this treatment group with or without administration of αCD28. As shown in online supplemental figure 7C, in the absence of costimulation, the tumor growth is significantly less controlled than in the group of animals treated with the same viruses and αCD28, as shown by the significantly lower reduction of bioluminescence signal.

Figure 5  In vivo testing of the combinatorial approach in two mouse models of tumor engraftment, namely, subcutaneous and orthotopic. (A) Experimental design of subcutaneous mouse model; (B) bioluminescence signal of the engrafted tumors evaluated by in vivo imaging system over time; three representative mice per group are shown; (C) evaluation of the tumor growth over time, expressed as fold change of the bioluminescence signal of the four groups of treatment (n=24). Data are summarized as mean±SEM. (D) Kaplan-Meier curve estimating the OS. (E) Experimental design of the orthotopic mouse model; (F) bioluminescence signal of the engrafted tumors evaluated by IVIS imaging system over time; three representative mice per group are shown; (G) evaluation of the tumor growth over time, measured through bioluminescence, of the four groups of treatment (n=6 mice/treatment group). Data are summarized as mean±SEM. EAd, EphA2 adenovirus; NT, untreated; OA, oncolytic adenovirus; OS, overall survival.
Overall, this stronger antitumor activity translates into a significantly increased OS for this group of treatment, as shown in figure 5D.

To further characterize the in vivo antitumor activity in a more relevant tumor model, we developed an orthotopic HGG mouse model in which the FF.luc/GFP-U373 cells were stereotaxically implanted in the supratentorial brain region of the mice. Viruses were infused intratumorally 7 days later at the same concentration used in the previous model (figure 5E). Moreover, mice received T cells intratumorally, a second dose of the viruses in the presence of αCD28 intratumorally and, lastly, a second dose of αCD28 intraperitoneally. As shown in figure 5F,G, the bioluminescence reveals a significant tumor reduction with either EAd or OA or OA+EAd, as compared with NT (p<0.0001), but the presence of OA was crucial to provide a more relevant tumor control in this model. Interestingly, the group that received the combined treatment OA+EAd obtained an earlier and stronger tumor control (figure 5F,G and online supplemental figure 7B) and represented the only group maintaining the antitumor response at the latest time point of analysis, whereas the animals treated with either EAd or OA started to lose the response.

**Persistent T-cell activation, without any toxicity, is observed in vivo with the combination OA+EAd and infusion of T cells and αCD28**

Having shown the efficacy of the combined treatment, we further characterized the in vivo response by analyzing the tumor tissues and the persistence and activation of the circulating human T cells.

The H&E analysis of the brain of orthotopically engrafted mice confirmed the antitumorous effect induced by OA+EAd, showing absence or significantly smaller tumors, in the few mice still presenting a residual mass (in red, figure 6A). Moreover, the staining for the human Ki-67 shows highly proliferating residual tumors in the control mice, whereas very low to negative Ki-67 tumor cells were detected in the mice treated with the combined approach.

In the subcutaneous model, we analyzed the human CD45R cells in the peripheral blood by bleeding at two different time points, namely, 40 days (when a relevant difference of tumor signal between the groups began to be evident) and 60 days after treatment. We observed that the T-cell expansion was initially comparable in the OA and OA+EAd groups, probably driven by the recognition of the adenoviral antigens (figure 6B, left panel). However, the expansion persisted further only in the combinatorial approach and was still relevant at day 60 after infusion (figure 6C, left panel). These results correlated with the tumor control observed, that resulted superimposable between the OA and OA+EAd groups until day 40 and then significantly improved in the OA+EAd group.

We then further characterized the expanded T cells by analyzing the activation/exhaustion phenotype of the CD4 and CD8 subpopulations in the OA and OA+EAd groups, by FC. We observed that, despite the expansion of circulating T cells after 40 days in the OA group, the activation of CD4 and CD8 subpopulations was reduced as compared with the combined OA+EAd group, and only a mild exhaustion profile was observed in both groups (figure 6B, right panel). Interestingly, the same trend was preserved at 60 days (figure 6C, right panel). In view of the strong expansion, persistence and activation of T cells, we then aimed at characterizing the impact of the combined treatment on the recruitment and activation of T cells at the tumor site. Therefore, we sacrificed the animals 10 days after treatment and analyzed the tumor-infiltrating human lymphocytes. As shown in figure 6D, we could detect a relevant infiltration of human T cells in the tumor mass only in the combined treatment. Notably, these infiltrated T lymphocytes displayed a strong activated phenotype with a prevalence of CM and EM cells for the CD4+ subpopulation and of EM for the CD8+ T cells (figure 6D).

Lastly, to validate the safety of our treatment, we first evaluated in vitro the potential toxicity of the approach by performing cocultures between infected primary normal cells and T cells, using the same condition tested with tumor cells. In details, we evaluated by flow cytometry the apoptosis of mesenchymal stromal cells for the mesenchymal tissues, CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells, the hematopoietic compartment, and primary normal brain tissue cells. As shown in online supplemental figure 8, no significant increase in the apoptosis was detected against any primary normal cell.

Despite the lack of any sign of mice discomfort, we then analyzed in vivo the morphology of several tissues (brain, heart, intestine, liver, lung, kidney and bladder) for signs of cytopathy induced by the virus by H&E. As shown in figure 6D, no cellular or structural anomalies of the analyzed tissues in OA+EAd were present, with the tissue histology being comparable to the NT condition.

**DISCUSSION**

In order to address the limitations observed in several clinical applications using OA, in this study, we developed a novel promising strategy to treat pHGG by combining OA and gene therapy introducing an immunostimulatory gene, EphA2-BiTE (EAd), delivered by a second replication-incompetent adenoviral vector. The recruitment and redirection of T cells toward the target antigen EphA2 and the induction of their cytotoxic activity reinforce the oncolysis provided by OA, restoring a strong proinflammatory microenvironment. This translates into a significantly better tumor control, thanks to the targeting of those tumors, or parts of the tumor, displaying resistance to the lytic activity of OA. We also clearly show that the introduction of a costimulatory signal is essential in order to produce a significant and persistent tumor control, especially in more stringent models, such as primary pHGG cells.
Similarly to what has been reported for adult HGG, we confirmed the relevance of EphA2 as a glioma-associated antigen also in pHGGs, as shown by the correlation between a high expression and worse clinical outcome of patients. In a translational perspective, targeting of EphA2 appears to be safe since the epitope is more accessible during the division of malignant and not on normal cells, becoming a good candidate target for...
immunotherapies. The rationale for combining an OA with an adenoviral vector is based on the need to overcome the main limitations of each individual platform. As shown in the combinatorial approach, OA allows a significant amplification of the transgene by providing EAd with the required replication machinery. Armed OAs carrying a therapeutic transgene have also been developed; however, we believe that separating oncolysis and gene therapy by the administration of two viral platforms has several advantages.30–32 First, the two viruses express different fibers, exploiting separate ligands for cell entry (CAR and integrins for the OA, CD46 for the EAd), thus allowing broader cell targeting. In the extremely heterogenous mass composing solid tumors, and in particular HGGs, cells which are resistant to infection by the OA can instead be infected by EAd and serve as producer of the BiTE. At the same time, separating the two killing mechanisms (oncolysis and T cell-mediated cytotoxicity) reduces the risk of off-tumor treatment toxicity since a simultaneous coinfection of non-target tissue is less likely. On the contrary, the combination treatment induces high intratumoral amplification of the BiTE, thanks to the selective replication of OA by tumor cells. This leads to engagement and activation of T cells at the tumor site, overcoming the short half-life of BiTE and the limitations to reach the tumor opposed by the blood–brain barrier and minimizing systemic exposure, therefore increasing the antitumor potency of the treatment and reducing, at the same time, the risk for toxicity.

Fast adenoviral clearance by the host immunity was shown to hamper the oncolytic activity, owing to the large spread of Ad infections in the community. Thanks to the introduction of a BiTE redirecting the cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) against the tumor, we turn this obstacle to our advantage, as recently shown by other groups using armed OAs.32 34 35 We clearly demonstrate that the engagement of CTLs and tumor cells via BiTE is able to enhance the killing of cancer cells by activation of the cytotoxic potential of T cells, inducing the death of a proportion of cancer cells that resulted resistant to the oncolytic activity of OA (such as the U87 cell line or the primary pHGG cells). However, we still observed a suboptimal tumor control in the more resistant settings and especially in primary pHGG cells. Therefore, we postulated that the activation of T cells through CD3 alone could not be sufficient to induce effective antitumor activity, especially in a suppressive tumor environment. This is especially relevant in a perspective of translation to the clinic, since it is well known that (1) the fitness of the immune system of these patients is largely impaired by the conventional treatments, and (2) T cell activation and persistence are crucial to guarantee long-term tumor control. Indeed, Fajardo et al demonstrated that T cells persist at tumor sites for 9 days only, in an immunocompromised mouse model that is unable to fully reproduce the suppressive mechanisms occurring at the tumor, thus probably even overestimating the real T cell persistence in situ.32 In 2017, Velasquez et al validated the importance of costimulation molecules, CD28 or 41BB, to improve the antitumor effector function and persistence of T cells engaged by CD19-BiTE for the clinical treatment of B-cell malignancies.36 We therefore decided to include a costimulatory signal in the system, using as proof of concept a human CD28 mAb, due to the well-known importance of this costimulating molecule to drive naive T cell proliferation and to sustain, as secondary signal, T cell activation following TCR engagement.

In our approach, the introduction of αCD28 was able to promote a significant increase in the killing of those tumor cells that had shown a strong resistance to the treatment, including primary pHGG cells. Moreover, αCD28 significantly increases the activation and proliferation of T cells, inducing only a mild exhaustion, not only in vitro but also in a xenograft in vivo model, with activated T cells circulating up to 60 days after infusion in the animals treated with the combined OA+EAd. Notably, costimulation does not induce a T cell terminal differentiation, maintaining a high proportion of CM and EM cells that predict the persistence of CTL activity. The synapse created by the BiTE plays a fundamental role in our strategy, and the introduction of the costimulatory molecule optimizes the lymphocytic activity, as confirmed also at a molecular level by the T cell gene-expression analysis. It has been recently shown that the generation of T cell responses against both virus and tumor is more important for the efficacy of oncolytic viruses than direct oncolysis40; therefore, we believe that proper and persistent enhancement of this response can significantly improve the outcome of patients.

In order to fully characterize the contribution of oncolytic therapy, the effect of Ad encoding an EphA2-BiTE, their combination and the possible additive effect of a costimulatory molecule such as αCD28, we investigated a gene-expression profile, which clearly underlined the benefit of using a combination therapy such as OA+EAd and the importance of the integration of a costimulatory molecule to improve the quality and magnitude of the anti-tumor response of T cells. This effect is most prominent in genes involved in (1) inflammation and activation pathways of T lymphocytes and in the activation of apoptosis; (2) cell stress, significantly upregulated in tumor cells; (3) in the amelioration of tumor microenvironment-reducing immunosuppressive molecules, such as cytokines and interleukins, in tumor cells. The results obtained in vitro were further validated in vivo: in both experimental animal models, subcutaneous and more challenging and relevant orthotopic models, we demonstrated an earlier, stronger and long-lasting tumor control on combination of oncolysis and T cell-mediated cytotoxic activity. This more sustained tumor control translates into a significantly improved OS of the combined treatment group, at least in the subcutaneous model.

From the perspective of a clinical translation, we envision as a future development of the approach the introduction of a stable costimulatory signal in our system. Indeed,
the systemic use of the CD28 superagonist TGN1412 has been associated with the development of unacceptable toxicity, namely, multiorgan failure associated to severe cytokine release storm, in all the patients treated in a first-in-human clinical trial in 2006. The introduction of an armed OA encoding for a costimulatory signal would enable the intratumor production of the costimulatory molecule, therefore reducing the systemic exposure and improving the safety of the approach. It must be noted, however, that the development of the new CD28 superagonist TAB08 and the administration at consistently lower doses than the first trial (ie, 1000-fold lower) appear to be safe, paving the way for the reintroduction of the systemic use of transient CD28 mAbs in the clinic. Moreover, we acknowledge that the use of immunodeficient mouse models represents a limitation since it cannot reproduce the human innate antiviral response and the immunological memory.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the use of an approach combining the oncolytic activity, the T-cell engagement and T-cell costimulation is able to induce significant, long-lasting tumor control in highly aggressive pHGG models. We also provided clear evidence supporting the importance of optimizing the approach with a costimulation, in order to persistently activate host, tumor-redirected T cells, in view of their fundamental role in the tumor eradication through their inflammatory and cytotoxic antitumor activity.

**Author affiliations**

1. Department of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology, Cell and Gene Therapy, Bambino Gesù Children’s Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
2. Neurosurgery Unit, Department of Neuroscience and Neurorehabilitation, Bambino Gesù Children’s Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
3. Pathology Unit, Department of Laboratories, Bambino Gesù Children’s Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
4. The FIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology, IFOM, Milano, Italy
5. Institute of Molecular Genetics National Research Council, Pavia, Italy
6. Flow Cytometry and Histology Core Facilities, Bambino Gesù Children’s Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
7. Baylor College of Medicine Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Houston, Texas, USA
8. Department of Bone Marrow Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, St Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA
9. Department of Pediatrics, Sapienza University of Rome, Roma, Italy

**Correction notice** This paper has been updated since first published to amend author name ‘Lucia Lisa Petrelli’.

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank Professor Cerullo for kindly providing the Ad5Δ24 and Dr Algeri and Dr Tomao for providing mesenchymal stromal cells derived from healthy donors (HDs). The authors acknowledge all the donors, both HDs and patients with their families, for providing essential support for their research.

**Contributors** FDB and IC share last authorship. FDB, IC and FL designed experimental studies, supervised the project, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. CMA, GW and LA performed the in vitro and in vivo experiments. VAP led the setup of the animal models and performed the in vivo experiments. AC and AM provided the patient samples and their expertise to define the clinical translation perspective. FDC provided the neuropathology expert evaluation. PL helped in establishing and characterizing the primary pediatric high-grade glioma (pHGG) cell lines, and MV provided her expertise on pHGG characterization. IC, FF and ES performed the bioinformatic analysis of the gene-expression data. MS and CDS performed, respectively, the flow-cytometry acquisition and the preparation of the pathology specimen and stainings. MKB and SG provided their expertise for the EAd cloning. CO, MKB, SG, BDA and FL also provided expertise in the immunotherapy field and critically reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** This work was partly supported by grants from ARC (Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro, My First AIRC, ID 20450, to FDB; investigator grant, ID 21724, and Special Program Metastatic disease: the key unmet need in oncology 5 per mille 2018, ID 21147, to FL; start-up grant, ID 17184, to IC, Ministero della Salute (Ricerca Corrente to FDB and IC; Ricerca Corrente–Progetto CAR T, ID RCR-2019-23669115 to FL; Ricerca Finalizzata, ID RF-2016-02364388, to FL), Ministero dell’Istruzione, Università e Ricerca (MIUR, Project PRIN 2017, ID 2017WC8499, to FL).

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** Both parents and healthy donors signed a written informed consent in accordance with rules set by the institutional review board of Bambino Gesù Children Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy (OPBG: Approval of Ethical Committee N°969/2015 prot. N°666LB, and N°1422/2017 prot. N°810). In vivo experiments were performed in accordance with national and international ethical requirements and were approved by the Italian Health Ministry (N°88/2016-PR).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available upon reasonable request.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use

**ORCID iDs**

Gerrit Weber http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7240-0300
Concetta Quintarelli http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4343-9705
Stephen Gottschalk http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3991-7468
Valentina Hoyos http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8269-6341
Ignazio Caruana http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9250-0605
Francesca Del Bufalo http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9643-3465

**REFERENCES**

2. Kieran MW, Hargrave DR, Cohen KJ, et al. Phase 1 study of dabrafenib in pediatric patients (pts) with relapsed or refractory BRAF V600E high- and low-grade gliomas (HGG, LGG), Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH), and other solid tumors (OST). *JCO* 2015;33:10004–04.


Correction: Oncolytic adenovirus and gene therapy with EphA2-BiTE for the treatment of pediatric high-grade gliomas


This paper has been updated since first published to amend author name ‘Lucia Lisa Petrilli’.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.