CXCR6 is required for antitumor efficacy of intratumoral CD8⁺ T cell
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ABSTRACT

Increasing infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells within tumor tissue predicts a better prognosis and is essential for response to checkpoint blocking therapy. Furthermore, current clinical protocols use unfractioned T cell populations as the starting point for transduction of chimeric antigen receptors (CARs)-modified T cells, but the optimal T cell subtype of CAR-modified T cells remains unclear. Thus, accurately identifying a group of cytotoxic T lymphocytes with high antitumor efficacy is imperative. Inspired by the theory of yin and yang, we explored a subset of CD8⁺ T cell in cancer with the same phenotypic characteristics as highly activated inflammatory T cells in autoimmune diseases.

Methods
Combination of single-cell RNA sequencing, general transcriptome sequencing data and multiparametric cytometric techniques allowed us to map CXCR6 expression on specific cell type and tissue. We applied Cxcr6−/− mice, immune checkpoint therapies and bone marrow chimeras to identify the function of CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells. Transgenic Cxcr6−/− OT-1 mice were employed to explore the functional role of CXCR6 in antigen-specific antitumor response.

Results
We identified that CXCR6 was exclusively expressed on intratumoral CD8⁺ T cell. CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells were more immunocompetent, and chimeras with specific deficiency on CD8⁺ T cells showed weaker antitumor activity. In addition, Cxcr6−/− mice could not respond to anti-PD-1 treatment effectively. High tumor expression of CXCR6 was not mainly caused by ligand-receptor chemotaxis of CXCL16/CXCR6 but induced by tumor tissue self. Induced CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells possessed tumor antigen specificity and could enhance the effect of anti-PD-1 blockade to retard tumor progression.

Conclusions
This study may contribute to the rational design of combined immunotherapy. Alternatively, CXCR6 may be used as a biomarker for effective CD8⁺ T cell state before adoptive cell therapy, providing a basis for tumor immunotherapy.

BACKGROUND
CD8⁺ T cells are the main immune surveillance cells that can detect antigens derived from developing malignant cells. High infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells in cancer predicts a good prognosis, which is also a key factor in response to checkpoint blocking therapy. However, the transient changes in the rejuvenation of effector cells and the widespread occurrence of recurrences together indicate the defect of persistent immune memory after checkpoint blockade. At present, no immunotherapeutic strategy that can reliably establish a stable function memory T cell bank that can prevent recurrence has been designed. This indicates that there is a lack of deeper research in tumorigenesis and antitumor T cell response disorders.

Compared with other forms of cancer immunotherapy, adoptive cell therapy (ACT) relies on the active development of a sufficient number of antitumor T cells in the body, which have necessary functions to mediate cancer regression. ACT enables the host to manipulate before cell transfer to provide a favorable microenvironment, thereby better supporting antitumor immunity. The clinical success of chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) targeting CD19 has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medical Agency and has triggered extensive investment in CAR T cell research by academia and private companies. As a monotherapy, CAR T cells have limited efficacy, partly due to the redundant regulatory mechanisms inherent in all T cells and our incomprehensive understanding of which features for T cells are essential and has antitumor activity. Current clinical protocols use unfractioned T cell populations as the starting point for transduction of CAR-modified T cells, but few studies investigate whether the optimal T cell subtype should be chosen when generating CAR T cells. Therefore, many key questions have been raised: which cell states are related to ongoing tumor-specific T cell responses? How does current immunotherapy affect these different T cell states? How does the presence of a single T cell state predict the response to antitumor therapy?
C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 6 (CXCR6) is preferentially expressed on memory T cells and activated Th1 and Tc1 effector T cell subsets. Its unique ligand, CXCL16, is expressed on the surface of antigen-presenting cells (B cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells). CXCR6/CXCL16 are overexpressed in many cancers. It has been reported that both CXCR6 and CXCL16 are overexpressed in breast cancer tissues and cell lines, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Mossanen et al propose that CXCR6 inhibits the occurrence of liver cancer by promoting natural killer T and CD4+ T cell (B cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells).11 Mice were used in experiments at 6–8 weeks of age. Hou et al propose that CXCR6 inhibits the occurrence of liver cancer by promoting natural killer T and CD4+ T cell dependent senescence control. Intranasal vaccination with a cancer vaccine is less effective in Cxcr6−/− mice than wild-type mice. Much about the function of CXCR6 in tumors still need to be further studied. For example, whether CXCR6 is related to the prognosis of cancer patients, how environmental factors regulate CXCR6 with a cancer vaccine is less effective in Cxcr6−/− mice than wild-type mice.13 

For CD8+ T cell, total bone marrow cells from Cd8−/− mice and from Cxcr6−/− or wild-type mice were mixed and adoptively transferred intravenously at a 7:3 ratio into lethally irradiated (9 Gry) naive Cd8−/− mice. A total of 5×10^6 bone marrow cells were transferred per mouse. For Cxcr6+/+;Cxcr6−/− chimeras, bone marrow cells from WT (CD45.2) or Cxcr6gfp/gfp (CD45.2) and bone marrow cells from C57BL/6 (CD45.1) mice were mixed and adoptively transferred intravenously at a 1:2 ratio into lethally irradiated Rag1−/− mice. Recipient mice were fed antibiotics for 2 weeks and allowed to reconstitute for at least 8 weeks before challenged with tumor cells.

### Tumor cell lines

MC38 colon cancer cell line was obtained from Cell Resource Center of the Institutes of Biomedical Sciences at Fudan University (Shanghai, China). CT26. WT colon cancer and B16F10 melanoma cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. B16 OVA melanoma cell line was kindly provided by Shengdian Wang (Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences). All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium or RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mmol/L glutamax, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin.

### Immunofluorescence staining

Paraffin-embedded slides of samples were deparaffinized, rehydrated, antigen retrieved with sodium citrate and blocked with 5% bovine albumin. Primary antibodies used to stain the sections included: rabbit antiserum CD8 (Abcam Cat# ab217344, RRID:AB_2890649), goat antihuman CXCR6 (Abcam Cat# ab125115, RRID:AB_10974584), mouse antihuman CD8 (Cell Signaling Technology Cat# 70306, RRID:AB_2799781), rabbit antihuman CXCR6 (Novus Cat# NLS1102, RRID:AB_10000951), goat antiserum CXCL16 (R&D, Cat# AF503-SP), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-21244, RRID:AB_2535812), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11008, RRID:AB_143165), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11005, RRID:AB_2534073) and donkey antigoat Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32849TR, RRID:AB_2866498).

### Flow cytometric analysis

Flow cytometry was performed on Attune NxT Flow Cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo software. Cell-sorting experiments were performed on BD Aria II. Prepared single cells were stained by fixable viability stain reagents (BD Biosciences Cat# 565388, RRID: AB_28669673). Staining was performed at 4°C in the presence of FACS buffer (PBS, 0.5% BSA, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.1% sodium azide) after Fc block (BD Biosciences Cat# 300727, RRID:AB_2534071) and donkey antigoat Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32849TR, RRID:AB_2866498).

### METHODS

#### Mice

Female C57BL/6 wild-type mice and Rag1−/− mice were purchased from GemPharmatech. Cxcr6gfp/gfp mice (Stock No. 005693), homozygous CXCR6-deficient mice, were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Cxcr6−/− mice were obtained from Professor Lin Lin Ye (Third Military Medical University). B6.SJL-Ptprc Pdcdgfβ/Boy (CD45.1) were obtained from Professor Xuetao Cao (Nankai University). Cxcr6gfp/gfp OT-I mice were obtained by crossing OT-I TCR transgenic mice with Cxcr6gfp/gfp mice. Mice were used in experiments at 6–8 weeks of age. All mice were housed in animal facility under specific pathogen-free conditions.

#### Generation of chimeras

For CD8+ T cell, total bone marrow cells from Cd8−/− mice and from Cxcr6−/− or wild-type mice were mixed and adoptively transferred intravenously at a 7:3 ratio into lethally irradiated (9 Gry) naive Cd8−/− mice. A total of 5×10^6 bone marrow cells were transferred per mouse. For Cxcr6+/+;Cxcr6−/− chimeras, bone marrow cells from WT (CD45.2) or Cxcr6gfp/gfp (CD45.2) and bone marrow cells from C57BL/6 (CD45.1) mice were mixed and adoptively transferred intravenously at a 1:2 ratio into lethally irradiated Rag1−/− mice. Recipient mice were fed antibiotics for 2 weeks and allowed to reconstitute for at least 8 weeks before challenged with tumor cells.

#### Immunofluorescence staining

Paraffin-embedded slides of samples were deparaffinized, rehydrated, antigen retrieved with sodium citrate and blocked with 5% bovine albumin. Primary antibodies used to stain the sections included: rabbit antimouse CD8 (Abcam Cat# ab217344, RRID:AB_2890649), goat antiserum CXCR6 (Abcam Cat# ab125115, RRID:AB_10974584), mouse antihuman CD8 (Cell Signaling Technology Cat# 70306, RRID:AB_2799781), rabbit antihuman CXCR6 (Novus Cat# NLS1102, RRID:AB_10000951), goat antiserum CXCL16 (R&D, Cat# AF503-SP), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-21244, RRID:AB_2535812), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11008, RRID:AB_143165), goat antirabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11005, RRID:AB_2534073) and donkey antigoat Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32849TR, RRID:AB_2866498).

#### Flow cytometric analysis

Flow cytometry was performed on Attune NxT Flow Cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo software. Cell-sorting experiments were performed on BD Aria II. Prepared single cells were stained by fixable viability stain reagents (BD Biosciences Cat# 565388, RRID: AB_28669673). Staining was performed at 4°C in the presence of FACS buffer (PBS, 0.5% BSA, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.1% sodium azide) after Fc block (BD Biosciences Cat# 300727, RRID:AB_2534071) and donkey antigoat Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32849TR, RRID:AB_2866498).
Induction of CXCR6 expression

For induction in vivo, naïve T cells from OT-I mice were transferred intravenously into mice challenged with B16OVA tumor cells in advance. OT-1 CXCR6+CD8+ T cells were detected on days 2, 4, and 7, respectively. For induction in vitro by tumor cells, MC38 tumor cells and naïve T cells were cultured in fresh medium containing 10 ng/mL IL-2 (Peprotech Cat# 212–12) and 5 μg/mL CD3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 16-0032-38, RRID: AB_2865578) and 5 μg/mL CD28 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 16-0281-81, RRID: AB_468920) functional antibodies were added. For induction in vitro by tumor tissues, shedded tumor tissues were used in upper wells and naïve T cells in lower wells with the presence of IL-2 recombinant cytokine and CD3/CD28 functional antibodies. The application of transwell was to eliminate the interference of CXCR6+ T cells that already existed in tumor tissues. In the experiment of ACT, the chamber was withdrawn when large batches of CXCR6+ T cells were induced and cultured.

Western blot

Tumor tissues were lysed in radio immunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor (MedChemExpress). Proteins were quantified by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# 23227). The proteins were then separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electrophoretically transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The membranes were probed with antibodies overnight at 4°C, and then incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody. Detection was performed using a LumiGLO chemiluminescent substrate system. Antibodies were: goat antimouse CXCL16 (R&D, Cat# AF503-SP) and rabbit anti-β-actin (Abmart, Cat# M20011).

Tumor model and in vivo treatments

For anti-PD-1 treatment, MC38 cells (1×10⁶) or B16F10 cells (2×10⁵) were injected subcutaneously into female C57BL/6 mice at 6–8 weeks old. On day 10, tumor-bearing mice with similar size were randomly divided into two groups (n=8–10). Mice were treated with 200 μg isotype (Bio X Cell Cat# BE0089, RRID: AB_1107769) or anti-PD-1 antibody (Bio X Cell Cat# BE0146, RRID: AB_10949053) intraperitoneally every 3 days. For combination therapy strategy, the administration dose was reduced to 100 μg. For CXCR6+ cell deletion experiment, rabbit antimouse CXCR6 monoclonal antibody (Edelweiss Immune, clone: 19A5) or isotype was administrated 1 day before MC38 cells (1×10⁶) were injected subcutaneously. Mice were treated with 200 μg anti-CXCR6 or isotype intraperitoneally every 3 days. Tumor volume was analyzed by V=1/2 (a × b²).

Adoptive transfer of CD8+ T cells

For CXCR6+CD8+ T cells induced in vitro transfer, MC38 cells (1×10⁵) were injected subcutaneously into female C57BL/6 mice at age of 6–8 weeks. On day 7, 5×10⁶ induced CXCR6+CD8+ T cells or CXCR6−CD8+ T isolated by FACS were transferred intravenously into tumor load mice. For OT-I CTL transfer, splenocytes isolated from Cxcr6−/− OT-I mice or wild-type OT-I mice were stimulated with 2 nM OVA257–264 (SIINFEKL) for 3 days with the presence of 10 ng/mL IL-2. Cells were centrifuged and cultured in fresh medium containing 10 ng/mL IL-2 for two more days, then the cells in the culture were CTLs. 5×10⁶ Cxcr6−/− OT-I CTLs or WT OT-I CTLs were injected intravenously into B16OVA bearing mice.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance was determined as indicated in the figure legends. Data are generally shown as mean±SEM unless otherwise stated. P<0.05 was considered significant: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. All t-test analyses are two-tailed unpaired t-tests. Statistical significance in multiple comparison determined by one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

RESULTS

CXCR6 is specifically and highly expressed on CD8+ T cells in tumor tissues

To understand the expression atlas of CXCR6 in tumor, we first analyzed the single cell RNA sequencing data of colon cancer from patients and mice reported by Zhang et al.17 We found that CXCR6 was mainly expressed on T cells, especially on CD8+ T cells (figure 1A–D). Compared with

peripheral tissues like peripheral blood (P), lymph node (LN) and adjacent normal (N) tissues, CXCR6 was significantly enriched in tumors (T) (Figure 1E,F). Spatial transcriptome sequencing of clinical samples we performed previously also showed that the expression of CXCR6 in tumors was higher than that in normal tissues (data not shown). To verify the single cell RNA sequencing results, we also analyzed transcriptome sequencing datasets in peripheral blood (P), lymph node (LN), spleen, and tumor from normal or MC38 tumor-bearing mice (n=3–7). Error bars represent SEM. *P<0.01 and ***p<0.001. Single-cell RNA sequencing data were analyzed on website http://crcleukocytecancer-pku.cn/.

CXCR6, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 6; NS, no significance.

Figure 1  CXCR6 is highly expressed on CD8+ T cells in tumor tissues. (A) t-SNE plot showing immune cell clusters (right) and expression of CXCR6 (left) from human CRC. (B) Violin plot showing expression of CXCR6 on cell clusters from human CRC. (C) t-SNE plot showing immune cell clusters (right) and expression of CXCR6 (left) from MC38 mouse model. (D) Violin plot showing expression of CXCR6 on immune cell clusters from MC38 mouse model. (E) Violin plot showing expression of CXCR6 in PBMCs (P), tumor (T) and adjacent normal (N) tissues from patients. (F) Violin plot showing expression of CXCR6 in lymph node (LN) and tumor (T) tissues from MC38 mouse model. (G and H) Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparing the high and low expression of CXCR6 (G) and scatterplots of correlation between CXCR6 and CD8a expression (H) in TCGA database determined by gene expression profiling interactive analysis website (GEPIA, http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/). (I) Immunofluorescence staining showing DAPI (blue)/CD8 (green)/CXCR6 (red) and their overlay in tumor tissues from human CRC samples. (J–L) Representative plots and frequencies of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells in peripheral blood (PB), lymph node (LN), spleen and tumor from normal or MC38 tumor-bearing mice (n=3–7). Error bars represent SEM. *P<0.01 and ***p<0.001. Single-cell RNA sequencing data were analyzed on website http://crcleukocytecancer-pku.cn/. CXCR6, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 6; NS, no significance.
TCGA and identified an increased tumor expression of CXCR6 similarly (online supplemental figure S1A). In addition, high expression of CXCR6 in tumor showed a good prognosis and positively correlated with the expression of CD8 (figure 1G,H, online supplemental figure S1C,D).

We validated our findings using immunofluorescence staining in colon cancer slides, which exhibited high colocalization of CXCR6 and CD8 (figure 1I, online supplemental figure S1B). Next, we detected the expression profile of CXCR6 in mice from peripheral blood (PB), LN, spleen and tumor tissues in MC38 and CT26 mouse model (figure 1J,K, online supplemental figure S1E–G). We observed that CXCR6 almost exclusively presented in tumor (figure 1L). Paust et al. proposed that CXCR6 expressing NK cell could mediate antigen-specific memory of haptns and viruses. Hence, we examined the expression of CXCR6 on NK cell. As shown in online supplemental figure S1H, intratumoral NK cells rarely expressed CXCR6. Taken together, we identified that CXCR6 was predominantly expressed on CD8+ T cell in tumor tissue.

**CXCR6+CD8+T cells are more immunocompetent**

To characterize CXCR6+CD8+ T cell, we mapped CXCR6 expression on the CD8+ T cell subclusters using the single-cell sequencing data. We revealed that CXCR6 was mainly enriched in cytotoxic CD8+ T cell populations such as CD69+CD8+, GZMK+CD8+ T and CD160+CD8+ T cells (figure 2A). Furthermore, there was a strong correlation between the expression of CXCR6 and the infiltration level of central memory CD8+ T (TCM) (figure 2B). CXCR6+CD8+ T cells in tumor also expressed cytotoxic and activation markers such as IFN-γ, PRF1, GZMB, CD69 and CD44 but were negative for CD62L, a maker naïve T cell highly expressed (figure 2C,D, online supplemental figure S2A). These phenotypic characteristics indicated that CXCR6+CD8+ T cell subset possessed a higher degree of activation and killing ability.

To investigate whether CXCR6 expression on intratumoral CD8+ T cells was required for their antitumor efficacy, we constructed bone marrow chimeric mice with intact CXCR6 expression. After tumor cells inoculation, we transferred bone marrow from naïve CD8−/− Rag1−/− mice, while in most of the other immune cells, CXCR6 expression was intact (figure 2E, online supplemental figure S2B,C). After tumor cells inoculation, survivals were reduced, and tumor growth aggravated significantly in CD8−/− mice (figure 2F,G). Compared with mice reconstituted with wild-type and CD8−/− mice BM, the percentage of intratumoral CD8+ T cell in recipients reconstituted with BM derived from Cxcr6−/− and CD8−/− mice was only half. This suggested that CXCR6 was required for CD8+ T cells infiltration into tumor (figure 2H). Strikingly, when we evaluated the function of infiltrating CD8+ T cells, we found that CXCR6-deficient CD8+ T cells exhibited lower IFN-γ, PRF1 and TNF-α secretion than wild-type counterparts (figure 2I, online supplemental figure S2D). We applied anti-CXCR6 monoclonal antibody to delete CXCR6+CD8+ cells in vivo (online supplemental figure S2E) and found tumor progression aggravated (online supplemental figure S2F). These data demonstrated CXCR6 was crucial for CD8+ T cells to enrich in tumor tissues and exert antitumor function.

**CXCR6 is essential for effective response to immune checkpoint therapy**

Given that after anti-CD40 treatment the CXCR6+CD8+ T cell subpopulation was significantly increased (figure 3A,B), we speculated that CXCR6+CD8+ T cells might be the main CD8+ T cell subset that responded to immune checkpoint therapy. To validate our hypothesis, we used an anti-PD-1-responsive transplantable tumor model: the MC38 tumor cell line19 20 (figure 3C). We observed that in vivo recall responses to anti-PD-1 therapy in Cxcr6−/− mice were markedly compromised (figure 3D). The percentages of intratumoral CD8+ T cells and cytokines production were rapidly decreased in Cxcr6−/− mice, even treated with PD-1 blockade therapy (figure 3E, online supplemental figure S3A). To determine whether the effects of CXCR6 expression could be extended to other cancers, we also examined the effect of anti-PD-1 treatment strategy in the melanoma model. A similar result was observed that anti-PD-1 treatment significantly increased CXCR6 expression on infiltrating CD8+ T cells (online supplemental figure S3B). Moreover, intracellular cytokine staining showed an increase in CD8+ T cells expressing GZMB and IFN-γ, especially in CXCR6+CD8+ T cells, which had a greater variation (online supplemental figure S3C). Taken together, CXCR6 played an essential role in mediating the efficacy of immune checkpoint therapy and CXCR6+CD8+ T cell was the main subset response to this treatment strategy.

**Intratumoral CXCR6+CD8+ T cells are critical to mediate cancer regression**

To further elucidate the role of the CXCR6 expression on CD8+ T cell, we transferred bone marrow from naïve CD45.1 mixed with WT or Cxcr6−/− mice in a ratio of 1:2 to lethally irradiated Rag1−/− recipients (figure 4A, online supplemental figure S4A). Thus, in hosts that received bone marrow from both CD45.1 and Cxcr6−/− mice, CD45.1 positive cells were intact, while CD45.2 positive cells were CXCR6 deficient. After inoculated with tumor cells, the percentages of CD8+ T cells from both chimeras were in line with the initial adoptive ratio in peripheral blood. In contrast, tumor tissues exhibited the opposite proportions or more, suggesting the key role of CXCR6 for intratumoral CD8+ T cell enrichment (figure 4B). Similar results were observed in melanoma model (online supplemental figure S4B). Moreover, the cytolytic capacity and cytokine production of the transferred CD45.2 positive (CXCR6 deficiency) cells was also compromised in recipients (figure 4C, online supplemental figure S4C). These results together indicated that CXCR6+CD8+ T cells represented tumor preference of...
CD8+ T cells and preserves their effector functions during cancer progression.

According to mismatch repair, colon cancer can be classified into microsatellite instability (MSI) and microsatellite stability (MSS) subtypes.21 Patients with MSI tended to have a better prognosis and higher infiltration of activated CD8+ CTL.22–24 Therefore, we applied immunofluorescence staining to detect the colocalization of CXCR6 and CTL. Figure 2 CXCR6-deficient CD8+ T cells show weaker antitumor activity. (A) t-SNE plot showing CD8+ T cell clusters (right) and expression of CXCR6 (left) from human CRC. (B) Scatterplots showing correlation between CXCR6 expression and CD8+ T cell infiltration level in COAD in TCGA database determined by tumor immune estimation resource (timer, http://cistrome.dfci.harvard.edu/TIMER/) website. (C and D) Phenotypic characterization of CXCR6+CD8+ T and CXCR6−CD8+ T cells from MC38 tumors. (E) Set-up of bone marrow chimeric mice. Total bone marrow obtained from Cxcr6−/− or wild-type mice were mixed with that obtained from Cd8−/− mice and then transferred to lethally irradiated Cd8−/− recipients. Eight weeks later, challenged chimeras with MC38 tumor cells. (F and G), survival (F) and tumor growth (G) of tumor load chimeric mice (n=9–10 mice per group). (H) Quantification of CD8+ T cells from tumors. (I) Frequencies of cytokine-producing CD8+ T cells. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01. CXCR6, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 6.

**Figure 2** CXCR6-deficient CD8+ T cells show weaker antitumor activity. (A) t-SNE plot showing CD8+ T cell clusters (right) and expression of CXCR6 (left) from human CRC. (B) Scatterplots showing correlation between CXCR6 expression and CD8+ T cell infiltration level in COAD in TCGA database determined by tumor immune estimation resource (timer, http://cistrome.dfci.harvard.edu/TIMER/) website. (C and D) Phenotypic characterization of CXCR6+CD8+ T and CXCR6−CD8+ T cells from MC38 tumors. (E) Set-up of bone marrow chimeric mice. Total bone marrow obtained from Cxcr6−/− or wild-type mice were mixed with that obtained from Cd8−/− mice and then transferred to lethally irradiated Cd8−/− recipients. Eight weeks later, challenged chimeras with MC38 tumor cells. (F and G), survival (F) and tumor growth (G) of tumor load chimeric mice (n=9–10 mice per group). (H) Quantification of CD8+ T cells from tumors. (I) Frequencies of cytokine-producing CD8+ T cells. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01. CXCR6, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 6.
MSI group than MSS group (figure 4D, online supplemental figure S4D). This suggested that the abundance of CD8$^+$ T cells in MSI colon cancer closely related to the CXCR6 expression. To determine the differential gene expression between CXCR6$^+$CD8$^+$ T and CXCR6$^-$CD8$^+$ T cells, we performed transcriptome sequencing on both subsets of CD8$^+$ T cells isolated from tumor by FACS (figure 4E, online supplemental figure S4E,F).

Transcriptional profiling revealed that CXCR6$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells expressed higher levels of several effector molecules (Gzmk and Gzmb), activation molecules (Ptx3 and Cd48), chemokines and chemokine receptors (Ccl24, Ccr4, and Cxcl12), classical inflammatory factors or inflammatory factor receptors (Il17f, Il1a, Il23r, and Il6st), compared with CXCR6$^-$CD8$^+$ T cells (figure 4E). Taken together, transcriptional profiling indicated a more terminally...
differentiated state and innate immune responses of CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells.

**Expression of CXCR6 on CD8⁺ T cells can be induced by tumor**

We hypothesized that it might be chemotaxis by its unique ligand, CXCL16, that was responsible for enrichment of CXCR6 in tumor. Thus, we checked the expression of CXCL16 in TCGA database and found high expression of CXCL16 predicted a good prognosis (online supplemental figure S5A). Especially interesting, when we tested the timing course of proportions of CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells in PB and tumor in mice, we observed that CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells were rarely detected in PB and almost maintained no changes, while intratumoral CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression (figure 5A, online supplemental figure S5B,C). Furthermore, after treatment with anti-CD40, the expression of CXCL16 remained unchanged, even slightly lower than control group, while CXCR6 increased significantly (figures 3A,B and 5B). To time-dependently evaluate intratumoral CXCL16 expression, we examined its expression from day 10 to 30 after tumor cells inoculation. We found that either the mRNA expression level (online supplemental figure S5D,E) or protein level (figure 5C, online supplemental figure S5F) rarely changed with tumor
progression. So we inferred that high tumor expression of CXCR6 was dependent on ligand-receptor chemotaxis and induction, the latter being even more primary.

To explore what induced high expression of CXCR6 in tumor, we cocultured tumor cells with naïve T cells in vitro. Unexpectedly, the expression of CXCR6 on CD8+ T cells was not induced successfully (figure 5D). Next, we constructed conditional coculture of entire disrupted tumor tissue and naïve T cells. To avoid confusion with infiltrating CXCR6+CD8+ T cells in the tumor tissue, we used a cell culture chamber in which appropriate amount of tumor tissue was placed on the upper layer, while naïve T cells on lower layer. Gratifyingly, CXCR6+CD8+ T cells were successfully induced and the later stage of tumor, the higher of CXCR6 expression (figure 5E,F).

To determine the dynamic changes of CXCR6 abdication in vivo, we transferred naïve T cells from normal OT-I mice to WT mice challenged with B16OVA tumor cells (figure 5G). We revealed that on day 4 after T cells injection, CXCR6+CD8+ T cells in tumor tissue accounted for 40% of OT-I T cells but was poorly maintained in PB (figure 5H). Taken together, the high expression of CXCR6 in tumor tissue was mainly induced by the tumor tissue itself, not just chemotaxis.
Induced CXCR6+CD8+ T cells retard tumor progression

We isolated CXCR6+CD8+ T and CXCR6+CD8+ T cells induced in vitro and transferred them to tumor-bearing mice, respectively (figure 6A). Recipient mice showed significantly alleviative tumor volume (figure 6B, online supplemental figure S6A). Nevertheless, body weight of recipient mice decreased, (online supplemental figure S6B) due to the excessive inflammatory response that damages the body.25

Figure 6  Induced CXCR6+CD8+ T cells inhibit tumor growth in mouse model. (A) Schematic diagram showing treatment using CXCR6+CD8+ T cells induced in vitro. Mice were inoculated subcutaneously with MC38 tumor cells. A week later, tumor load mice were treated with CXCR6−CD8+ T or CXCR6+CD8+ T cells that were induced in vitro and isolated by FACS. (B). Tumor growth (n=9–11). (C). Schematic diagram showing treatment using OT-I “CXCR6” CTL induced in vitro. OT-I and CXCR6+/− mice were crossed to obtain CXCR6−/− OT-I mice. SIINFEKL pulsed T cells were stimulated by IL-2 and then were injected into B16OVA tumor load mice by tail vein. (D) Tumor growth (n=10–11). (E) Survival curves of tumor burden mice. (F) Images of tumors. (G) Tumor growth (n=10). (H) Representative plots showing the ratio of CD8+ T cells in each group. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.
evidenced by larger tumor volume and a shorter survival time (figure 6D,E). These data indicated that CXCR6 was required for antitumor efficacy of intratumoral CD8+ T cells. When combined CXCR6−CD8+ T cells and anti-PD-1 antibody treatment strategies, we found that CXCR6+ T cells could enhance the effect of anti-PD-1 treatment (figure 6F,G). Even one mouse was completely cured and the tumor disappeared (figure 6F, red circle). The proportion of intratumoral CD8+ T cells also rose in combination group although slightly (figure 6H).

DISCUSSION

We demonstrated that CXCR6+CD8+ T cell subset was exclusively enriched in tumor tissue and was more immunocompetent. High infiltration of CD8+ T cells in tumor tissue predicts a good prognosis.4 24 Thus, increasing the abundance of CD8+ T cells as well as activating them helps alleviate tumor progression and even eliminate tumors. Given that T cells in autoimmune diseases are often highly activated and inflammatory, while exhausted or repressed in tumor, we question that whether the same phenotypic T cells are still immunocompetent in tumors to accumulate in tumor and exert antitumor function. We started with single-cell sequencing data of clinical cancer samples17 and combined transcriptome sequencing in the TCGA database and experimental results to validate CXCR6 expression in the TCGA database and experimental results to validate CXCR6 was almost exclusively expressed in tumor tissues and highly expressed on CD8+ T cells (figure 1). Phenotypic characteristics showed that CXCR6+CD8+ T cells were more immunocompetent than the negative counterpart. Chimeras with CXCR6− were more immunocompetent than the negative counterpart. Chimeras with CXCR6−CD8+ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression. Furthermore, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells almost maintained no changes, while intratumoral CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression. Furthermore, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells almost maintained no changes, while intratumoral CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression. Furthermore, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells almost maintained no changes, while intratumoral CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression. Furthermore, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells almost maintained no changes, while intratumoral CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased dramatically with cancer progression. Furthermore, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased significantly after treatment with anti-CtLA-4. Thus, high tumor expression of CXCR6 was not only dependent on ligand-receptor chemotaxis and might be mainly induced. Unexpectedly, the expression of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells increased successfully by tumor tissue rather than tumor cells (figure 5C–G). When we induced CXCR6 expression in vitro, we applied transwell to eliminate the interference of CXCR6+ T cells that already existed in tumor tissues. So we inferred that certain soluble factor induced its increase, and this factor might originate from tumor cells or immune cells, even T cells themselves. Pallett et al.22 report that sequential IL-15 or antigen exposure followed by TGFβ induced CXCR6 and CXCR3 expression, robust and cell autonomous IL-2 and IFN-γ production, to equip liver CD8+TRM to survive while exerting local noncytolytic immunosurveillance in hepatotropic infection. Our transcriptome sequencing data showed that IL-2Rβ was upregulated in CXCR6+CD8+ T cells compared with CXCR6−CD8+ T cells (figure 4E, online supplemental figure S4F). Furthermore, IL-15/IL-2Rβ can induce the activation of Jak kinases, as well as the phosphorylation and activation of transcription activators STAT3, STAT5, and STAT6.34 35 CXCR6 is involved in STAT3, PI3K/AKT, ERK/MAPK pathway. Meanwhile, PI3K/AKT pathway is also the downstream of Jak kinases. BAIAP3, a C2 domain-containing Munc13 protein, was also upregulated in CXCR6+CD8+ T cells (online supplemental figure S4F). BAIAP3 can promote cell proliferation and the recycling of secretory vesicle transmembrane proteins. Munc13-4 is essential for the Ca2+-dependent priming of secretory granules in immune cells.35 Thus, IL-15/IL-2Rβ may be possible factor to induce CXCR6 expression. BAIAP3 may be related to enrichment for cytokine production and high cell proliferation of CXCR6+CD8+ T cells. Nevertheless, what factors in the tumor tissue cause the expression of CXCR6 need further investigation.

So the next question comes, what can CXCR6 on CD8+ T cell induced by tumor do? The induced CXCR6+CD8+ T cells are tumor antigen specific, can they be used for cell therapy to eliminate tumors? We found that transferred CXCR6+CD8+ T cells for treatment could alleviate tumor burden and prolong survival significantly. Moreover, combination with anti-PD-1 showed superior efficiency...
(6). Srivastava et al demonstrate that Cxcr6−/− CAR-T cells show poorer tumor infiltration compared with their WT counterparts. Similarly, we also revealed that recipient mice transferred with Cxcr6−/− OT-I CTLs showed weaker antitumor activity than WT OT-I CTLs. Cxcr6 deficiency also impairs the efficacy of cancer vaccine and the recruitment of CD8+ resident memory T cell in head and neck and lung tumors. Thus, Cxcr6 is essential for antitumor efficacy of intratumoral CD8+ T cells.

The expression of Cxcr6 varies greatly in different patients with colon cancer (online supplemental figure S4G,H). High expression of Cxcr6 indicates good prognosis. Both the frequency of Cxcr6 and CD8 are significantly higher in MSI group than MSS group. Thus, we can induce high Cxcr6 expression in colon cancer patients with low level, and then apply immune checkpoint therapy. Furthermore, we can also design a combination of Cxcr6 positive cell adoptive and immune checkpoint therapy. Furthermore, we can also design a combination of Cxcr6 positive cell adoptive and immune checkpoint therapy to slow down tumor progression and even cure eventually.

In summary, we demonstrated that Cxcr6 is specifically and highly expressed on CD8+ T cell in tumor. This high expression is not mainly caused by ligand-receptor chemotaxis of CXCL16/Cxcr6 but induced by tumor tissue self. In addition, induced Cxcr6+CD8+ T cells have tumor antigen specificity and can retard the progression of tumors. This study may contribute to the rational design of combined immunotherapy. Alternatively, Cxcr6 may be used as a biomarker for effective CD8+ T cell before cell adoptive therapy, providing a basis for clinical transfusion therapy.

**Author affiliations**
1State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center (ChemBiC), School of Life Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
2Department of Proctology, Nanjing Hospital of Chinese Medicine Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
3Department of Oncology, Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
4School of Basic Medical Sciences, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China
5Jiangsu Key Laboratory of New Drug Research and Clinical Pharmacy, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, People’s Republic of China
6Department of General Surgery, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People’s Hospital, Shanghai, China

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Professor Lilin Ye (Third Military Medical University) for CD8+ mice support. We would also like to thank Professor Xuetao Cao (Nankai University) for B6.SJL-PtprcPepc–/–Boy (CD45.1) mice support.

**Contributors** YS, HC and QX designed the study. BW and YW performed the experiments and analyzed the data. XS and GD helped collected samples. WH helped transferred intravenously. XW, YG, ZT and ZF gave methodological support and conceptual advice. BW and YS wrote the manuscript with the help of all other authors. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 91853109, 81872877, 81730100, 81673436) and Mountain-Climbing Talents Project of Nanjing University.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** Our study was approved by the Research and Ethical Committee of Nanjing University (AUCU-2005018).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplemental information. Data used for this analysis are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

**ORCID iDs**
Zhigang Tian http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5512-6378
Yang Sun http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1425-0089

**REFERENCES**


