

**KEYNOTE-042 5-YEAR SURVIVAL UPDATE: PEMBROLIZUMAB VERSUS CHEMOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH PREVIOUSLY UNTREATED, PD-L1-POSITIVE, LOCALLY ADVANCED OR METASTATIC NON-SMALL-CELL LUNG CANCER**

<sup>1</sup>Gilberto de Castro\*, <sup>2</sup>Iveta Kudaba, <sup>3</sup>Yi-Long Wu, <sup>4</sup>Gilberto Lopes, <sup>5</sup>Dariusz M Kowalski, <sup>6</sup>Hande Z Turna, <sup>7</sup>Christian Caglevic, <sup>8</sup>Li Zhang, <sup>9</sup>Boguslawa Karaszewska, <sup>10</sup>Konstantin K Laktionov, <sup>11</sup>Vichien Srimuninnimit, <sup>12</sup>Igor Bondarenko, <sup>13</sup>Kaoru Kubota, <sup>14</sup>Rinee Mukherjee, <sup>14</sup>Jianxin Lin, <sup>14</sup>Fabricio Souza, <sup>15</sup>Tony SK Mok, <sup>16</sup>Byoung Chul Cho. <sup>1</sup>Instituto do Câncer do Estado de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Latvian Oncology Center, Riga East Clinical University, Riga, Latvia; <sup>3</sup>Guangdong Lung Cancer Institute, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital and Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangdong, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Lung Cancer and Chest Tumours, Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul University Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey; <sup>7</sup>Cancer Research Department, Instituto Oncologico Fundación Arturo Lopez Perez, Santiago, Chile; <sup>8</sup>Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China; <sup>9</sup>Przychodnia Lekarska KOMED, Konin, Poland; <sup>10</sup>Federal State Budgetary Institution "N.N. Blokhin National Medical Research Center of Oncology" of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation (N.N. Blokhin NMRCO), Moscow, Russian Federation; <sup>11</sup>Department of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>12</sup>Oncology and Medical Radiology Department, Dnipro State Medical University, Dnipro, Ukraine; <sup>13</sup>Department of Pulmonary Medicine and Oncology, Nippon Medical School Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>14</sup>Merck and Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA; <sup>15</sup>Clinical Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Translational Oncology, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China; <sup>16</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, Yonsei Cancer Center, Seoul, Korea, Republic of

**Background** Primary analysis of KEYNOTE-042 (NCT02220894), a global, randomized, phase 3 trial, showed that pembrolizumab significantly improved OS versus platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) without sensitizing *EGFR/ALK* alterations and with PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS)  $\geq 50\%$ ,  $\geq 20\%$ , and  $\geq 1\%$  with fewer treatment-related AEs than chemotherapy. We report an updated analysis with ~5 years of follow-up.

**Methods** Eligible adults were randomized 1:1 to receive pembrolizumab 200 mg Q3W for 35 cycles or investigator's choice of chemotherapy (carboplatin + paclitaxel or pemetrexed) Q3W for 4–6 cycles with optional maintenance pemetrexed (nonsquamous only). Primary endpoints were OS in patients with PD-L1 TPS  $\geq 50\%$ ,  $\geq 20\%$ , and  $\geq 1\%$ ; secondary endpoints included PFS and ORR per RECIST v1.1 by central review, and safety (secondary). Eligible patients randomized to pembrolizumab who completed 35 cycles with SD or better or stopped treatment after confirmed CR could begin a second course of pembrolizumab at the time of progression.

**Results** 1274 patients were randomized to pembrolizumab or chemotherapy (n = 637 each). Median (range) time from randomization to data cutoff (Apr 28, 2021) was 61.1 (50.0–76.3) months. OS outcomes favored the pembrolizumab group (vs chemotherapy alone) regardless of PD-L1 TPS (HR [95% CI] for TPS  $\geq 50\%$ , 0.68 [0.57–0.81]; TPS  $\geq 20\%$ , 0.75 [0.64–0.87]; TPS  $\geq 1\%$ , 0.79 [0.70–0.89]), with estimated 5-year OS rates (95% CI) of 21.9% (17.3%–26.9%), 19.4% (15.6%–23.4%) and 16.6% (13.7%–19.6%), respectively, in the pembrolizumab group (table 1). Median duration of response (DOR) was 28.1 vs 10.8 months in PD-L1 TPS  $\geq 50\%$  group, 27.7 vs 10.8 months in PD-L1 TPS  $\geq 20\%$  group and, 26.5 vs 8.4 months in PD-L1 TPS  $\geq 1\%$  for pembrolizumab group vs chemotherapy. Treatment-related grade 3–5 AEs occurred in 120 patients (18.9%) in the pembrolizumab group and 257 (41.8%) in the chemotherapy group. Among 102 patients who completed 35 cycles of pembrolizumab:

ORR was 84.3%; estimated 4-year OS rate after completion of 35 cycles of pembrolizumab (ie, approximately 6 years after randomization) was 61.8%. Among 33 patients who received second-course pembrolizumab, ORR was 15.2%.

**Abstract 363 Table 1** Key efficacy outcomes in the KEYNOTE-042 ITT population

| ITT Population                         | PD-L1 TPS $\geq 50\%$    |                         | PD-L1 TPS $\geq 20\%$    |                         | PD-L1 TPS $\geq 1\%$     |                        |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|  | Pembrolizumab<br>n = 299 | Chemo<br>n = 300        | Pembrolizumab<br>n = 413 | Chemo<br>n = 405        | Pembrolizumab<br>n = 637 | Chemo<br>n = 637       |
| OS, median (95% CI), mo                | 20.0<br>(15.9–24.2)      | 12.2<br>(10.4–14.6)     | 18.0<br>(15.5–21.5)      | 13.0<br>(11.6–15.3)     | 16.4<br>(14.0–19.6)      | 12.1<br>(11.3–13.3)    |
| OS, HR (95% CI)                        | 0.68<br>(0.57–0.81)      |                         | 0.75<br>(0.64–0.87)      |                         | 0.79<br>(0.70–0.89)      |                        |
| OS, 5 y rate (95% CI), %               | 21.9<br>(17.3–26.9)      | 9.8<br>(6.6–13.7)       | 19.4<br>(15.6–23.4)      | 10.1<br>(7.2–13.5)      | 16.6<br>(13.7–19.6)      | 6.5<br>(6.4–11.0)      |
| PFS, median (95% CI), mo               | 6.5<br>(5.9–8.6)         | 6.5<br>(6.2–7.6)        | 6.2<br>(5.4–7.8)         | 6.9<br>(6.3–8.2)        | 5.6<br>(4.3–6.2)         | 6.8<br>(6.4–7.9)       |
| PFS, HR (95% CI)                       | 0.98<br>(0.72–1.02)      |                         | 0.94<br>(0.81–1.09)      |                         | 1.03<br>(0.91–1.16)      |                        |
| PFS, 5 y rate (95% CI), %              | 9.2<br>(5.9–13.4)        | 2.1<br>(0.7–5.0)        | 7.8<br>(5.2–11.1)        | 1.6<br>(0.5–3.9)        | 6.9<br>(4.9–9.4)         | 1.2<br>(0.5–2.7)       |
| PFS <sup>2</sup> , median (95% CI), mo | 15.0<br>(11.8–19.2)      | 10.1<br>(8.9–11.2)      | 12.9<br>(10.9–15.5)      | 10.2<br>(9.1–11.3)      | 11.3<br>(10.1–12.9)      | 9.4<br>(8.8–10.3)      |
| PFS <sup>2</sup> , HR (95% CI)         | 0.64<br>(0.54–0.76)      |                         | 0.67<br>(0.58–0.78)      |                         | 0.74<br>(0.65–0.83)      |                        |
| ORR (95% CI), %                        | 39.1<br>(33.6–44.9)      | 32.3<br>(27.1–37.9)     | 33.2<br>(28.6–37.9)      | 29.1<br>(24.8–33.8)     | 27.3<br>(23.9–31.0)      | 26.7<br>(23.3–30.3)    |
| DOR, median (range), mo                | 28.1<br>(2.1+ to 70.0+)  | 10.8<br>(1.8+ to 63.5+) | 27.7<br>(2.1+ to 70.0+)  | 10.8<br>(1.8+ to 63.5+) | 26.5<br>(2.1+ to 70.0+)  | 8.4<br>(1.8+ to 63.5+) |

Chemo, chemotherapy; DOR, duration of response.  
+, indicates no PD by the time of last assessment.  
<sup>2</sup>PFS<sup>2</sup>: time from randomization to second/subsequent PD on next-line treatment or death.

**Conclusions** With 5 years of follow-up, first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy continued to show substantial clinical benefit with higher 5-year OS rates, and durable response over chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1-positive, locally advanced/metastatic NSCLC without *EGFR/ALK* alterations. First-line pembrolizumab remains a standard of care in patients with PD-L1 TPS  $\geq 1\%$ , as underscored by these long-term results.

**Acknowledgements** Medical writing assistance was provided by Kathleen Estes, PhD, of ICON plc (North Wales, PA, USA). This assistance was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

**Trial Registration** ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02220894

**Ethics Approval** The protocol and all amendments were approved by the appropriate ethics committee at each center, the study was conducted in accordance with the standards of Good Clinical Practice and in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients provided written informed consent before enrollment.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-SITC2021.363>