

1 **Generation of hFra-expressing cell lines, BiTE constructs and retroviral constructs**

2 Human FR α (hFR α) was PCR amplified from cDNA of SK-MEL-37 melanoma cell line using the following
3 primers FWD: TGTCGTGAAAACACTACCCCGCGGCCGCCACCATGGCTCAGCGGATGACAACACA and REV:
4 TTCGTGGCTCCGGAGCCACTGCTGAGCAGCCACAGCAGCATT, where coding hFR α regions are underlined.
5 The hFR α gene was genetically fused to the monomeric enhanced GFP (eGFP) reporter via SGSG-linker
6 and a P2A translational skipping sequence and inserted into the pT2-EF sleeping beauty transposon
7 plasmid ¹ using NEBuilder[®] HIFI DNA assembly (New England Biolabs), with sequences confirmed by
8 Sanger Sequencing at the Roswell Park Genomics Shared Resource. The pT2-EF-hFR α -GFP vector was co-
9 electroporated with the CMV(CAT)T7-SB100 transposase vector (a gift from Zsuzsanna Izsavak (Addgene
10 plasmid # 34879; <http://n2t.net/addgene:34879> ; RRID:Addgene_34879) into IE9-mp1 and Pan02 cell
11 lines using the Nucleofector 4D Instrument. Electroporated cells were cultured for 10-14 days prior to
12 FACs sorting of GFP^{hi} cells using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter. Sorted cells were confirmed to express hFR α
13 by flow cytometry. A hFR α -specific scFv with murine immunoglobulin kappa light chain was designed by
14 fusing MOv19 kappa chain (Sequence ID: X99994.1) and heavy chain (Sequence ID: X99993.1) sequences
15 via a 212 polypeptide-containing linker (GSTSGSGKSEGGK) and was synthesized by Integrated DNA
16 technologies gBlock. FR-B is a chimeric BiTE that binds human FR α and mouse CD3 ϵ (via a previously
17 described scFv derived from the 145-2C11 monoclonal antibody ². These scFvs are linked by a rigid and
18 long G(EAAAK)₃ linker sequence that resulted in optimal antigen binding and *in vivo* FR-B activity
19 compared to a panel of tested linkers (data not shown). The BiTE leader sequence ² and 145-2C11
20 derived scFv sequence were codon-optimized and synthesized by gBlock (Integrated DNA technologies),
21 with the FR-B sequence designed to contain a 6x His Tag at the C terminus. The FR-B sequence was
22 genetically fused to the monomeric enhanced GFP (eGFP) reporter via a SGSG-linker and P2A
23 translational skipping sequence to allow monitoring of transduction efficiency (**Suppl. Fig. 6A**). The FR-
24 Bh sequence was generated from the FR-B BiTE by exchanging the 145-2C11 derived mouse CD3 ϵ
25 binding scFv with the human CD3 ϵ -specific UCHT1 scFv sequence (sequence kindly provided by Dr.
26 Jonathan Bramson, McMaster University) ordered from Integrated DNA technologies as a gBlock
27 containing the same BiTE leader sequence as above and the UCHT1 scFv). The DNA sequence
28 corresponding to the G(EAAAK)₃ linker, followed by Mov19 scFv, 6x His Tag, Furin cleavage peptide,
29 SGSG linker + P2A translational skipping sequence, and monomeric enhanced GFP (eGFP) reporter (to
30 monitor transduction efficiency) was PCR amplified from an existing plasmid to generate overlapping
31 DNA fragments amenable to assembly using NEBuilder (**Suppl. Fig. 1A**). FR-B and FR-Bh sequences
32 along with GFP reporter genes were inserted into the previously described retroviral vector ¹ using NotI
33 and PacI restriction sites, with DNA fragments assembled using NEBuilder HIFI DNA assembly (New
34 England Biolabs). Plasmid sequences were confirmed by Sanger Sequencing, and retroviruses used to
35 transduce human or mouse cells produced in PG13 or PLAT-E retroviral packaging cells lines,
36 respectively. For murine studies, control T cells were either transduced with a retrovirus expressing a
37 codon-optimized Luciferase (Luc2)-P2A-GFP gene and produced in PLAT-E cells or were Mock
38 transduced. For human T cells, Control Engager secreting (Cont-ENG) T cells were generated by
39 transducing human T cells with the FR-B retroviral vector (produced in PG13 cells), where secreted
40 Engagers can bind FR α + target cells, but not human T cells due to lack of cross-reactivity of the 145-2C11
41 scFv with human CD3 (confirmed by flow cytometry), thus preventing Engager-mediated T cell activation
42 upon FR α binding. In all cases, cell culture supernatant from high-titer retrovirus producing clones was
43 collected and used for viral transduction. For initial testing of BiTEs for antigen-specific binding, 293T

44 cells were retrovirally transduced, followed by collection of 293T cell culture supernatants. Supernatants
45 were spun at 1500 rpm for 5mins to remove cells/debris, followed by 20-40x concentration using
46 Corning Spin-X® UF20 30k MWCO columns according to the manufacturers recommended protocol.
47 Concentrated supernatants were added to target cells and incubated for 90 mins at 4°C with
48 supernatants from unmodified 239T cells used as controls. Following incubation, cells were washed and
49 subsequently stained with an anti-His Tag antibody for detection of BiTE binding to target cells by flow
50 cytometry as described separately in the methods section.

51 **T cell activation and transduction**

52 For human studies, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from the whole blood of
53 healthy donors and received under an approved Biospecimen and Data Research (BDR) protocol. PBMC
54 were activated using precoated plate-bound anti-human CD3ε antibody (OKT-3, 5µg/ml prepared in PBS,
55 Bio X Cell) for 48hrs in cRPMI containing anti-human CD28 antibody (9.3, 2µg/ml, Bio X Cell), human IL-2
56 (50U/ml, Peprotech), and human IL-7 (10ng/ml, BioLegend). For murine studies, splenocytes were
57 harvested from female C57BL/6J or T-Lux³ mice, subjected to RBC lysis using ACK lysis buffer, and
58 activated using precoated plate-bound anti-mouse CD3ε (145-2C11, 5µg/ml prepared in PBS, Bio X cell)
59 for 48-72hrs in cRPMI containing anti-mouse CD28 antibody (37.51, 2µg/ml, Bio X Cell), human IL-2
60 (50U/ml, Peprotech), and either mouse IL-7 (10ng/ml, BioLegend) or mouse IL-15 (10ng/ml BioLegend).
61 Following activation, T cells were harvested, counted, and loaded onto Retronectin (Takara) -coated
62 non-tissue culture treated plates preloaded with retrovirus by spinning cleared cell supernatants from
63 high-titer retrovirus-producing PG13 (human) or PLAT-E (mouse) cells at 3000 rpm for 1hr at 32°C (2
64 cycles of retrovirus preloading completed prior to T cell loading). T cell transduction was conducted on
65 two consecutive days, followed by at least 24hr T cell expansion prior to assessment of T cell
66 transduction efficiency (based on GFP+ cells, gated using GFP- mock transduced T cells) by flow
67 cytometry. Following activation, T cells were maintained in cRPMI containing cytokine support (IL-2 + IL-
68 7 or IL-15), which was replaced every 2-3 days.

69 **Antibodies and Flow Cytometry Staining/Analysis**

70 For mouse samples, Fc blocking was performed by using an anti-CD16/CD32 antibody (2.4G2, Bio X Cell,
71 15 min at 4°C) to inhibit non-specific antibody binding prior to surface staining. For intracellular staining,
72 The BD Transcription Factor Buffer Set (BD Biosciences) was used according to the manufacturer's
73 suggested protocol. In cases where fixation/permeabilization were performed, intracellular staining for
74 GFP was additionally included to permit interrogation of FR-B T cells based on GFP in fixed cells. For
75 human samples, blocking was performed using human FcR Block (Miltenyi Biotech) for 10 min at 4°C,
76 followed by staining (30mins, 4°C) using antibodies prepared in either FACs Buffer or BD Horizon™
77 Brilliant Staining Buffer. For human studies involving addition of engineered T cells to OC patient
78 specimens, CONT-ENG or FR-Bh T cells were harvested and pre-labeled with CellTrace Violet (Thermo
79 Fisher) according to the recommended protocol prior to addition. For all direct *ex vivo* mouse studies
80 and for studies using human OC specimens, Zombie UV or Zombie Near-IR fixable viability staining
81 (BioLegend) was performed to ensure interrogation of only viable cells. Stained Samples were collected
82 using BD LSR II or Fortessa Flow cytometers and downstream data analyzed using FlowJo V10 software
83 (BD Biosciences).

84

85 **In vitro co-culture studies**

86 Human (SKOV-6) or mouse (IE9-mp1-hFRa or parental IE9-mp1) target cell lines were plated in 96 well
87 (1-1.5 x 10⁴ cells/well), 24 well (2-4 x 10⁴ cells/well), or 6 well (10⁵ cells/well) cell culture plates and co-
88 cultured with T cells at the indicated Effector: Target cell ratios (E:T Range 8:1-1:1) for 24-72hrs as
89 indicated in the Figures/Figure Legends. To assess target cell killing, T cells were gently washed from
90 cultures using cold PBS and target cells were enumerated by counting a minimum of 4 randomly
91 selected regions of interest (ROI's)/well using the Cytation 5 instrument (Biotek) or quantified using the
92 CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Cell Viability Assay (Promega) to determine % target cell killing compared to control
93 wells containing target cells alone. T cell activation (FR-B, Luc/GFP, or Mock transduced T cells) was
94 assessed after 24hr co-culture with IE9-mp1-hFRa target cells using CD69 surface staining and flow
95 cytometry. Culture supernatants from co-cultures were collected, spun down to remove debris,
96 aliquoted and stored at -80°C prior to analysis and were assessed for IFN-γ production using either
97 the human or mouse IFN-γ ELISA MAX Deluxe set (BioLegend) according to the manufacturer's
98 suggested protocol.

99

100 **Transwell Assay to assess BiTE-mediated bystander T cell activity**

101 2 x 10⁵ untransduced (UTD) human T cells were plated with (2 x 10⁴) SKOV-6 cells (E:T = 10:1) in 24 well
102 tissue culture plates in 800μl cRPMI. Next, 0.4μm PETMembrane 24 well transwell inserts (Greiner Bio-
103 One) were placed in the wells and 10⁶ human CONT-ENG or FR-Bh T cells were added to the transwell in
104 200μl cRPMI. CONT-ENG or FR-Bh T cells added directly to the SKOV-6 target cells (no transwell) were
105 used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Co-cultures were plated in technical duplicate or
106 triplicate and incubated for 48hrs in the presence of human IL-2 (50U/ml), at which point T cells were
107 gently washed from the lower chamber and target cells harvested and viable cells counted. Culture
108 supernatants were collected and analyzed for human IFN-γ production by ELISA as described.

109

110 **Measurement of BiTE secretion by FR-Bh T cells**

111 As the FR-Bh BiTE sequence includes a 6x His Tag at the C terminus (**Suppl. Fig 1A**), BiTE secretion by FR-
112 Bh T cells was measured using a His Tag ELISA Detection Kit (Genscript). Transduced FR-Bh T cells (GFP+)
113 were FACs sorted using a BD FACSAria II cell sorter to ensure use of 100% BiTE-secreting T cells, with
114 Untransduced (UTD) T cells used as a negative control. T cells were expanded *in vitro* prior to assay set
115 up and maintained in cRPMI containing IL-2 (50U/ml, Peprotech) and IL-7 (10ng/ml, BioLegend) for the
116 duration of the study. Sorted FR-Bh (or UTD T cells) were used to assess BiTE secretion under 3
117 conditions: At baseline (prior to antigen exposure), after acute antigen exposure, or after repeat antigen
118 exposure. Acute antigen exposure was achieved by co-culturing FR-Bh and UTD T cells with 7.5 x 10⁵
119 FRα+ SKOV-6 cells for 24hrs at a 4:1 E:T ratio in a T25 Flask. T cells were then collected, counted, and
120 used to either set up a repeat antigen exposure (same experimental setup as above) or used to quantify
121 BiTE secretion (acute antigen exposure). Repeat antigen-exposed T cells were collected after 24hrs,
122 counted, and used to quantify BiTE secretion (repeat antigen exposure). BiTE secretion by FR-Bh T cells
123 at baseline or following acute/repeat antigen exposure was measured by culturing harvested T cells (FR-
124 Bh and UTD) at a density of ~10⁶ T cells in 350μl of cRPMI + cytokines for 72hrs. The UCHT1 monoclonal
125 antibody (anti-human CD3ε, corresponding to the same antibody clone used to generate the FR-Bh BiTE)
126 was added to the cultures (10μg/ml, Bio X Cell) to limit the capacity of BiTEs to bind back to T cells
127 following secretion and accumulate in the cell supernatant. After 72hrs, culture supernatants collected,

128 spun down to remove debris, and stored at -80°C prior to analysis. T cells were counted at the end of
129 the culture period and used to determine BiTE secretion/ 10^6 T cells.

130

131 ***OC patient samples and targeting using FR-Bh T cells***

132 Patient samples were assessed for the frequency of tumor cells (CD45-EpCAM+) that were FR α + by
133 flow cytometry. Following 48hr co-culture, culture supernatants were collected for downstream
134 analysis and cells collected for flow cytometry as detailed. To allow enumeration of FR α + and FR α -
135 tumor cells following co-culture, CountBright Absolute Counting Beads (Thermo Fisher) were added
136 prior to Flow cytometry analysis and cell numbers calculated using Lot # specific values as provided
137 by the manufacturer. Culture supernatants were spun down to remove debris, aliquoted and stored
138 at -80°C prior to analysis and were assessed for IFN- γ production using the human IFN- γ ELISA MAX
139 Deluxe set (BioLegend) according to the manufacturer's suggested protocol. Additionally, 4
140 responding patient culture supernatants were selected to assess broad immunological effects
141 between OC patient samples containing either CONT-ENG or FR-Bh T cells using the Isoplexis
142 Human Adaptive Immune Codeplex Secretome to measure 22 inflammatory parameters using the
143 Isoplexis Isolight system according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol.

144 ***Preclinical mouse models and therapeutic delivery of FR-B T cells***

145 For evaluation of FR-Bh T cell therapeutic activity using a human xenograft model, 3×10^6 SKOV-6 cells
146 (prepared in $100\mu\text{l}$ PBS) were implanted subcutaneously in the flanks of female 6–8-week-old female
147 NSG mice bred in the Roswell Park Laboratory Animal Shared Resource (LASR). Tumor volumes were
148 calculated as $0.5 \times (\text{Length} \times \text{Width}^2)$ and when tumors reached $\sim 150\text{mm}^3$, mice were stratified into
149 groups to remain untreated or receive 3×10^6 FR-Bh or Cont-ENG T cells (delivered as split dose between
150 IV and intratumoral routes, prepared in $200\mu\text{l}$ PBS). Mice received 3 daily doses of 2×10^4 U of IL-2 (IP
151 injection, $200\mu\text{l}$ PBS) beginning on the day of T cell infusion. Tumor measurements (length and width)
152 were obtained using digital calipers and tumor volume calculated as $0.5 \times (\text{Length} \times \text{Width}^2)$. Changes in
153 tumor volume were determined twice/week for the duration of study. In studies involving depletion of
154 endogenous lymphocytes in immunocompetent mice, mice were treated with 5 Gy Total body
155 irradiation immediately prior to tumor implantation and lymphodepletion confirmed by flow cytometry
156 prior to adoptive T cell transfer. IP tumor progression was monitored based on increased abdominal
157 distension (measured as changes in circumference) due to accumulation of peritoneal ascites, which
158 closely correlates with solid tumor growth in this model^{4,5}, with mice considered endpoint and
159 euthanized when abdominal circumference reached 10cm (or at earlier measurements if mice
160 developed decreasing health status due to peritoneal disease progression). For subcutaneously
161 implanted Pan02-hFR α , length and width measurements were collected using digital calipers and tumor
162 volume was again calculated at $0.5 \times (\text{Length} \times \text{Width}^2)$, with mice considered endpoint and euthanized
163 when tumor dimensions exceed 10mm in both directions. All performed experiments and procedures
164 were reviewed and approved by the Roswell Park IACUC (Protocol #'s 1430M, 1458M, and 1346M) prior
165 to conducting experiments.

166 ***Monitoring of FR-B T cell responses in treated mice***

167 Following infusion of FR-B 2/7 or 2/15 T cells into IE9-mp1-hFR α tumor-bearing mice (8.4×10^5 FR-B T
168 cells/mouse, IP injection), blood was collected by retro-orbital blood draw, peritoneal lavage collected

169 following IP injection of PBS, and solid tumors excised from the omental region of animals at the
170 indicated time points post ACT. RBC lysis was performed on blood and peritoneal wash samples using
171 ACK lysis buffer and solid tumors were processed using the gentleMACs Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec),
172 followed by passage through 70µm cell strainers. Samples were subsequently stained for flow cytometry
173 analysis as outlined.

174 ***Metabolomic Assessment of FR-B T cells using Seahorse***

175 FR-B 2/7 or FR-B 2/15 T cells were generated for comparison of metabolic function using the
176 Mitochondrial Stress Test conducted using the Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer. Briefly, the Mitochondrial
177 Stress Test was performed in XF DMEM Base Media with no Phenol red containing 10mM glucose, 1mM
178 sodium pyruvate, and 2mM L-glutamine and the following inhibitors were added at the following final
179 concentrations: Oligomycin (2µM), Carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazone (FCCP) (1.0
180 µM), Rotenone/Antimycin A (0.5µM each). Prepared T cells were plated on cell-tak (Corning) coated
181 Seahorse XF96 cell culture microplates at a density of 2.5 X10⁵ cells per well and in replicates of 8 wells
182 per cell type. The assay plates were allowed to rest at RT for 45mins and then spun for 5minutes at
183 1,000rpm. The plates were then incubated at 37°C without CO₂ for 10mins prior to performing the assay
184 on the Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer. Assay was set up and ran according to manufacturer's recommended
185 method and post run data was obtained through Seahorse Wave Desktop Software, with additional
186 statistical analysis completed using GraphPad Prism.

187

188 ***FACs sorting of CD8+ FR-B TALs, RNA sequencing, and Transcriptomic Analysis***

189 FR-B 2/7 or FR-B 2/15 T cells (8.4 x 10⁵/mouse, prepared in 200µl PBS) were adoptively transferred by IP
190 injection into IE9-mp1-hFRa tumor-bearing mice and peritoneal washes collected from mice 5 days later
191 following IP injection of 5ml PBS. Collected cells were washed and immediately stained for cell viability
192 (Zombie UV, prepared in PBS) followed by Fc blocking and surface phenotyping using antibodies
193 prepared in BD FACs Pre-Sort Buffer (BD Biosciences). Live CD45+CD11b⁻CD19⁻CD4⁻ (Gated out using a
194 PE-Cy7 Dump Channel) CD8+ GFP+ FR-B TALs were sorted using a BD FACSAria II Cell Sorter and
195 collected directly into PCR tubes containing 2µl of Takara Plain Sorting Solution with a target collection
196 of 500 cells/sample. Sufficient cell input (359-500 cells) was achieved for downstream analysis using 5
197 unique biological samples (n=2 for FR-B 2/7 CD8+ TALs, n=3 for FR-B 2/15 CD8+ TALs). Sorted cells were
198 kept on ice and immediately brought to the Roswell Park Genomics Shared Resource for further
199 processing and RNAseq analysis. RNAseq analysis of sorted CD8+ FR-B TALs was conducted using the
200 Takara Bio USA, Inc. SMART-Seq[®] v4 PLUS Kit. Final libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq
201 6000 using 2X100 sequencing and an average of 50 million paired reads/sample were generated.
202 Following sequencing, samples were passed through Illumina bcl2fastq v2.20 to generate fastq files for
203 downstream analysis. Bioinformatics pre-processing and quality control (QC) steps were carried out by
204 the Roswell Park Bioinformatics Shared Resource, using an established pipeline following commonly
205 adopted practices for RNA-seq data analysis. Raw reads that passed the Illumina RTA quality filter were
206 demultiplexed and pre-processed using FastQC for sequencing base quality control. Raw reads that
207 passed the Illumina RTA quality filter were demultiplexed and pre-processed using FastQC for
208 sequencing base quality control. Reads were then mapped to the mouse reference genome (GRCm39)
209 and reference transcriptome GENCODE (vM28) using STAR⁶. Raw feature counts were normalized and
210 differential expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2⁷. Differential expression rank order was
211 used for subsequent gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)⁸, performed using the cluster profile package
212 in R. Gene sets queried included the Hallmark, Canonical pathways, and GO Biological Processes
213 Ontology collections available through the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB)⁹. The metabolic

214 pipeline¹⁰ utilizes DEG output, with scores for each gene produced by multiplying the -log(adjusted p
215 value)*logFC on a gene level. Absolute value scores were produced by taking the absolute value of the
216 scores for each gene. The pipeline was then used to assess transcriptional metabolic pathway
217 dysregulation. Pathways were subsequently constructed using the WikiPathways application in
218 Cytoscape network builder.

219

220

221 **Supplemental References:**

222

- 223 1. Tsuji T, Yoneda A, Matsuzaki J, et al. Rapid Construction of Antitumor T-cell Receptor Vectors from
224 Frozen Tumors for Engineered T-cell Therapy. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2018;6(5):594-604. doi:
225 10.1158/2326-6066.Cir-17-0434 [published Online First: 2018/03/29]
- 226 2. Gilliland LK, Norris NA, Marquardt H, et al. Rapid and reliable cloning of antibody variable regions and
227 generation of recombinant single chain antibody fragments. *Tissue Antigens* 1996;47(1):1-20.
228 doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0039.1996.tb02509.x [published Online First: 1996/01/01]
- 229 3. Chewning JH, Dugger KJ, Chaudhuri TR, et al. Bioluminescence-based visualization of CD4 T cell
230 dynamics using a T lineage-specific luciferase transgenic model. *BMC Immunol* 2009;10:44. doi:
231 10.1186/1471-2172-10-44 [published Online First: 2009/08/05]
- 232 4. McGray AJR, Eppolito C, Miliotto A, et al. A prime/boost vaccine platform efficiently identifies CD27
233 agonism and depletion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells as therapies that rationally combine
234 with checkpoint blockade in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2021;70(12):3451-60.
235 doi: 10.1007/s00262-021-02936-1 [published Online First: 2021/04/22]
- 236 5. McGray AJR, Huang RY, Battaglia S, et al. Oncolytic Maraba virus armed with tumor antigen boosts
237 vaccine priming and reveals diverse therapeutic response patterns when combined with
238 checkpoint blockade in ovarian cancer. *J Immunother Cancer* 2019;7(1):189. doi:
239 10.1186/s40425-019-0641-x [published Online First: 2019/07/19]
- 240 6. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*
241 2013;29(1):15-21. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635 [published Online First: 2012/10/30]
- 242 7. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data
243 with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014;15(12):550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 [published Online
244 First: 2014/12/18]
- 245 8. Reimand J, Isserlin R, Voisin V, et al. Pathway enrichment analysis and visualization of omics data
246 using g:Profiler, GSEA, Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap. *Nat Protoc* 2019;14(2):482-517. doi:
247 10.1038/s41596-018-0103-9 [published Online First: 2019/01/22]
- 248 9. Liberzon A, Subramanian A, Pinchback R, et al. Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0.
249 *Bioinformatics* 2011;27(12):1739-40. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr260 [published Online First:
250 2011/05/07]
- 251 10. Rosario SR, Long MD, Affronti HC, et al. Pan-cancer analysis of transcriptional metabolic
252 dysregulation using The Cancer Genome Atlas. *Nat Commun* 2018;9(1):5330. doi:
253 10.1038/s41467-018-07232-8 [published Online First: 2018/12/16]

254