

1 **Supplemental Materials and Methods**

2 **Cell cultures, MTT and sphere formation assays**

3 As reported in ref. 1, the HCT116 cell line (colorectal cells, CRCs) contains a high
4 proportion of cancer stem cells (CSCs). To isolate this population, we cultured wild type
5 cells in low attachment flasks, under selective sphere-forming conditions (Dulbecco's
6 modified eagle's medium-F12 supplemented with ITS Liquid Media Complement, 5 mM
7 HEPEs, 4 mg/ml BSA, 2 nM L-glutamine, 3 mg/ml glucose, 20 ng/ml EGF and 20 ng/ml
8 bFGF) for two weeks.² Subsequently, spheres were collected and washed in PBS-EDTA
9 medium, to obtain single-cell suspension, stained with antibodies anti-ALDH, anti-CD26,
10 anti-CD44, anti-EpCAM, anti-CD133, anti-CD166 and analyzed using a BD Scientific
11 Canto II Flow Cytometer (RRID:SCR_018056), to confirm their stem cell phenotype.²⁻⁵
12 These colorectal (CR)-CSCs were maintained in low attachment flasks and cultivated with
13 PluriSTEM-XF™ Human ES/iPS Cell Medium (Merk, Darmstadt, Germany), a complete
14 xeno-free medium formulation for the feeder-free culture of human embryonic stem (ES)
15 cells and induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells.

16 Cell viability and proliferation were assessed using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution
17 Cell Proliferation Assay (#G3582; Promega, Madison, WI, USA), according to
18 manufacturer's instructions.

19 Sphere-formation efficiency, and associated self-renewal ability, of CR-CSCs was
20 assessed by using the Extreme Limiting Dilution Analysis (ELDA, RRID:SCR_018933).⁶

21 Cells were seeded at concentrations of 1 cell, 2 cells, 4 cells or 8 cells per well, on 96-well
22 plates. After incubation at 37°C, in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂, for 5-10 days,
23 spheres containing ≥3 cells were counted under a Leica DM 2500 light microscope (Leica,
24 Wetzlar, Germany).

25

26

1 **CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Interleukin-30, WNT5A, RAB33A and STAT3 gene knockout**

2 For CRISPR mediated *IL30* gene deletion in CRC and CR-CSC cells, we used two
3 Trueguide Synthetic (s)gRNAs, designed and synthesized by ThermoFisher
4 (#CRISPR947384_SGM, IL27p28, Human and #CRISPR947272_SGM, IL27p28, Human;
5 Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Cells were transfected with sgRNAs using
6 CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent (#CMAX00001; Thermo Fisher Scientific), to
7 derive two independent clonal cell populations (clone A and B). The Sanger sequencing,
8 performed by Eurofins Scientific (Bruxelles, Belgium), confirmed the *IL30* gene deletion
9 and Western blotting (WB) showed the absence of IL30 protein, in both clone A and clone
10 B, therefore we used only clone A in subsequent experiments. To exclude off-target
11 effects, we performed genetic rescue experiments transfecting knock-out cell clones with
12 *IL30* expressing vector, which restored the proliferation rates prior to genome editing.

13 For WNT5A and RAB33A gene deletion in *IL30*-CR-CSCs, we used the following
14 Trueguide sgRNAs: #CRISPR816400_SGM, WNT5A, Human and
15 #CRISPR847389_SGM, RAB33A, Human, respectively (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

16 For STAT3 gene deletion in CR-CSCs we used the Trueguide sgRNA
17 #CRISPR917492_SGM, STAT3, Human (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were
18 transfected with sgRNAs using CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent
19 (#CMAX00001; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The deletion of WNT5A, RAB33A and STAT3
20 genes was validated by Sanger-sequencing and WB.

21

22 **Transfection with Interleukin-30 expressing vector**

23 To generate the human *IL30* lentiviral expression vector, the *IL30* gene was amplified and
24 cloned into XbaI-XhoI unique sites of a Tween lentiviral vector, under the control of a CMV
25 promoter. This vector constitutively expresses GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein), under the
26 control of a PGK promoter. Then, CRC cells and CR-CSCs cells were transfected with the

1 p-TWEEN-IL-30 or the empty vector p-TWEEN, using Calcium Phosphate Transfection Kit
2 (#K278001; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The infected cells were sorted
3 using a FACSAria II Cell Sorter (RRID:SCR_018934; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ,
4 USA) using GFP as marker. Expression of IL30 was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR and
5 WB.

6

7 **Real-time RT-PCR**

8 RNA was extracted from human CRC and CR-CSC cells by using the RNeasy Mini Kit
9 (#74104, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and reverse-transcribed with the RT2 First Strand Kit
10 (#330401, Qiagen). For analyses of *IL30* mRNA levels, real-time RT-PCR was performed
11 using the Human_IL27p28_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay (#QT00236250), the
12 Quantifast SYBR Green PCR Kit (#204054) (both from Qiagen) and a MiniOpticon System
13 (#CFB-3120, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The results, from experiments performed in
14 triplicate, were pooled, and normalized to the median value of a set of housekeeping
15 genes and changes in gene expression were calculated according to the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method,
16 using the manufacturer's software.

17

18 **Immunoelectron microscopy**

19 CR-CSCs were grown in monolayer and fixed in 2% PFA, with 0.2% glutaraldehyde, in 0.1
20 M PBS, pH 7.4, for 3 h at room temperature. Then, the cells were embedded into 12%
21 gelatin in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, solidified on ice, infused in 2.3 M sucrose overnight at 4°C,
22 mounted on aluminum pins and frozen in liquid nitrogen. For immunogold labeling of CR-
23 CSCs, ultrathin cryosections (55 nm) were cut at -110°C, using an Ultracut EM FC6 (Leica
24 Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), collected with 1% methyl cellulose in 1.15 M sucrose,
25 blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS and then immunolabeled with primary
26 antibody, a rabbit polyclonal anti-IL27A (Abcam Cat# ab118910, RRID:AB_10898806).

1 Bound antibodies were visualized using either goat anti-rabbit conjugated with 10-nm gold
2 particles (#AC-10-01-05, Cytodiagnostics, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) or 10 nm PrA-CG
3 (acquired from G. Posthuma, Utrecht, The Netherlands). All incubations were performed in
4 presence of 1% BSA.

5

6 **Western Blotting**

7 For total protein extraction, cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed with ice cold
8 RIPA Lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with
9 Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitors Cocktails (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA,
10 USA). Total proteins were then quantified using the Bradford assay. For cytosolic and
11 plasma membrane protein extraction, Minute Plasma Membrane Protein Isolation and Cell
12 Fractionation Kit (#SM-005; Invent Biotechnologies, Plymouth, MN, USA) was used,
13 according to manufacturer's protocol. Cytosolic and plasma membrane fractions were then
14 quantified using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (#23225; Thermo Fisher Scientific,
15 Waltham, MA, USA). Subsequently, whole cell lysates, cytosolic and plasma membrane
16 fractions were loaded on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Gels 4-20% (#4561094; Bio-Rad, Hercules,
17 CA, USA) and proteins were transferred from the gels on Immuno-Blot PVDF Membranes
18 (#1620177; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in transfer buffer (glycine, tris [pH 8.4] and
19 methanol) using Mini Trans-Blot Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes containing the
20 transferred proteins were then blocked with 5% milk (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA)
21 in TBST and, subsequently, probed with primary and horseradish peroxidase conjugated
22 secondary antibodies, following standard procedures. The following primary antibodies
23 were used: rabbit anti-human IL-27-A (IL27/p28, i.e. IL30) (Abcam Cat# ab118910,
24 RRID:AB_10898806); mouse anti-human WNT3A (Abcam Cat# ab81614,
25 RRID:AB_1658404), rabbit anti-human WNT5A (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# MA5-
26 35281, RRID:AB_2849183), rabbit anti-human MMP2 (#TA350971S, OriGene

1 Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA), rabbit anti-human MMP13 (GeneTex Cat#
2 GTX100665, RRID:AB_2037446), rabbit anti-human RAB33A (Thermo Fisher Scientific
3 Cat# PA5-37028, RRID:AB_2553873) and mouse anti-human/mouse STAT3 (Cell
4 Signaling Technology Cat# 9139, RRID:AB_331757).

5 The following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L)-HRP
6 Conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# A0545, RRID:AB_257896) and rabbit anti-mouse IgG
7 (whole molecule)-Peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# A9044, RRID:AB_258431. Antibodies
8 specific for β -Actin (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# A2228, RRID:AB_476697) and Sodium Potassium
9 ATPase (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# MA5-32184, RRID:AB_2809472) were used as
10 loading controls for total/cytosolic proteins and plasma membrane proteins, respectively.
11 Membranes were then washed with TBST and developed with Pierce ECL WB Substrate
12 (#32106; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

13

14 **ELISA**

15 Quantitation of ANGPT2, CXCL10, EPO, EGF, IGF1, IL6 and VEGFA, in the supernatant
16 derived from CRC cells and CR-CSCs, was carried out using the following ELISA kits,
17 according to manufacturer's protocols: human ANGPT2 ELISA kit (#ab99971, Abcam,
18 Cambridge, UK), human CXCL10 ELISA kit (KAC2361, Life Technologies, CA, USA),
19 human EPO ELISA kit (BMS2035-2, Life Technologies), human EGF ELISA kit
20 (#ab100504, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), Human IGF1 ELISA kit (#ab211651, Abcam),
21 human IL6 ELISA kit (#EH2IL6, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and human
22 VEGFA ELISA kit (#ab119566, Abcam).

23

24 **Mouse studies**

25 Since all the animals were genetically identical-and housed in the same room, under the
26 same conditions, no randomization was performed. All the mice received a standard diet

1 (sterilized pellets) and had free access to water (also sterile). They were not fasted and
2 were housed in pressurized individually ventilated (PIV) cages with cardboard houses and
3 plastic tubes as environmental enrichment. All treatments were carried out during the light
4 cycle. Tumors were measured with calipers as soon as they were palpable.

5

6 **Immunopathology and morphometric analyses**

7 For histology, tissue samples were fixed in 4%-formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned
8 at 4- μm and stained with H&E. Single or double immunostainings, on formalin fixed and
9 paraffin-embedded, or frozen, tissue sections, were performed as described,⁷ by using the
10 antibodies listed in the supplementary table S2. Proliferation index, microvessel and cell
11 counts were assessed by light microscopy, at $\times 400$ in an 85,431.59 μm^2 field, on single
12 immunostained sections, using QWin image analysis software (Leica QWin,
13 RRID:SCR_018940), which ensures the following highly reproducible steps: 1) image
14 acquisition; 2) conversion of RGB image (true colors) to binary image (black and white); 3)
15 filtering to remove noise; 4) counting of immunostained cells or measurement of positively
16 stained area. Six to eight high-power fields were analyzed for each section and three
17 sections per sample were evaluated. The histopathological evaluation was performed
18 excluding necrotic areas. Results were expressed as mean \pm SD of positive cells (F4/80,
19 Ly-6G) per field, or mean percentage \pm SD of positive cells/number of total cells (Ki67) per
20 field. Microvessels were identified as small tubes or circles marked by CD31 Abs and
21 results were expressed as mean \pm SD of positive vessels/field.

22 The morphometric analysis of CRC samples was confined to the neoplastic areas of the
23 colon tissue sections, and was performed by light microscopy, at $\times 400$, in an 85431.59
24 μm^2 field, on single immunostained sections, with Qwin image analysis software (Qiagen)
25 as described above. IL30 expression, in both neoplastic cells and infiltrating leukocytes,
26 was determined as described below.

1 *Expression of IL30 by neoplastic cells* was evaluated using the following score, based on
2 1) the widening of the staining expressed as the percentage of tumor stained, i.e.: <50%,
3 between 50% and 70%, and >70%, and 2) the strength of the staining: defined as absent
4 (–), slight (±), distinct (+) or strong (++).

5 Thus, IL30 expression by neoplastic cells was defined as:

- 6 • *positive*, when a) the widening was >70% and its strength ranged from slight (±) to
7 strong (++), or b) the widening was between 50% and 70% and its strength ranged
8 from distinct (+) to strong (++);
- 9 • *weakly positive*, when a) the widening was between 50% and 70% and its strength
10 was slight (±), or b) the widening was equal to 50% and its strength ranged from
11 slight (±) to strong (++);
- 12 • *negative* when the widening was <50% and its strength was slight (±) to absent (–).

13 *Expression of IL30 by infiltrating leukocytes* was evaluated using the following score,
14 based on 1) the percentage of leukocyte expressing the cytokine, i.e. <50%, between 50%
15 and 70%, and >70%, and 2) the strength of the cytokine staining, that was defined as
16 absent (–), scarce (±), distinct (+) or strong (++).

17 Thus, IL30 expression by infiltrating leukocytes was defined as:

- 18 • *strong*, when a) the staining involved more than 70% of leukocytes and its strength
19 ranged from scarce (±) to strong (++), or b) the percentage of positively stained
20 leukocytes was between 50% and 70% and the strength of the staining ranged
21 from distinct (+) to strong (++);
- 22 • *distinct*, when a) the staining involved >50% and ≤70% of leukocytes and its
23 strength was scarce (±), or b) the staining involved 50% of leukocytes and its
24 strength ranged from scarce (±) to strong (++);
- 25 • *scanty*, when the staining involved <50% of leukocytes and its strength ranged from
26 scarce (±) to absent (–).

1 Therefore, CRC samples with positive and strong IL30 expression were classified as
2 IL30^{+/+}, CRC samples with negative and scanty IL30 expression were classified as IL30^{-/-},
3 whereas the remaining CRC samples with different expression levels of IL30, ranging from
4 weakly positive to negative in neoplastic epithelia, and from distinct to scanty in the
5 immune cell infiltrate, were defined as IL30^{+/-} CRCs. Immunostained sections were
6 examined by two pathologists in a blind fashion, with very good agreement (κ value = 0.89
7 and 0.80 for evaluation of IL30 staining in CRC cells and infiltrating leukocytes,
8 respectively).

9

10 **CIBERSORTx**

11 CIBERSORTx (RRID:SCR_016955), used to investigate IL30 gene expression in immune
12 cells populations infiltrating CRC samples, is a computational framework, which accurately
13 infers infiltrating cell subpopulations and their gene-expression from the mRNA-profiles of
14 tissue samples, using specific gene signatures. LM22 was used as signature matrix, that
15 contains 547 genes, which-distinguishes 22 human hematopoietic cell phenotypes.⁸
16 Differences, in IL30 gene expression, between immune cell subpopulations, were
17 assessed by Student's *t*-test or ANOVA followed by Tukey-HSD test.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 REFERENCES

- 2 1. Yeung TM, Gandhi SC, Wilding JL, Muschel R, Bodmer WF. Cancer stem cells from
3 colorectal cancer-derived cell lines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:3722-7.
- 4 2. Olejniczak A, Szaryńska M, Kmiec Z. In vitro characterization of spheres derived from
5 colorectal cancer cell lines. *Int J Oncol* 2018;52:599-612.
- 6 3. Levin TG, Powell AE, Davies PS, *et al.* Characterization of the intestinal cancer stem
7 cell marker CD166 in the human and mouse gastrointestinal tract. *Gastroenterology*
8 2010;139:2072-82.e5.
- 9 4. Munro MJ, Wickremesekera SK, Peng L, Tan ST, Itinteang T. Cancer stem cells in
10 colorectal cancer: a review. *J Clin Pathol* 2018;71:110-6.
- 11 5. Pang R, Law WL, Chu AC, *et al.* A subpopulation of CD26+ cancer stem cells with
12 metastatic capacity in human colorectal cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010;6:603-15.
- 13 6. Hu Y, Smyth GK. ELDA: extreme limiting dilution analysis for comparing depleted and
14 enriched populations in stem cell and other assays. *J Immunol Methods* 2009;347:70-
15 8.
- 16 7. Sorrentino C, Musiani P, Pompa P, Cipollone G, Di Carlo E. Androgen deprivation
17 boosts prostatic infiltration of cytotoxic and regulatory T lymphocytes and has no effect
18 on disease-free survival in prostate cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:1571-81.
- 19 8. Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, *et al.* Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue
20 expression profiles. *Nat Methods* 2015;12:453-7.